In the Matter Of: **RAB MEETING PUBLIC MEETING** February 21, 2024 ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS 800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

1	WURTSMITH RESTORATION
2	ADVISORY BOARD (RAB) MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Oscoda United Methodist Church
13	120 West Dwight Street, Oscoda, Michigan 48750
14	Wednesday, February 21, 2024, 5:01 p.m.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	RECORDED BY: Marcy A. Klingshirn, CER 6924 Certified Electronic Recorder
21	Esquire Deposition Solutions Firm Registration Number 8035
22	FILM REGISCIACION NUMBER 6033
23	
24	
25	



PUBLIC MEETING RAB MEETING

1	RAB CO-CHAIRS:	Mark Henry
2		Steven Willis, Air Force
3	Local Government Stakeholder RAB	Denise Bryan, Local Health Department Tim Cummings, Oscoda Township
4	Members Present:	Chelsea Gary, MDHHS Amy Handley, EGLE
5		Michael Munson, OWAA Eric Strayer, AuSable Township
6		Jessica Stuntebeck, USDA Forest Service (via videoconference)
7		
8	Community RAB Members Present:	Dave Carmona William Gaines Kyle Jones
9		Arnie Leriche Scott Lingo
10		Greg Schulz Daniel Stock (via videoconference)
11		Josh Sutton Rex Vaughn
12		David Winn Cathy Wusterbarth
13		_
14	Also Present In Person:	Amy Rauser, Jessie Howard, Roger Walton, Lynn Winn, Andrea Keathley, Barry Nelson, Cory Tackett, Tammy Kline, Mary
15		Lipec, Bill Palmer, Jay Mullett, John Bradley, Jeremiah Morse, Robert Delaney,
16		Paula Bond, Darlene Abbott, Ben Wiese, Marilyn Overholt, Fred Overholt
17	Alac Duccost Wintereller	-
18	Also Present Virtually:	Dorin Bogdan, Celeste Holtz, Hannah Theodorovich, Matt Baltusis, Summer Cox, Greg Gangnuss, Sharon Vriesenga, Grace
19		Poudrier, Theresa Olechiw, Sharon Vaughn, Tony Spaniola, Jenny Haglund,
20		Megan Berry, Lee Major, Charles Bauer, Morgan Gullett, Teresa Maria Homsi, Tess
21		Nelkie, Mark Weegar, Sydney Ruhala,
22		Krystal Gurnell, Estela Fuentez, Tisha Lane, Thomas Ponder
23		Paula Bond Celeste Holtz (virtual)
24		Craig Johnson (virtual)
25		



1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2			PAGE
3	-		4
4	1.	Welcome and Introductions	4
5	2.	Stakeholder/RAB Member Updates	8
6	3.	Community RAB Update	18
7	4.	RAB Business Update	58
8	5.	RI and IRA Updates	62
9	6.	Vapor Intrusion RI Update	134
10	7.	RAB Member Questions	147
11	8.	Public Comment	
12		1. Kelly Lively	156
13		2. Robert Delaney	157
14		3. Tony Spaniola	158
15		4. Krystal Gurnell	161
16	9.	Conclusion	162
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



1	Oscoda, Michigan
2	Wednesday, February 21, 2024 - 5:01 p.m.
3	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Hello, everyone. Welcome to
4	the February 2024 Restoration Advisory Board public meeting.
5	I'm Jessie Howard, your facilitator. Irving Entertainment
6	is documenting and livestreaming tonight's meeting, and we
7	do have our court reporter, Marcy, with us this evening as
8	well, who will also be documenting. It's why we see the
9	extra microphones. And speaking of that, I would like to
10	begin with a reminder to the RAB members to please speak
11	right into that round end piece of the microphone so that we
12	can all hear you and everybody who joins us virtually can as
13	well. So now I would like to invite our co-chairs to give
14	their opening remarks. Mr. Willis?
15	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. Thank you everyone for
16	coming tonight. I'll apologize up front for my voice. I've
17	been finding fighting some sinus problems. I was telling
18	people yesterday I was doing my Barry White impersonations.
19	But, again, welcome. It looks like we've got a pretty good
20	turnout tonight, so it's good to see most of the RAB members
21	and from the community. We've got a lot of people out.
22	Welcome and thank you.
23	MR. MARK HENRY: Mark Henry, co-chair. I'd like
24	to thank everyone as Steve did for showing up here. There's

a lot of new data that has been presented in the posters in



1	the back room back there. If you're familiar with those
2	posters from the past, they've been updated significantly
3	with new RI data, so I would urge you to take a look at the
4	most current maps just to see the extent of contamination
5	and ask questions, please.
6	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Just to piggyback on that, all
7	of those maps are available on our RAB website. So if you
8	don't get a chance to look at them tonight, they're
9	available. You can look at them on on the your
10	computer and at your leisure so they're all there.
11	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. Next I will quickly
12	take attendance of the RAB members for the record. Our RAB
13	coordinator, Amy, will respond for anyone who is joining us
14	virtually. I'll begin with the government RAB. Steven
15	Willis with the U.S. Air Force?
16	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Present.
17	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Tim Cummings, Oscoda Township?
18	MR. TIM CUMMINGS: Here.
19	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Eric Strayer, Au Sable
20	Township? No? Amy Handley from EGLE?
21	MS. AMY HANDLEY: Here.
22	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Michael Munson from OWAA?
23	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Here.
24	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Denise Bryan with the local
25	health department?



1	MS. DENISE BRYAN: Here.
2	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: And Chelsea Gray (sic) with
3	the State Department of Public Health?
4	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Here.
5	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. And Jessie Stuntebeck
6	with the USDA Forest Service?
7	MS. AMY RAUSER: Present virtually.
8	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: And we also have Ben Wiese
9	with us as well. And for the Community RAB, Mark Henry?
10	MR. MARK HENRY: Here.
11	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Dave Carmona?
12	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Present.
13	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Bill Gaines?
14	MR. BILL GAINES: Here.
15	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Kyle Jones?
16	MR. KYLE JONES: Here.
17	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Arnie Leriche?
18	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Here.
19	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Scott Lingo?
20	MR. SCOTT LINGO: Present.
21	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Greg Schulz?
22	MR. GREG SCHULZ: Here.
23	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Daniel Stock? Josh Sutton?
24	MR. JOSH SUTTON: Here.
25	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Rex Vaughn?



1	MR. REX VAUGHN: Present.
2	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: David Winn?
3	MR. DAVID WINN: Here.
4	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: And Cathy Wusterbarth?
5	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Here.
6	MS. AMY RAUSER: Daniel Stock is present
7	virtually.
8	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. All right. Now I
9	will quickly review tonight's agenda. Right now we are in
10	the Welcome and Introductions. Next we will have RAB member
11	updates followed by the RAB business update, then we will
12	hear the PFAS RI and IRA update followed by the vapor
13	intrusion RI update, then we will have RAB member questions
14	followed by public comment and the conclusion of our
15	evening. And at this time are there any governmental
16	officials that are joining us this evening who would like to
17	introduce themselves either in person or virtually? Yes.
18	MR. TIM CUMMINGS: All right. This is Tim
19	Cummings and this is just an update from Oscoda Township,
20	that I understand this morning the Oscoda Township
21	superintendent and supervisor met with the Air Force and
22	there was a discussion on storm sewer maintenance. There
23	was also a discussion point about the 2018 main storm sewer
24	line maintenance report. There's an additional point about
25	getting a quote for pipe inspection for the F&V city sewer.



1	Additionally, soil and drying beds testing clean. I think
2	that was a a results point; is that correct?
3	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes.
4	MR. TIM CUMMINGS: That's right. And then EGLE is
5	still inquiring about resolved pardon me let me read
6	this again. EGLE still inquiring about the resolve on a
7	plugged, contaminated sewer line. Another point was looking
8	at cleaning contamination out of plugged line owned by the
9	Oscoda Wurtsmith Air Airport Authority. And the
10	quarterly testing report was done by F&V and needs to be
11	reviewed. A pilot test, 2024-2025 foam fractionation on
12	base was another last topic. So these were the topics
13	that were discussed. I presume, Steve, you'll be able to go
14	into more detail than me.
15	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. Those were discussions
16	with the township. I guess I don't have a whole lot to
17	elaborate on at this point.
18	MR. TIM CUMMINGS: Okay.
19	(RAB Member updates at 5:07 p.m.)
20	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. Next we have some RAB
21	member updates and we will begin with our co-chair. Mr.
22	Willis?
23	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Can we go to the next slide?
24	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Fred, the next slide.
25	MR. STEVE WILLIS: There we go. So as we talked



in the last RAB meeting we were going to do critical process analysis for four sites here at Wurtsmith. We did that in conjunction with EGLE and San Antonio. There was a site visit here and Mark Henry and Bob Delaney were able to participate in that, provide some valuable input to the CPA team. Based on the -- the evaluation that was done, we did brief the Air Force management, we briefed EGLE's management, we briefed Mark and Bob and got their input and then we briefed the RAB and the community early this year. So that information is out and available.

We are moving forward with IRAs for the -- it's going to be a joint IRA for both DRMO and LF030/031. We do have funding for that for this year so in, we're in the process of awarding a contract to finalize the design and actually construct and implement that IRA. We're also continuing -- we've got a budget request for funding for next year for IRAs at both the Three Pipes Ditch and the wastewater treatment plant and we're in the meantime continuing to evaluate both of the sites and the recommendations from the CPA team.

We did have a tech session yesterday. We -- we ended up only talking about one topic, but the WSP, our O&M contractor that operates our systems provided a follow-on presentation to last November's RAB meeting with additional system performance information for the FTO2 Clark's Marsh



1 IRA. So we spent the full three hours of the tech session 2 yesterday talking through that -- that system and 3 performance.

We were supposed to have a presentation during that tech session from -- from the Water Resources Division of EGLE, but the person that was going to do the presentation was sick and was not able to make it so we'll reschedule that for a future tech session. But his presentation was going to be an overview of SRDs and how EGLE does those. It was not intended to be a Wurtsmith specific SRD presentation, but just to give you an understanding of how they put SRDs together, what goes into developing one and, you know, the general approach for them.

MR. KYLE JONES: Steve? Steve? You might say what a SRD is.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Oh, I'm sorry. SRD is a substantive requirements document. EGLE issues those to various parties. It's really -- it's almost like a permit that governs -- in our case governs the discharge from our treatment systems. Thank you, Kyle. Next slide.

And as Paula will talk about later this evening, we're coming to the close of the RI fieldwork effort for the PFAS remedial investigation. We are going to have data gaps at the conclusion of that. We had committed to doing some investigation on the east side of Van Etten Lake. We had



some meet -- meetings with EGLE late last year and we were planning to do some soil sampling under foam deposition areas that could be confirmed on the other side of the lake.

EGLE -- EGLE indicated that they wanted that sampling done as incremental sampling instead of discrete sampling and that was not in our contract with our contractor and we were at the point where we couldn't -- couldn't implement that under this contract. So we'll revisit that under a follow-on data gap investigation.

Our plan is to meet with EGLE and go through any data gaps that they perceive, any that we've identified, and then kind of plan that next contract to do the follow-on data gap investigation that'll feed into our feasibility study to evaluate and identify -- or to evaluate long-term remedies for these sites and then move forward with that.

For those that have seen our posters in the back over the last year or so, if you look at them today they -you'll notice that they are, in my opinion and I think in
most everyone's, a vast improvement. We're now able to show
the aerial background. For awhile there was some DOD
guidance. I guess it actually stemmed even from the
National Defense Authorization Act. There was some
different interpretations of what could and couldn't be
provided and I'll talk a little bit more on the next couple
slides about data sharing. But as a result of that, we took



the background -- aerial background off of all of our maps so it made it difficult to -- to really look at the -- the results and figure out where the contamination was and was not. But we put the aerials back on and -- and so I think everyone will agree that they're -- they're a big improvement in understanding what's going on out here.

And I also did include for -- for everyone's benefit for future planning the next -- the rest of the RAB meetings for this calendar year on the slide. They're typically the third Wednesday of February, May, August and November. I know we -- for the -- for this meeting we delayed it a week because last week would have been the -- the third Wednesday but it was Valentine's Day and we talked among ourselves and decided it probably would be better for (inaudible) to defer it a week, so -- and I know last year we deferred the November meeting. Actually, we moved it up a week, I think, because of hunting season. This year the November meeting will not conflict with the start of hunting season so I think we're good there.

MR. MARK HENRY: One additional thing, along with those dates that are mentioned on the slide, those are all on Wednesdays. On the Tuesday immediately before that there will be a in-depth technical meeting open to the public for those who are interested in the nuts and bolts of what's going on.



1	MR. STEVE WILLIS: And those unlike the RAB
2	meeting, those technical sessions are very free form. We
3	don't we don't come in with an agenda. This time was
4	probably the most structured in terms of us coming with
5	presentations. But typically I reach out to the to Mark
6	Henry through and through him to the community for topics
7	of interest. We get those ahead of time, show up with maps
8	and tables and charts and whatever we need to talk about it.
9	But it's a very free form discussion, so people are more
10	than welcome to come listen. If you got questions, if you
11	wanted something that isn't necessarily covered in a RAB
12	meeting but you wanted to ask about, you know, "How does
13	this affect my house" or whatever, you can come to those
14	meetings and talk about it. They're very informal.
15	MR. MARK HENRY: But useful.
16	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. Absolutely.
17	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Can we ask questions now
18	of of some of the things that you just mentioned?
19	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Sure.
20	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: I would do that.
21	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Sure.
22	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Okay. If you go back
23	to this is Cathy Wusterbarth. Looking at the 2025 budget
24	request for the IRAs for Three Pipes and wastewater
25	treatment plant, we have numbers that that we can help



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 you work on in terms of congress and those sorts of requests
 2 on our end.
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah, you can always tell them we need money.
 - MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Okay. If I could have some specifics, that's what we're looking for.
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay; okay. Yeah, I don't have the number off the top of my, but
 - MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Okay. If, if we could get that before the next RAB meeting so that we can work on that on our end. And then the other question I have is about the sampling on the east side of Van Etten Lake. You had used a couple of terms, "incremental sampling" I think versus --
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Discrete, right.
 - MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: -- "discrete." Okay. And is there a value? You know, what -- what's the difference between the two and -- and what, you know
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: So I sort of, sort of stole some of Amy's thunder. I think she's actually going to talk about that as well.
 - MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Is she? Okay.
- MR. STEVE WILLIS: So, yeah. So I'll let her --
- MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Okay.
- MR. STEVE WILLIS: -- in -- in her presentation

 she'll -- she'll explain the difference between the two.



MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Okay. Thank you.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Anything else? We can go to the next slide. So as I mentioned a minute ago, the next couple we'll talk about data sharing, what we can -- can share freely with -- with both the State regulators and the public and what -- what data is considered personally identifiable information and is covered under the Privacy Act and that we will not share.

So any locations of samples on privately owned residential drinking water wells, we won't share the results of that sampling without the owner's consent. And the only location data we would share is the lat- -- latitude and longitude. We won't share your name, your address or any of that information in any of our reports. So if -- if we -- if we seek you out as a potential location for sampling drinking water -- and it'll be spelled out in the agreement with you -- but we would not share your name or address in any of the documentation that we produce. It'll all be longitude/latitude only and then sampling results.

And if we don't have your permission to share all of that, then we'll take that accordingly. And that data sharing really applies to -- to private drinking water information. Groundwater soil and sediment sampling show on our maps already. Next slide.

And so many of you may have received our



1	questionnaire that went out. It was a drinking water
2	questionnaire asking who had a private drinking water well
3	on your property. I think we sent out Paula, over 200 of
4	them?

MS. PAULA BOND: Oh, no, there was -- I have -- it's likely responded (crosstalk).

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay; okay. Yeah, so we -- we sent out quite a few. We've got a fair number of responses back, but we're trying to evaluate private wells that are out there. Now that we've delineated the extent of contamination in groundwater, we're trying to determine who in the community might be impacted with a private drinking water well and then work with you to sample it and if -- if you are impacted above the established criteria, then we'll take action appropriately.

MR. MARK HENRY: Can I interject a question? This is Mark Henry.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes, please.

MR. MARK HENRY: My understanding is -- is that the State of Michigan DHHS has been sampling residential wells out in that area. And of the possible wells in the -- in the what's called the zone of potential impact, according to Puneet before he left, the State was able to sample approximately two-thirds of the available wells out there that might be impacted. Is the Air Force looking to fill in



1 a data gap, because the Air Force has the State data, by 2 looking at the other third of people that the State was not 3 able to convince? MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes; absolutely. We do not 4 5 want to duplicate their efforts. We want new data. 6 yes, we've -- we've worked with them to get their latest set 7 of data and -- and are using that along with all the survey 8 responses we get back to pinpoint where we're going to 9 sample. 10 MR. MARK HENRY: Thank you. 11 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. 12 MS. AMY HANDLEY: Steve, I had a -- a question. 13 It says on here that, "At present, the locations of past or 14 future private sampling will not be shared to EGLE." 15 thought we had cleared that up with doing a new form so that 16 we would be able to know what you guys get. 17 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So that would only apply if we 18 don't have consent from the property owner. 19 MS. AMY HANDLEY: Okay. 20 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And so -- and so, yeah. Yeah, if we -- if we don't have their consent, then we wouldn't be 21 22 able to share that. But we'll try and go back to those 23 and -- and potentially get -- and, and there really 24 aren't -- for Wurtsmith, there aren't -- this policy was

written broader than Wurtsmith. But we haven't done



drinking water sampling here in -- what? -- eight years I 1 2 think. So, yeah, we don't have any recent data that would 3 apply to that. 4 MS. AMY HANDLEY: Okav. Thank you. MR. STEVE WILLIS: And next slide. I think turn 5 it over to Amy. 6 7 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Does the Community have an 8 update for us? 9 (Community RAB Update 5:20 p.m.) MR. MARK HENRY: Let's see. The Community RAB has 10 11 had a couple of internal meetings, as well as action item 12 meetings with the Air Force and I don't know if the State 13 was there or not. I don't think so. We've also had some 14 discussions about the remedy that is being proposed or the 15 IRA, the -- for the Alert Aircraft Area. Interim remedial 16 actions are good. We have been asking for much larger 17 coverage of the proposed interim remedial action, the IRA, 18 and I'm hoping to hear this evening that -- some more 19 information on that. Outside of that, I guess that's about 20 it. MS. JESSIE HOWARD: All right. And then next I 21 22 believe that Amy Handley from EGLE also has a update for us. 23 MS. AMY HANDLEY: Yes. Good evening, everyone. 24 Just some things that we've been up to recently. We

participated in the November BCT meeting which talked about



the VI immediate work plan, work that's been occurring. They started that in August and we worked the first quarter data, which is going to be presented this evening. And then we also had the January BCT where we covered the pump and treat systems and reviewed their performance and monitoring well maintenance plans. We've been having regular meetings with the Air Force to go over all of their field activities and the progress that they've been making for all the field work as well as what monitoring wells they're putting in and kind of discussing the locations of where they're putting those and the screen depths.

We had our CPA out-brief meeting in December and then I believe the community's was right after the new year in January. We've been reviewing a whole lot of vapor pin data from that first quarter and we just recently were able to kind of walk through the second quarter data with the Air Force and our contractor virtually, because that data hasn't been finalized yet. And then we've been reviewing some documents and providing some backcheck comments. We have the BECOS long-term monitoring reports, the pump and treat system report and then also the vapor intrusion quality assurance plan. We've provided backcheck comments on all of those.

And we also reviewed the SS072 revised risk assessment and provided additional comments to the Air Force



on that. And then one additional note that I didn't have on here was that myself and a few other members of RRD have been meeting with members of WRD in the AG's office to develop that SRD for the Aircraft Alert Area. And we were actually just able to submit that draft document to the Air Force last week and we're anticipating being able to send the ARARs list within this next week, which ARARs is the Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements. I always have to write it down because I never remember the order. But that's just some of the stuff we've been up to recently.

And then for things that we have upcoming, we have some data to be continuing -- continuing to review the data for the RI work that was completed last year and into this year. As it comes in we kind of sit down and talk about it and actually have meetings with Air Force and our contractor to go over that. And then we're also planning to do a large data dump for all of this data so that we can have it internally for ourselves as well to be able to review it and implement it in certain ways for our databases.

We have a BCT meeting coming up in March, and then we are continuing to have discussions for the vapor intrusion work with DHHS and with the Air Force. And as Steve had mentioned, we are going to be working pretty close with the Air Force for the beginning stages of that work for



the east side of Van Etten Lake and kind of the approach for all of that.

To kind of talk to what you had asked about,

Cathy, with the incremental sampling. So it's kind of a -
I don't want to say newer, but it's kind of a more recent

choice for EGLE to approach doing incremental sampling. We

feel that it provides better data and more repeatable data

for us. Got to make sure I read my notes correctly here.

Yes, better data. And we are able to make better decisions

with the data that we're receiving from this. I think if

you want to go into more of, like, the technical aspects of

how they are different, I'll have to maybe phone a friend

for that. But it's -- it's what EGLE feels is the better

approach for doing soil sampling is applying that method

instead. Is there any questions about it? Because I'm -
I'm sure that someone probably has one.

MR. DAVID WINN: I have -- I have several questions, but go ahead and finish your presentation.

MS. AMY HANDLEY: And then the rest of what I have on here is just the additional documents that we're planning to have coming in the next couple months that we're going to have to review. A couple of different ones for the Aircraft Alert Area, five-year review, and some different quality assurance plans.

MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. Can I ask a question now?



1	MS. AMY HANDLEY: Go ahead.
2	MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. Dave Winn, a couple
3	questions. BCT meeting minutes for November and also
4	January.
5	MS. AMY HANDLEY: Yes. The November minutes are
6	about to be posted. I need to submit those. And then we'll
7	see January's
8	MR. DAVID WINN: On the MPART web site?
9	MS. AMY HANDLEY: Yes. And then the January ones
10	are coming. We're just waiting for those ones to be
11	finalized and sent to us.
12	MR. DAVID WINN: Can I ask a question to Air
13	Force? I asked about a year and a half ago why we couldn't
14	have one slide on this summary identifying the highlights of
15	the BCT meeting minutes. I'm still waiting for that slide.
16	Is there any reason why we can't have that slide on this
17	package?
18	MR. STEVE WILLIS: No. I'll do that. That's I
19	dropped the ball on that one, Dave.
20	MR. DAVID WINN: Okay.
21	MR. STEVE WILLIS: I'll get that for you.
22	MR. DAVID WINN: Please. I mean, it'd be good for
23	not only the community and everybody else to know because
24	we we're not invit nobody's invited to that meeting.
25	It'd be nice to know what's going on at that meeting, at



1 least to have some highlights as to what's going on. 2 question I have is I want to talk real briefly about this 3 continued approach for Van Etten La- -- east side of Van 4 Etten Lake. As I understand right now there's going to be a 5 separate -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- there's going to be a separate work plan developed for the east side of Van 6 7 Etten Lake; is that true? 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. 9 MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. MR. STEVE WILLIS: And -- and it'll cover more 10 11 than just the east side of Van Etten Lake. 12 MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. Then -- then I'm going 13 to -- then I'm going to ask a couple of different questions. 14 First off, we've been talking about the east side of Van 15 Etten Lake for over five years, even before you, when Matt 16 Mars and everybody else was still around. Okay? And we're 17 still going to be talking about Van Etten Lake. On the RI 18 addendum, the RI addendum had a complete breakdown of 19 everything from the testing, the sampling, the transducers, 20 the Battelle signature analysis, the septic influence 21 study -- okay -- and other than these transducers and the 22 piezometers, I haven't seen anything. Okay? 23 Now we're going to take and we're going to go and 24 we're going to create another work plan when the originally

the RI addendum, everybody's saying, "Well, the RI's



complete." In my opinion, the RI is not complete. 1 2 side of Van Etten Lake -- okay -- as I understand -- and, 3 Steve, I'm referring to an e-mail that you sent to Mark on February 5th. The east -- the east side of Van Etten Lake 4 5 will be done as part of the FS part of the program, 6 feasibility study, which is going to be the first quarter of 7 next year. Am I correct in saying that? 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So it'll -- it'll be part of 9 the data gap investigation that'll feed the feasibility 10 study. 11 MR. DAVID WINN: Which is -- which starts in 2025; 12 correct? 13 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. It'll probably start 14 about that time. 15 MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. So here we go, another 16 year is going to go by and nothing is going to be done with 17 the east side of Van Etten Lake. So when you sit -- when 18 people sit here and talk about the RI being complete, the RI 19 and RI addendum was not complete in my opinion. So I'm --I'm -- I'm not satisfied with -- with this -- with this 20 plan. If you're going to generate a new work plan -- all 21 22 right -- you haven't completed the old work plan, so we 23 complete a new work plan, all you're doing is kicking the 24 can down the road. Plain and simple.

So I'm -- I'm really disappointed in the fact that



we've been talking about the east side of Van Etten Lake for over five years and now we're going to be talking about it for on the sixth year as well. To me that's wrong. Thank you.

MR. KYLE JONES: Excuse me. Amy, I've -- I've got a question or two. This is Kyle Jones with Community RAB.

You -- you nicely went through a list of the various documents and meetings in which you -- that EGLE provided comments to the Air Force regarding their -- their proposed documents. Does EGLE keep a record of whether yes or no the Air Force accepts EGLE's comments?

MS. AMY HANDLEY: So we do go back and forth with the Air Force. We'll provide comments, the Air Force will respond to them. If we feel there's additional discussion that's needed, we'll have those comments, we'll add additional comments to that or more if it's resolved, or we'll have meetings with the Air Force to find a resolution for ones that we feel need additional discussion. But all of those are then recorded and then actually put into the final document.

MR. KYLE JONES: Are there times when Air Force just says flat no and EGLE thinks it ought to be another way?

MS. AMY HANDLEY: So that does happen and then we can go down the path for a dispute resolution or find ways



1 to resolve it under additional investigation that might 2 better apply somewhere else. It does happen. We really try 3 to work to have that not be the case, but it does. MR. KYLE JONES: And you just indicated if it 4 5 does, then you try to resolve it another way or find some 6 non-Wurtsmith way, is that what I understood you to say? 7 MS. AMY HANDLEY: No. 8 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 9 MS. AMY HANDLEY: So if -- if -- if there's a 10 particular aspect within that document that we feel needs to 11 be addressed but it's better applied, say, like in a VI, 12 like if it's something related to PFAS but a concern we have 13 is related more to vapor intrusion, so VI? 14 MR. KYLE JONES: Yes. 15 MS. AMY HANDLEY: We'll just defer that to --16 we'll -- we'll look for this within the VI work plan which 17 is upcoming. 18 MR. KYLE JONES: Oh, okay. All right. 19 MS. AMY HANDLEY: So that's -- that's what I 20 meant. 21 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 22 MS. AMY HANDLEY: That this might be found 23 somewhere else in the future. 24 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. And then, Steve, I -- I 25 have a question for you regarding the comments that Dave



1	made. You know, we all work kind of hard on reviewing Air
2	Force's work plan that was or or plan for work if I could
3	say it that way, that was included in the remedial
4	investigation document as an addendum to the QAPP for a
5	quality assurance project plan which was entered and and
6	adopted by the Air Force. And I don't know that, that it's
7	actually appropriate or legal to just say we're not going to
8	do that, we're going to write another work plan. So what
9	what is the rationale then for, or what is it that, that
10	why is it changed?
11	MR. STEVE WILLIS: We did we did additional
12	investigation that wasn't originally planned, had to step
13	out further.
14	MR. KYLE JONES: Where? I'm sorry.
15	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Which specific?
16	MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. I mean, on the east side
17	or
18	MR. STEVE WILLIS: No; no; no. Just in general.
19	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay.
20	MR. STEVE WILLIS: You know, you collect as
21	part of the delineation process you collect a sample and if
22	it exceeds your cri criteria, you'll step out and
23	collect an additional. Well, we had to step out numerous
24	times more than we anticipated which all costs money time
25	and money. We did some additional investigation and



sampling as a result of feedback from the RAB. There was locations that were not planned initially, but to address the concerns we collected samples in those locations. All that's taken time and money and we're out of both at this point. So the -- we -- we pick some key points which Dave indicated, the piezometers and transducers on the east side of the lake to start collecting some data there. The PFAS signature analysis, the soil sampling under the foam is all going to be pushed to the next investigation because we just don't have the money to do it now.

MR. KYLE JONES: Oh, that's -- that's --

MR. STEVE WILLIS: And -- and -- and I -- I cannot mod- -- modify this contract any further to add more money or more time.

MR. KYLE JONES: That is understandable. But it's -- I thought I heard that you or someone said that a new work plan had to be written.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: We will have to write a new work plan for that follow-on investigation. It may or may not be the same contractor. It's going to be a brand new contract. It'll be a new, new scope for them, it'll be a new work plan and we will sit with EGLE to help develop that.

MR. KYLE JONES: But if the work plan is already written --



1	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Well, we can do a lot of copy
2	and paste from from the existing QAPP addendum.
3	MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. I mean, if you hire a new
4	consultant because you then have been given money to do so
5	and you have time to do it, why is that that consultant
6	or that contractor not able to work directly off the the
7	remedial investigation work plan and QAPP that exists right
8	now?
9	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Because that's going to be
10	incomplete. There are additional ga additional data
11	gaps, additional sampling that's not necessarily spelled out
12	in the QAPP that need to be defined for them to go and do.
13	So so if they were strictly to work off of the existing
14	QAPP addendum, they would not get all of the data gaps.
15	MR. KYLE JONES: So if if I could
16	MR. STEVE WILLIS: So I need a new planning
17	document to spell out what they're going to do.
18	MR. KYLE JONES: I understand. I guess what I
19	didn't understand before and now I think I am understanding
20	is what you're saying is, and you've told the RAB this
21	 before, is that once Air Force gets to the feasibility study

stage of the CERCLA process, you anticipated having data

gaps that would be not identi- -- or they'd be identified

but not sampled and measured yet. And that you would do

that, you would write that work plan for those data gaps and



22

23

24

1 do them simultaneously to the feasibility study work that is 2 really separate from investigation work. And do I 3 understand then that the east side of Van Etten Lake 4 sampling will be -- is part of that so-called data gap, 5 remedial investigation that's going to be done 6 simultaneously to the --7 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. 8 MR. KYLE JONES: -- the feasibility study? 9 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. 10 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 11 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. 12 MR. KYLE JONES: Thank you. 13 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Uh-huh. 14 MR. DAVE CARMONA: Steve? Dave Carmona, Community 15 My question for you then is since you are coming up member. 16 to fieldwork this season, basically you've said everything is scheduled for the season. So far we've run out of money 17 18 and run out of time. Are you saying you don't get a 19 financial refresh until the beginning of the fiscal year in 2.0 October? 21 MR. STEVE WILLIS: That's correct. 22 MR. DAVE CARMONA: Okay. So basically where 23 I'm --24 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And we still -- we still need to finish collecting. We've still got some additional 25



fieldwork for the ongoing RI that needs to be done and Paula will talk about that. The plan is to have it done by the end of the month. But then we've got to compile the three years of data we've collected and go through it all to see what additional data gaps might exist. And that'll be all identified in the RI report. There'll be a section that talks about data gaps. So I need that report, all that data compiled and put into a report before I can go out and put on contract the follow-on data gap investigation. Otherwise I don't know what gaps they're investigating to tell another contractor to go fill.

MR. DAVE CARMONA: Okay. Can -- can you see how it appears as though Van Etten is being allowed to fall -- feels like it's being allowed to fall between the cracks? You're up against a time line, you're up against budget, you have to compile the data to move into the feasibility study, you have six months set aside for the feasibility study, and that occurs primarily prior to the 2025 fieldwork season. So since you're only allowed six months for that and to get that report written, how are you going to get that data in there and how is it going to be reflected in the feasibility study? Because right now based on your time line, this could very easily be left out because of budgetary issues, time line issues, or requirements of the Air Force.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: No. It's -- that investigation



1	on the other side of the lake is already in writing in the
2	QAPP addendum. So it's been identified. It'll be carried
3	forward. It's not going to drop through the cracks.
4	MR. DAVE CARMONA: I think most of us have a a
5	concern that the appearance is not good. The optics on this
6	are not good for the Air Force. I just something needs
7	to break this dam loose here. And I know we're only a
8	population of 10,- to 15,000 people compared to other Air
9	Force bases where you have a half a million quarter
10	million to half a million people nearby, and for lack of a
11	better term this is an acceptable loss up here, but it is
12	not to us.
13	MR. STEVE WILLIS: It isn't to the Air Force
14	either. Believe me, you guys are not overlooked.
15	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. So at this time I would
16	like to
17	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Jess? I'm sorry.
18	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Yeah.
19	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: I didn't know that you
20	Arnie Leriche, Community RAB. I've got a question for Steve
21	and for and Amy. About a year ago I think it is the BCT
22	report's minutes went from detailed to a summary type and a
23	lot of detail may not be in there for us to learn what's
24	going on or had been discussed at those meetings, but that

is what it is. But the speed in which the report's been



made available to us really hasn't improved. So is there something that's holding those up? That -- because the data and the information from what you and EGLE and other State agencies are doing, there's no reaction time for us to understand, then comment or ask questions to you at a RAB meeting or whatever. Do you have any suggestions on what could improve that?

MR. STEVE WILLIS: I don't know. We can talk with EGLE about the -- the process in getting those approved.

Just volume of work for all of us. But we'll sit down and talk about maybe ways we can prioritize some of that, to get it -- make it available to you faster.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: One suggestion I'd like to think about is, and it's actually to add on it's related to what David Winn asked for on that one slide. There is in about every other or third BCT used to be a document flow table.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Oh, yeah; yeah.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Air Force creates it, reviews it, legal reviews it, then it's sent to the State, State comes back and so forth and then it's finalized and everything and it's maybe about 20-so rows of different reports. That's not always shared with us.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: It should be part of the BCT minutes always. If it's not, then it's an oversight that



I'll look into. 1 2 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. 3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: But it should -- should be the 4 -- the -- it should be minutes, it should be the 5 presentation slides, and it should be the document tracker. 6 That's the table you're referring to. 7 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. That's a document you 8 produce. I don't see much of where -- I don't know why you 9 can't share that with us with the agenda before at the same 10 time that you give those documents to the State because 11 you've already negotiated what the agenda is and everything. 12 So I don't know what additional --13 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I'm sorry. I'm not following 14 the question. 15 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Can you share that before the 16 BCT or the day of the BCT? 17 MR. STEVE WILLIS: The document tracker? 18 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: The tracker and the agenda, so 19 at least we'll see what topics might have been added to the 20 agenda, so we just become more informed. 21 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. I don't see a reason 22 why -- why we couldn't share that. 23 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Arnie, can I interrupt 24 Because I kind of want to piggyback off of something

that you're saying. This is Cathy Wusterbarth. I just want



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

2.0

- to understand how the BCT minutes work. They -- they're kept by the Air Force and then shared with the State and then the State puts them on their site?
 - MS. AMY HANDLEY: Yeah. So we -- we get them and then we review them to make sure everything that's in there matches what we participated in, and then they will finalize them and then we will share them on the MPART web page when they're final.
 - MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Okay. Can I ask why they're not on the RAB web site, on our Wurtsmith RAB site versus on the State's site?
- MR. STEVE WILLIS: It's not really RAB -- RAB activity, but the administrative record.
- MR. MARK HENRY: But the administrative. Right.

 15 It could be put in there.
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. I've gotten different opinions on whether they belong there based on the actual definition of the admin record. But we can -- we could put them there or -- or I'll check to see if we can post them on the -- the RAB web site.
- MR. DAVE CARMONA: Well, why wouldn't --
- MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Yeah. I don't understand why it's not part of the RAB.
- MR. DAVE CARMONA: -- why wouldn't it be information and data that we need? This is communications



between the Air Force and the State making decisions about how things are going to be done here.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: That's true, yes.

MR. DAVE CARMONA: And we sometimes don't see that data for six to eight months or longer and it leaves us a space that we cannot fill until that point in time and by then, for example, we miss six or eight months of -- of BCT meetings when we're doing the QAPP addendum comments.

Looking back at the meetings that were finally posted, some of that information would have answered some of the questions we brought up and spent time discussing here had we seen BCT minutes.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: The minutes are posted in the library. When they're finalized, they're posted in the library, a hard copy.

MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Yeah; yeah. No, we need to have them online.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. I'll look into the -- a mechanism to share them online.

MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Thanks.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Steve? It's Arnie Leriche again. Many sites do publish those into the AR, the administrative record, and I can send you some examples if you want. Chanute is one of them. It kind of memorializes it because that record isn't always there for the public and



anyone else that wants to review. The website's not going
to be here, can't mark the time for that complete.

MR. KYLE JONES: And see -- Kyle Jones here. And just -- just as to the degree that -- and you indicated that you get differing opinions on the appropriateness of posting the BCT information, either in the administrative record public site or the RAB site. To the degree that it's on the MPART web site, it's public.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. There's nothing that -MR. KYLE JONES: And so it's a little hard for us
to understand why --

MR. STEVE WILLIS: It's not a -- it's not a -- not a lack of wanting to share it. It's the appropriateness of where to share that and I'll look into that.

MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. Okay. I guess just then to back up what others have said to the -- it seems to me that a very broad def -- or definition of what's appropriate for the RAB site or administrative record should be applied and not a narrow one.

MR. DAVE CARMONA: Dave Carmona, Community RAB.

Steve, a question for you regarding budgetary issues. Most departments and agencies in the federal government, their heads are given discretionary funds at the beginning of the year. Those generally become available in June or early July. Is there an opportunity or have you experienced in



the past the ability to get some of that discretionary
funding to apply to the Oscoda area?

MR. STEVE WILLIS: We have, yes; definitely.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. At this time I would like to give the floor to the remaining RAB members for any updates that they have. We can kind of go around the table again. We can start over here with Chelsea.

MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yeah. Hi. Chelsea Gary. I just have a few updates to share today. So for the 2023 round four water sampling, sampling is now completed and most everyone's results have been sent. I also wanted to share some metrics and a breakdown of the results. As of January 5th, 194 addresses were sampled, 127 of those addresses or 65 percent of them were non-detect. 54 addresses or 28 percent of them were detect below our comparison values. 13 addresses or 7 percent were at or above our comparison values.

I also wanted to update everyone on our plan for 2024, round five sampling. That will be conducted similar to prior years. We are targeting more of the April and May time frame to help get a better idea of seasonality with the results since we typically sample in the summer. Seasonal residents will be targeted more so in May, just to give you a heads up on that because, you know, there are seasonal residents.



1	And then recruitment letters will be sent soon for
2	that. As far as the exposure assessment, clinics are going
3	on this week and scheduling is continuing. As of this month
4	on the 12th, 672 participants have enrolled from 501
5	households and 458 adults and less than five adolescents
6	have completed appointments so far. And that's all I have.
7	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you.
8	MR. KYLE JONES: Could I question of Chelsea?
9	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Sure.
10	MR. KYLE JONES: This is Kyle Jones again from the
11	Community RAB. What is meant well, first of all, can we
12	back up? What was being sampled? Was it drinking water
13	wells? What was being sampled?
14	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. This is,
15	like, residential wells, yeah, drinking water.
16	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay.
17	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Uh-huh.
18	MR. KYLE JONES: And when you say comparison
19	values, what what does that mean?
20	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh, those would be on, like,
21	MDHHS's I guess you could almost say like screening values
22	that we use, our drinking water criteria.
23	MR. KYLE JONES: And what can you cite those
24	values for us now? What the
25	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh, what they are?



1	MR. KYLE JONES: Yes.
2	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh. Yeah. So for PFOA and
3	PFOS, that would be 8 parts per trillion. For PFNA, that
4	would 6 parts per trillion; PFHxS, that would be 51 parts
5	per trillion; PFBS, that would be 420 parts per trillion;
6	and then PFHxA would be 400,000 parts per trillion.
7	MR. KYLE JONES: Thank you.
8	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Uh-huh.
9	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: I have a question for
10	Chelsea also. Could you give the participants here some
11	information on the balance study that they might be being
12	contacted for? Do you have any information on that?
13	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh. What specifically are you
14	asking?
15	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Just that you share that,
16	you know, that it's happening and what the concept of the
17	study itself.
18	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh, yeah. So I will leave this
19	with we do have a different toxicologist that leads that
20	project. But very generally, that has to do with getting a
21	sense of people's response to finding out their, I guess you
22	could say, exposure to environmental contaminants. That I

think just gives you an idea of more of like the behavioral

aspects so it's a little bit different than the, like,

general exposure assessment that we're doing. Does that



23

24

25

1 kind of help give a little bit of a rundown? 2 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Yes. So I -- I might add 3 a little bit to it. So it's something that's connected with 4 this exposure assessment? 5 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh. Yeah; yeah; yes. 6 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: So people that are 7 participating in the Oscoda exposure assessment that are 8 receiving the feedback and results, --9 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Uh-huh. 10 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: -- then they are contacted 11 by this study --12 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yes. 13 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: -- before they receive 14 their results, asked a series of questions, --15 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yes. 16 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: -- and then after they 17 receive their results they're getting some questions. 18 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yes; exactly. 19 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: So they're -- they're 20 given that. So and I bring that up because, you know, we have been exposed by PFAS by the Air Force and I do think 21 22 it's relevant in this conversation that people know about 23 what the State is doing to help us understand what our blood 24 results are. 25 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yeah. Thank you for bringing



1 that up. 2 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Yeah. And actually 3 there's some monetaries (sic) to participate in that also. 4 I think you'll receive \$50 before and \$50 after. So I 5 encourage all people who are participating in this 6 assessment participate in that also. 7 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you, Cathy. 8 MR. KYLE JONES: Chelsea, just what is done with 9 the before and after data? What -- what is -- what is the 10 purpose of collecting before -- before and then after and --11 and what's done? MS. CHELSEA GARY: Right. So I will say that the 12 13 purpose -- I'm trying to think of how I want to word this. 14 So, yeah, you -- you take a survey before and after you find 15 out your results. So it just gets, it gives us a sense of, 16 you know, I guess how you respond to finding out those 17 results. I don't know if that helps give you a better idea. 18 MR. KYLE JONES: Well, I understand that. 19 okay, now you know how they responded. What -- what is done with that information? 20 21 MS. CHELSEA GARY: I -- I may have to give you a 22 better -- get back to you on that, but --23 MR. KYLE JONES: I mean, if they're panning -- can 24 you -- do you get them counseling? I just --25 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh, oh.



MR. KYLE JONES: -- I'm not understanding exactly 1 2 what, you know. 3 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: The purpose is. 4 MR. DAVE CARMONA: To provide resources. 5 MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. What -- what --6 MS. CHELSEA GARY: That's a really good question. 7 I -- I will have to get back to you on that because 8 obviously we're still in the middle of the study. I -- I'm 9 sure that someone else has a better answer than that than I 10 do, but I will get back to you on that one. 11 MR. KYLE JONES: I super appreciate that. Thank 12 you. 13 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Uh-huh; yes. MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. Continuing to move down 14 15 the line. Yes, sir? 16 MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: I'm Mike Munson from Oscoda 17 Wurtsmith. I got some positive news. I'll hit just three 18 key points. Kalitta Air completed their construction on 19 their GRE, their ground and runup enclosure and they're 20 using it, this -- this restarted runup. If you want to see 21 it in operation, there is a YouTube video out there that I 22 can share with you after the meeting. Last month I talked 23 about -- excuse me -- operation clean slate where we did a 24 lot of cleanup on the airport, we changed the landscape of the airport, moving a lot of the salvage operations over to 25



the alert area. We moved 200 tons of aggregate off the apron and taxiways. We're currently now looking at that -- at those structures and looking at some of the needed taxiway repairs. We'll also be looking for some funding to make those repairs.

We just received an MEDC SSPR grant for \$550,000, \$50,000 of local match from the airport, and that will be used to design and engineer and install, i.e. utilities, inner structure water and sewer in the 40-acre parcel that's in the middle of the airport. For those that don't know, if you look at the airport, this is in the southwest corner. And this is to support shovel-ready activity when it comes to our door. Again, the airport is one of the largest employing locations in the county so it's -- it's monies that come in that help to alleviate some of your taxes. Thank you.

MR. MARK HENRY: Mark Henry. I have a question.

MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Yes, Mark.

MR. MARK HENRY: You say that you moved a lot of aggregate. Where did it go?

MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: A lot of it was moved into an area off the airport -- or in the airport out of the area. We kept a lot of it there and it was tested for PFAS, there was none, so it -- but it did stay in the area.

MR. MARK HENRY: Thank you.



1	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Uh-huh.
2	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Mike, I have another
3	question.
4	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Sure.
5	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: It's something that I saw
6	today and this is Cathy. In in the last year the
7	investment increase in the in the op the operations
8	on the in the airport authority did I understand is
9	about a value of about 7 million increase?
LO	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Yes, because yes, because
1	we we have we have I'll use the word repair for lack
L2	of a better word or based on resurfaced the runway, also the
L3	taxiway. And there was a substantial amount of work needed
L4	on the taxiway to meet the new FAA requirements. When that
L5	was done about three years ago, it met FAA requirements.
L6	Unfortunately, they've changed. So a lot of the monies
L7	that that was used was some overspending and we had to
L8	work with the State of Michigan to be able to get us some
L9	more money for that. So, yeah, there's been a huge
20	investment in the airport because, again, that's a very busy
21	site for employment.
22	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Real quick before we move on.
23	If I could have the RAB members at the tables just move your
24	phones a little further away from the mic? I think we're

getting some feedback issues, maybe vibration or something.



25

1 Thank you very much. Did you have an update for us, Josh? 2 MR. JOSH SUTTON: No update. 3 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. 4 MR. SCOTT LINGO: No update. 5 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Arnie? 6 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: I've got a -- a question 7 mostly for Steve and -- but also for Amy. And that's the --8 the lake five-year review report. It's now four and a half 9 years overdue. Most regions that are EPA regional offices 10 issue because they're a not national priority listed site, 11 they will issue a non-compliance letter to the Air Force or 12 DOD, any facility. It's like a notice of violation. It's 13 just a notice enforcement action. And we've talked about 14 this many times over the last five years. And can you give 15 us a highlight of what the status is? Because I've heard 16 something that's disturbing, that is EGLE still doesn't 17 see -- hasn't seen the draft. 18 MR. STEVE WILLIS: That's correct. It should be 19 going to EGLE very soon. The contractor was addressing the 20 last few Air Force legal comments and then it was going to 21 go to EGLE, and then EGLE will review it and we've already 22 started the planning process for the next five-year review 23 which starts in the end of May. I think 30th of May is the 24 So the next one will be on schedule. We had a

number of issues that were identified when this five-year



25

review was initially written that we resolved. So we shouldn't have the same delays for the next one.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. For people that don't know and the public, the five-year review is a review of any control equipment or anything that --

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Any remedy that's been put in place at the site, yeah.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: -- remedy -- remedy at all there as on non-equipment types. That once they're implemented -- approved for removal or remedial action, once they're approved and they're put in operation, that goes into the next five-year review. And the FT02 was the first PFAS-related that should have been in the fourth report, the one that's late. Without knowing the Air Force's and EGLE's review of the performance level of those remedial actions, are they adequate? Do they meet what the goals were, the specifications? Or is there some improvement that needs to happen? We're now four and a half years late from being able to make that decision or for the public to know and have confidence.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: So, yeah, there's -- and just for everyone's benefit, the -- every five years for in the case of NPL sites, it's required. In the case of non-NPL sites within the Air Force, Air Force policy dictates that we do a five-year review anyways. And if you look at each



1	of your remedies that was put in place in a record of
2	decision, you look at the remedial action objectives of that
3	remedy and you evaluate every five years whether or not your
4	remedy is achieving that. And your remedy could be a
5	treatment system or it could be land use controls of some
6	some sort. You know, it could be fencing, it could be
7	signage, it could be deed restrictions. But you go back and
8	look at whether that remedy is effective and is preventing
9	an exposure from occurring. Those, like Arnie said, are
10	done every five years. This one is late. No one will
11	dispute that. But there are no systems that are not meeting
12	their objectives.
13	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: And
14	MR. STEVE WILLIS: And we wouldn't have waited
15	this late in the process if they weren't. We would have
16	addressed that right away.
17	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. The you mentioned
18	that in May you're going to be starting the next one.
19	MR. STEVE WILLIS: The report.
20	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: So the work plan, has that
21	been finalized?

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Hasn't yet. They're working on

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: When will that be shared with

Because it's basically a questionnaire that the State



22

23

24

25

it.

1 asks questions of you wanting to know (inaudible) and 2 it's --3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I quess we'll -- we'll put that 4 on the -- the AR when it's done. 5 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. So before you start in 6 May? 7 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 8 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. 9 MR. MARK HENRY: AR is the administrative record. 10 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I'm sorry. Thank you, Mark. 11 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: All right. Did you have an 12 update for us? Sorry. I can't see your name tags. 13 MR. GREG SCHULZ: Greg. Greg. 14 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Greq. Sorry. 15 MR. GREG SCHULZ: Well, I quess, yeah, I have some 16 thoughts anyway. I think, you know, last year when the 17 Three Pipes pilot study was proposed was really a lot of 18 excitement from the RAB and the Community that we're --19 we're going to finally do something with the output coming out of Three Pipes that just goes unabated. It's really low 20 21 hanging fruit and just don't do anything about it. And now 22 with the RI being pushed off to at least 2025, which means 23 any real remediation is out to 2026 at best and 2027, seems 24 like there would be something that could be done short of an 25 RI and I -- on that waterway that would capture some



percentage. It just seems like a waste. It's -- you know, I understand the CERCLA process and it's methodical and you don't want to do harm, but I think we're really missing an opportunity to capture some PFAS relatively inexpensive compared to conventional needs by some passive capture. It would be really great to look at again. So I -- I would really like to see some brain cells spent on doing some kind of a pilot study that could be done and (indiscernible). I think really missing the boat on that.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: I don't -- did you -- I think you were able to join the CPA presentation; right? Or did -- did you or not? It seems like you did. Critical process analysis presentation. So we've got an IRA plan for that and as I indicated earlier, we've requested funding for next year. I don't have funding to do anything else before that. And the -- the -- the reason we canceled the pilot study --

MR. GREG SCHULZ: Oh, I understand why the pilot project as proposed was. But, I mean, didn't really spend a whole lot of time or effort and that's what I'm tell -- that's what I'm saying is I think -- I mean, something else could be done in that relatively easy. Maybe we capture 25 percent of the PFAS, you know. I mean, it -- it still would give meaningful number. Those are really big numbers going down through those three pipes every single day.



1 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 2 MR. GREG SCHULZ: You know, it's -- it's 3 still -- it's really low hanging fruit to think it's --4 there be something short of the RI that still produced 5 meaningful numbers because we're probably looking at another 6 three years before something actually --7 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 8 MR. GREG SCHULZ: -- in a best case scenario. 9 MR. STEVE WILLIS: We did look at a few 10 alternatives, but none of them panned out, so we're pursuing 11 that IRA at this point. 12 MR. GREG SCHULZ: Okay. 13 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Did you have anything else? 14 MR. GREG SCHULZ: I don't know. Would you be open 15 to suggestion if somebody came up with something? 16 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Sure. 17 MR. GREG SCHULZ: All right. 18 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Just a question on Three 19 We all call it Three Pipes and that's where it is 20 when it goes into the river. But the outfall has been 21 hidden in there and never discussed really for two years and 22 then this pilot thing came out. So I did a little bit of 23 research in the last month because I wanted to know how that 24 happened. So I went to the ecological risk assessment work plan that was finalized in '22. Lo and behold, their work 25



1 plan has a sampling for biota and mammals or whatever from 2 that, and I'd like you to check and see was that 3 accomplished and was it accomplished up at the outfall where the 1,000 part per trillion plus concentration has been 4 5 coming out? 6 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So Paula will give us an update 7 on all that later in her presentation. MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Kyle, did you have an update 8 9 for us? If we can just try to stick to the updates right 10 now? 11 MR. KYLE JONES: Yes. I -- I have no update. 12 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: And then we'll get to 13 questions and comments and things like that later. 14 MR. KYLE JONES: I -- I have no update. 15 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Cathy? 16 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: I do have an update. 17 this action item list that Steve produces for us and he --18 he got to the RAB this -- this last week, he did get that on 19 the Air Force or RAB website, so this is a first and we're 20 really -- I'm -- I'm personally very excited about it. 21 So -- so you can see of the list of guestions and things 22 that we've asked the Air Force to do or maybe the state 23 or -- but it's -- you know, there's, we're on 140 now or 24 something like that. So this is -- these are the ongoing asks that happened in this -- in this meeting and there's 25



some that go back, you know, five years. So it's -- it's a good list for us to look at and to keep an eye on because we don't want things to fall through the cracks and that's what this document is there for. So appreciate that that's on the website now along with all those -- the presentations from yesterday, the technical session is on there and the, you know, poster boards and all that. So thank you so much. That really helps with the transparency, this information and getting it out to the public, so -- oh, and I saw Kelly Lively come in the door. She is with Senator Peters' office, so

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. Bill?

MR. BILL GAINES: Signage. I presume that the signage that is up for no fishing and no hunting is not included in your five-year plan since you've said that signage and its effectiveness was acceptable?

MR. STEVE WILLIS: So none of our remedies that are in place include any kind of signage related to that.

MR. BILL GAINES: Okay. Just a comment. There is signage. It is absolutely ineffective. I watch people hunt. I watch people fish. I know that there's not signage at the places where you access the river to fish from the river. So if anybody thinks that signage is doing any good, they're wrong.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Rex?



MR. REX VAUGHN: Yesterday at the technical
session I had a bit of an epiphany with some of the
information that was presented. And the epiphany went wait
a minute. They've stuck all this stuff in the ground at the
FT02 place and they're not catching a whole lot of PFAS
that's getting past it and getting into the marsh. That
made me very uncomfortable because at the end of the pipes
coming out of the water treatment plants they're meeting
standards. It's clean water coming out of there. But it's
going back into the ground and it's mixing in with stuff
that got by the extraction well and is continuing its way
into Clark's Marsh and into the Au Sable River.

So my -- my comment is don't get a warm fuzzy feeling about what's happening out at FT02, because there's a awful lot of bad stuff getting past the system that's there and it probably won't be fixed until they get the feasibility study done and then get into the final -- final remedy stage. That kind of amplifies some of the things that Bill mentioned about, you know, warning the public that it's still a hotspot down there. And just because there's pumps and pipes and monitoring wells and a bunch of engineers running around doesn't mean that it's safe.

So stay out of Clark's Marsh. It's not a healthy place for humans or animals or anything else even with all the equipment that's there. Because the amount of PFAS



that's coming down off that hill from all the stuff that the
Air Force dumped on the ground at the Far- -- the Clark
training facility, that that system can't get. It just
can't get it the way it's designed and operated. It's
operating perfectly, but it's only grabbing a small
percentage of the total amount of contamination that's going
into Clark's Marsh.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Dave?

MR. DAVID WINN: I have nothing right now.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Denise?

MS. DENISE BRYAN: I think my comments are regarding the -- my town exposure update that we're grateful to be a partner in this area to ensure our neighbors have a chance to get some baseline data. And it's going to become ever more important as time and money becomes factors and effective, impactful remediation efforts here. It is on the back of this community that four to five health advisories have been issued from local public health for the State.

And I have a clear memory of being in the Oscoda Library and our neighbor Tony telling the Air Force "time's up" seven years ago. So we felt like the time has been up for a long time for the impactful actions.

And I think when we look at community recovery and resiliency, we are so far from putting anybody at ease for what's going on and we don't have an end quite in mind or



it -- it's -- it's every year it's drawn out and it gets more difficult to really at all rationalize the lack of forward progress that our neighbors, friends and families would have hoped for. I didn't think there was anything that seven years in that library when Tony said "time's up," if you were in the room and felt the passion of people worried about their health and their grandchildren.

And when you think of Van Etten Lake and the foam in the spring that's around the corner and the toxicologist told me "Yes, the water rinse station is even for the dogs swimming in the lake." We are out of time and money but the health impacts are mounting and the data does not give us any reassurance that this is going to be impactful or even enough. And I do think that we -- we really expect better. And time and money, I watched Oscoda Township bills go up with what you had to absorb with those factors around PFAS in this community.

I see families also try to come up with the money to hook up to municipal and navigate the change of life with hunting at Clark's Marsh, which we call ground zero. And so I just want to keep in mind that health for our neighbors is the most important focus and we need to continue the expectation that the Air Force find the remedies to time and lack of money because we're out of it, too. So going home tonight, let's continue to talk to families and neighbors



1	about this is really disappointing. But as a health
2	officer, we are fans of community. We're very networked in
3	with the legislators too, and this conversation will
4	continue. Thank you.
5	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. Dave?
6	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Dave Carmona, Community RAB. I
7	just want to thank NOW for their continuing efforts in the
8	legislative side of this issue and Senator Peters' office
9	for all they've done in the past year to really start
10	pushing on this issue.
11	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. I believe we have
12	Jessica Stuntebeck with us virtually. Would you like to
13	give an update, Jessica?
14	MS. JESSICA STUNTEBECK: I'll turn it over to Ben.
15	He's there in the meeting, I believe.
16	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. Ben, do you want
17	to come up and use my microphone?
18	MR. BEN WIESE: That one?
19	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: That one's not going to go on
20	the speaker, sorry. Front and center.
21	MR. BEN WIESE: Great. So I just want to say that
22	the Forest Service has been working with Aerostar quite a
23	lot as these projects progress and we appreciate how willing
24	they are to follow our standards. So folks don't realize,

but everything they do out there, Forest Service specialists



25

1 have looked over. We put a monitoring well in. We verified 2 that there's no endangered plants, we have specifications 3 for dealing with endangered species like snakes. So I just 4 wanted to bring that up that we are doing our part for the 5 other aspects of the environment and appreciate the 6 cooperation, so thank you. 7 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: All right. Thank you, Ben. 8 And I believe we also have Daniel Stock with us virtually as 9 well. Daniel, do you have any updates for us? 10 MS. AMY RAUSER: He hasn't --11 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: You want to unmute yourself, 12 Daniel? You can address the RAB whenever you're ready. 13 MR. DANIEL STOCK: I think you couldn't hear me. 14 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Now we can. Start over. 15 Sorry. Whenever you're ready. 16 MR. DANIEL STOCK: I quess my unmute -- my unmute 17 does not seem to be working, so was just talking to myself. 18 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: We can hear you now. 19 MR. DANIEL STOCK: I -- I -- I have no comment. 20 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. 21 MR. DANIEL STOCK: Don't know what I can do to 22 hear the comments from these people. 23 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. So next we will have an 24 update on other RAB business from Mr. Willis.

(RAB Business Update at 6:18 p.m.)



25

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Next -- next slide, please.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Brendan, next slide.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: So as Cathy indicated, the RAB action item list was distributed to RAB members prior to the meeting via e-mail and also hard copies have been provided to them, each of them here at the meeting and it is on our RAB web site.

We did conduct a virtual meeting specifically to review action items. As Cathy indicated, the list is fairly long. We've got some action items that are tied to completion of the RI that were -- the questions were asked two to three years ago and so it's a long process. So the list keeps growing, waiting to finish some of this work so that we can close some of these action items. But because there's so many we really don't get the dedicated time in these RAB meetings to go through them and discuss them in any detail. So we started having separate virtual action item discussions specifically to go through the list item by item. I think the last one took almost two hours.

And so we had one in December after the last RAB meeting and the next one, I propose that we have that on the 27th of March at 6:00 p.m. eastern. The bottom of the slide here there's a total of -- oops, looks like I can't count. Oh, there was nine action items open at the last RAB meeting. We closed two and then we've got a total of 44



- that are still active and ongoing. And so, again, in the 27 1 2 March meeting we'll go through each of those, discuss them 3 and then if any new action items are generated from the RAB meeting tonight, they'll be added to the list and we'll go 4 5 through those as well. Next slide. 6 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: So, Steve, can we --7 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. 8 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: -- if there are some that 9 are jumping out at us, can we just comment on -- or can we 10 comment on them? I know there's a couple, like, for 11 instance, 130. 12 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I'm sorry. Which one? 13 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Item number -- well, not 14 130. The visit to the -- the area, the lab, the local lab. 15 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Oh, uh-huh. 16 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Yes. If you could mention 17 that to the -- I'd appreciate it. 18 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. I'm not sure what the
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yean. I'm not sure what the action item is. But I did receive an invitation from Dean Wiltse who owns the -- the environmental lab that's here at Wurtsmith. So we did go on a tour of the lab on Tuesday of this week just so he could show us the facility, talk about their capabilities. And so our contractor is going to evaluate whether there is a -- a role that that local lab could fill in our work at Wurtsmith. Thank you.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	MS.	CATHY	WUSTERBARTH:	Thank	you.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. All right. So if that is it for the additional RAB business, at this time I would like to take a 10-minute break. When we return, we will have two presentations.

(A recess was taken.)

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: All right. Before we begin tonight's presentations, I would just like to request that for the sake of time all RAB members please hold their questions and comments to the end of each presentation. The presenters will address those at the end. Without further ado, Paula.

(RI & IRA Updates at 6:34 p.m.)

PAULA BOND

MS. PAULA BOND: All right. Thanks, everybody, for coming. I'm going to do a really brief, brief update on the RI activities that we have accomplished since our last RAB meeting. Could you go to the next slide, please?

We had a little bit of discussion tonight about the UFP-QAPP addendum that we prepared. We had a couple of telephone calls with EGLE to go over some of their call maps on the UFP-QAPP addendum. We've gotten those worked out and we sent comment responses. They're back in EGLE's hands now and they're taking a look at those for final review before that document goes final. That's the only document that we

1 have left with the RI right now, other than the final RI report.

The nature and extent investigation is 99 percent complete. We have just a few things left to do. Like Steve said, we are planning to be done with everything by the end of the month. The weather has slowed us down just a little bit. There are a couple of well clusters and we've talked about these several times that are on Forest Service property down on the river. The Forest Service has asked us to wait to install those wells until Clark's Marsh is frozen, so that we can get down to those locations without impacting the biota as much. Unfortunately, the winter is not cooperating with us to -- to freeze Clark's Marsh. So we're waiting on those.

We have some existing monitoring wells that we're sampling and the new monitoring wells that we're installing. We'll finish that monitoring well installation later this week, early next week and all the monitoring wells will be installed. And then, like I said, we'll -- are expected to be 100 percent complete of this phase by the end of February. Next slide, please.

This slide, you guys saw this in your packets before. I just put together some numbers of samples that we have collected during the RI. We've collected groundwater samples, soil samples, surface water sediment, some seep



2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

samples, biota samples, we've collected samples from the storm and sanitary sewers. And I have some numbers here in this table and if you look at the total, so far we've 4 collected to date 4,000 -- over 4,000 samples. So just to kind of give you an idea of the magnitude of the sampling that has gone on out here during the RI. You can look at 7 the individual, groundwater is 1200. Soil -- we've collected more soil samples than anything else out here. Next slide, please.

This figure is a little bit hard to read with the lighting in here, but these are the groundwater investigation vertical aguifer sampling locations that we've completed during the RI. And this, even though it's a little bit dark, it's kind of a little bit hard to look at. But you can see that all of these green squares are locations where we have done vertical aguifer sampling. So you can see these kind of run the gamut, up in the north where the DRMO is up here all the way down to the western end of the runway, the wastewater treatment plant down here, So all over -- basically all over the base we've collected groundwater samples.

We're investigating the groundwater concentrations. We're trying to delineate those out, the extent of the groundwater plumes using the lower of the RSL or the EGLE screening value and I've listed those there for



you. But we're nearly complete with all of that. So next slide, please.

The soil investigation. Again, this figure shows just colors red/green to show you where we had a location that exceeded our screening criteria or that was below. And there's also some blue ones in here. They're a little bit hard to see. And those are the locations that exceeded our screening or ecological screening criteria. I've listed out on this slide the regional screening levels that we're using for soil. These are the human health numbers for you, but you can see the red ones, they're kind of concentrated.

This is the fire training area which makes the most sense. That's where we had heavy use of AFFF, so that's why there's a lot of red ones here. Sludge spreading areas down next to the wastewater treatment plant, and then all on the base operation apron up here there are some, quite a few red ones up there where calibration activities and different things like that took place up here. Next slide, please.

Surface water, sediment and seep samples. We have collected samples for Van Etten Lake, Van Etten Creek, the Au Sable River, from the ponds and streams within Clark's Marsh including pond one, pond two and three that are down here. A little bit hard to see on this figure. And then we've collected some seep samples from Van Etten Lake up in



this area, and from Clark's Marsh we've collected some seep samples down here on the north side of pond one. We've collected -- it's this little sample right here. It's -- it's a surface water sample. We're calling it surface water, but there's a seep in this area that is supporting the surface water here, so that's kind of a surface water seep sample down that, but we're throwing that into just the surface water category even though I believe it's really more representative of a seep. So that's kind of the locations all over where we've collected surface water sampling and seep samples. Next slide, please.

Biota sampling. We've done a bit of this terrestrial and aquatic. We've collected vegetation plants from areas where we've had soil impacts and you can see some of these areas here on this figure. You can see where we've collected a lot of the terrestrial data, and then the aquatic data is collected from the river, Van Etten Lake and the river. We've collected small mammals. We've captured a lot of white-footed mouse, mouse. We've had -- you know, some of our issues with the small mammal collection that we've seen, there wasn't really a whole lot out there to be captured and a lot of times we would capture something and then some other animal would come along and, and steal our capture. So we've had to deal with some feisty racoons out there that were taking, I think, some of our small mammal



samples.

We've collected soil associated with those small mammal samples in some of these exposure units here. We've collected aquatic vegetation from around the ponds and the river and the lake. We've collected fish samples and we've collected sediment associated with some of those. Next slide, please.

Storm sewer sampling. I think we've talked a little bit about this in the last RAB conducted on samples from the storm drains onsite. You can see these blue dots here. These are from around the old maintenance hangar, the apron, and these connect into the pipe that comes down to Three Pipe's Ditch. So we've sampled these manholes here to get a better idea of what's starting at the head of this, at the pipe, and then coming down, all the way down to Three Pipes Ditch.

We've also collected some samples over near the base operation area from these storm -- storm drains here. Then we did some, a rain event -- or one event with no rain and then event -- an event later after rain. We did do a camera survey of a portion of the storm drain. One of the issues that we had with the camera survey is that the rover that goes down in the drain, there was just too much water even during a non-rain event, so much water flowing through there, that the rover could not get through the drains. And



if you had a chance to look at the posters, this information is shown out there on the posters where the camera did pick up some -- some -- some cracks or seeps in the storm drain pipe where the groundwater is coming in, so -- and that's shown on the posters out there. That's why there's so much water in that pipe. Next slide, please.

Sanitary Sewer Sampling. We sampled four pump stations and three manholes up here toward the Aircraft Alert Area and integrated maintenance. We collected samples here at 5091 and 5092. Over by the maintenance hangar we -- over here we collected some samples, the old maintenance hangar in AFFF lagoon area. And we tried to camera some of the sanitary sewers as well, but we did have some similar issues there. Not because water was coming in, but just because of different pipe sizes and some other material flowing through there which made it a little bit difficult. But we did get a little bit of camera material for the -- the sanitary sewer. Next slide, please.

We -- heard it mentioned earlier about the transducer study. We did install a number of new piezometers on the south side of Van Etten Lake and on the east side of Van Etten Lake. We've got transducers in those wells. We installed some transducers also in some of the existing EGLE wells that are down here. And we're looking at those to measure changes in the water levels, seasonal



when the lake re-rise and lower the lake level to capture
those changes. We're trying to get a better idea of the
groundwater flow in this area and the potential groundwater
divide that it's a little bit difficult to see with the
lighting on this, but over in this area between the lake
and and Lake Huron. Yes, Mark?

MR. MARK HENRY: Mark Henry. I have a question about the transducers on the east side of Van Etten Lake. The screen zones for the wells that you put those in, were they approximately the same elevation as the residential wells?

MS. PAULA BOND: We have different screens in those trans- -- those wells that we installed on the east side of the lake. We did shallow, medium and deep so we have three zones that we did transducers in over there at each location. So a lot of the drinking water wells over there we don't necessarily know the depth, but there's no -- a lot of information on the screened intervals. But I'm sure with the three screens, the shallow, medium and deep that we have, that we are capturing some -- that the depth of the drinking water wells over there.

MR. MARK HENRY: And do you have long screens on those?

MS. PAULA BOND: We do have 10-foot screens on those, yeah.



1	MR. MARK HENRY: Okay. Thank you.
2	MS. PAULA BOND: You're welcome. Next slide,
3	please.
4	It's a very a similar story with the Van Etten
5	Creek Hydrologic Study. So we have installed more
6	piezometers on the east and west sides of Van Etten Creek
7	with transducers in those again to measure water levels.
8	Both sides up here near the dam we have some wells. And
9	then further down gradient we've got a couple on the side
10	down here on the creek it's kind of hard to see here.
11	And then down at 41, down here where the creek cro M-41
12	crosses the creek, we have some locations down there, too.
13	The USGS has installed some monitoring stations.
14	One of those is at M-41 and Van Etten Creek. There's a
15	permanent monitoring station there. They installed a
16	gauging station on Van Etten Lake, and then there's some
17	other stations. There's one in Clark's Marsh and then a
18	couple on the river that they've installed that are doing
19	automatic data collection. So we're using the data that
20	they're collecting. Yes, Mark?
21	MR. MARK HENRY: Is that recent installations that
22	the GS put those in?
23	MS. PAULA BOND: Yes; uh-huh; yeah, this year.
24	MR. MARK HENRY: Wonderful. Thank you.
25	MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. And we've got the links.



Steve can share the links to those websites. You can go to the website and download that data. Yeah. Next slide, please.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: And that was actually -- that was actually done under an Air Force cooperative agreement.

We funded it.

MS. PAULA BOND: Steve mentioned a little bit about the letter campaign that we were doing using to identify private drinking water wells. We actually sent out over 1200 letters to folks that were -- that own property on Van Etten Lake and then properties along Van Etten Creek and then south of the base where the residential area is south of the old residential area on base.

Again, the goal of that is to try to identify anyone who may be in the direct line of the groundwater plumes as we know them now that may still be using their well for drinking water and someone who hasn't been sampled by the State. So we have -- like Steve said, we have their data. So we're taking the responses that we get from the well inventory, putting those into a database, comparing those to see if they've already been sampled by the health department.

If they have been sampled, we're setting those aside. We're looking for folks who have not been sampled yet but who are still using their wells as drinking water



1 | that are in those specific zones that we're looking at.

We have -- as much as you guys are aware, the work that we're doing on the east side of Van Etten Lake south of Van Etten Lake and Van Etten Creek, that is all offsite property so we have to have access agreements to install piezometers or install wells on those pieces of property. We have recently gotten access agreements for the locations that we need. I think there may be one outstanding location, but everything else we have been able to get access agreements for. So we're really excited that we have been able to move forward and get those access agreements signed. So next slide, please.

So the ongoing activities. Like we've already said, the transducer data, we've installed those transducers and those will be left in those wells for a year. So we're already into that a couple of months, so over 10 more months we'll be looking at that transducer data. We talked just a minute about the monitoring wells along the river. Again, weather dependent and the weather's not really cooperating with us right now. The monitoring well sampling will be completed by the end of February. All of our activities will be done by the end of February.

We are still receiving analytical data from the lab for the samples that we have submitted early January. We're still waiting on getting that data back. We are



evaluating all of the data that has come in already and the new data and trying to pull all that together. The conceptual site model is being updated with all of our new data as we collect it.

As we finish up our last bit of groundwater sampling, we'll still be getting some of that final groundwater data in up into March and we'll get that data validated and then we'll be sharing that in the next RAB meeting, but we'll have all the data by the end. So everything will be incorporated into the CSM which will be part of the final -- of the RI report.

Human health and ecological risk assessments are underway. We're providing the data to the risk assessors as it is validated. So they are looking at that to evaluate risks and I think we're probably looking at -- and, Steve, if this has changed you can -- you can correct me. But we're looking at maybe at the next RAB doing a focus for the -- the risk assessment so that we can have those folks come in and give you guys an update on how that risk assessment is proceeding, the methods that they're using and how they're moving forward with that.

And like I said, the draft RI report that we're going to issue out will include the updated CSM with all of the new data and the risk assessments for both human health and ecological. And that's anticipated to be delivered to



1	the draft this summer or to the Air Force sorry
2	this summer. And I think the next slide, I think that's it.
3	Or map scheduling.
4	We're going to move on to the Aircraft Alert Area
5	real quick. I just have a couple slides here. Not much has
6	changed since the last RAB. So just real quickly, the Air
7	Force is reviewing the interim record of decision which
8	includes the responsiveness summary to the comments that we
9	received from the public and the RAB on the proposed plan.
LO	The new monitoring well data that we have
L1	collected during the RI is being evaluated and to see if it
L2	has an effect on the IRA that we're planning over there. So
L3	we still because we have collected new data from interim
L4	maintenance and we're incorporating that. And the
L5	construction is anticipated to start this summer for that,
L6	so not a whole lot of updates logistically on the Aircraft
L7	Alert Area. Next slide, please. Yes?
L8	MR. MARK HENRY: Sorry.
L9	MS. PAULA BOND: You're supposed to wait until the
20	end, Mark.
21	MR. MARK HENRY: Yeah, I know, but I'm
22	MS. PAULA BOND: That's okay. What you got?
23	MR. MARK HENRY: I'm impatient. The Alert
24	Aircraft Area, I had heard a rumor that what was currently

the thinking of the Air Force as a little bit larger scope



MR. STEVE WILLIS: It is. We -- we had some vast data. We thought the plume was a higher concentration and bigger. But we've put in permanent monitor -- monitoring wells and they didn't support that vast data and so we re-sampled the wells to confirm the initial results. And so what we thought might be a larger, higher concentration portion of the plume in fact does not exist. So the maps and the -- and the posters in the back accurately portray what we believe the plumes look like now.

MS. PAULA BOND: So what we have on the slide now is the one year outlook schedule. This hasn't changed a whole lot from the last RAB meeting. We have the RI field sampling and the transducer monitoring which we'll carry out for a year. We've got that rolling through the rest of the year. We'll be doing the RI report and getting that to the Air Force. We've already started that actually, and we'll be getting that to the Air Force later on this year. The proposed plan for the Aircraft Alert Area, that is all already complete.

The remedial design/work plan is in the final stages there. And then the ROD, kind of goes out we're looking here at May, hoping to get that wrapped up sooner. But if that carries out that has -- we -- we built some float into the schedule here. The proposed plan public



1 | meeting, you know, that happened back in October.

And then the Three Pipes Ditch, even though the pilot study was canceled, we are still looking at doing some monitoring in Three Pipes Ditch to support some other things. So even though we're not doing the pilot study, we're still collecting some data. We still have the rain gauge out there, we still have the flow meters out there, we're collecting that data, so -- and we'll continue to do that at Three Pipes Ditch. Next slide, please.

Five year outlook. To give you a little bit of a broader perspective on the way things are going to -- we see folding out as we move along. Again, this hasn't changed very much since the last RAB. We're still looking to get the RI report finalized the first quarter of 2025, and then move forward with the feasibility study proposed plan and all the way out to the -- the final remedy, which is 2027. The schedule for the Aircraft Alert Area, the planning and construction, we've got this going through the fourth quarter of '24.

So we plan to have Aircraft Alert Area up and running by the end of the year with construction starting this spring. We've got the record of decision just following through from the other end. So as soon as that ROD is signed, we can get -- we can actually start construction there. And then operation and maintenance of



1 Aircraft Alert Area, that will be continuous throughout the 2 next five years and that's it. I think that's all my 3 slides. 4 MR. DAVID WINN: Can we start at -- can we ask 5 questions now? 6 I'm ready. Go. MS. PAULA BOND: Yes. 7 Dave Winn. I got a coup- -- I MR. DAVID WINN: 8 got some. 9 MS. PAULA BOND: Okay. You said that the schedule for 10 MR. DAVID WINN: 11 the Aircraft Alert Area stayed the same. Is that correct? 12 I said it did -- yeah, it didn't MS. PAULA BOND: 13 change much from last. 14 MR. DAVID WINN: From the last RAB? 15 MS. PAULA BOND: Uh-huh. 16 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I think it's been pushed out 17 some. 18 MR. DAVID WINN: Yeah, it did get pushed out some. 19 Let's -- let's not -- make sure, make sure everybody 20 understands. The Alert Area is moving out, just like 21 everything else moving out; right? So it moved out almost 22 five months from when you got -- when it was originally --23 was told would start construction on April of '24 and now it 24 looks like it's going to be moved out until further? 25 MS. PAULA BOND: Can you go -- can you go back to



the schedule slide? So we have the -- can you go to the 1 2 previous one? Sorry. Oh, sorry. 3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Dave, you are -- you are correct. We were planning to start probably late April --4 5 MR. DAVID WINN: Yes. 6 MR. STEVE WILLIS: -- and it's been pushed out to 7 probably June, potentially July. 8 MS. PAULA BOND: Two months, yeah. 9 MR. DAVID WINN: Any reason why? 10 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. Several. One, we've 11 been working with EGLE on the -- as Amy said the ARARs, 12 which really are the governing documents for the discharge 13 of the system, the treatment system. 14 MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. 15 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And that process is taking 16 longer than we anticipated which is -- we can't -- we need 17 that input from EGLE before we can put together the record 18 of decision and run that through for everyone's review and 19 get it signed. 20 MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. 21 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So that's pushed us out. We've 22 had some delays with getting all -- going through all the 23 comments -- public comments on the responsiveness -- for the

responsiveness summary that goes in the ROD. That's public

comments on the proposed plan. We received quite a few more



24

1 public comments than we anticipated. So all that's pushed 2 out our schedule some. 3 MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. So I want to make sure I understand. This IRA does not include any of the areas that 4 5 you just talked about that are affected by the changes, 6 the -- the changes that you made to the new information or 7 new data you found; right? 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So -- so the new data --9 preliminary data indicated the plume was bigger. When we got the final data, it -- it turned out it was not, so it 10 11 didn't really affect the IRA or the shape of the plume. 12 MR. DAVID WINN: But you're not capturing, this 13 IRA is not going to capture everything in that area? 14 MR. STEVE WILLIS: It is not going to capture 100 15 That is correct. percent. 16 MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. 17 MS. PAULA BOND: Yes, Mark? 18 MR. MARK HENRY: Mark Henry, another question. 19 From your -- maybe it's not this one, maybe it's the next 20 No, there it is. The RI report is not going to be 21 released for about a year yet; is that correct? 22 MS. PAULA BOND: Right. 23 And so is there any way that the MR. MARK HENRY: 24 validated data could be released ahead of time?

MS. PAULA BOND: Oh, I'll defer that to Steve.



1	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Just you just want data
2	tables?
3	MR. MARK HENRY: Data tables with soil boring
4	indicators, results, and a map that shows where they are.
5	That's all I need. Same with groundwater. The AS results
6	by sampling location, the results and a map that shows where
7	it was.
8	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay. I'll I'll look into
9	when when would be the soonest we could release that.
10	MR. MARK HENRY: Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. STEVE WILLIS: I I hesitate to give out
12	data without information and analysis to support it.
13	MR. MARK HENRY: It's validated data.
14	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah.
15	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Arnie Leriche. A question on
16	the same point. I suggest it also include the ecological
17	samples too, not just groundwater and soil.
18	MR. STEVE WILLIS: I think the risk assessments,
19	we'll probably go through that in the next meeting, the next
20	RAB meeting when they come in.
21	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: I'm talking about the
22	validated data as soon as it's been validated, just like
23	Mark asked for.
24	MR. STEVE WILLIS: The problem with with the
25	rick accessment data is



1 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: It's not risk assessment. 2 That's the analysis you're going to do. 3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right. MR. ARNIE LERICHE: He was asking for the 4 5 information before you --6 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right, but -- but there --7 there is not published comparison data for the risk 8 assessment. So you have a bunch of data, but with -- it's 9 just data. You need an analysis of that data to know if 10 there's a risk or not. 11 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Right. 12 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So -- so providing that data, 13 it really is no -- no value. You need the analysis to be 14 done and that's what we'll talk about in the May meeting. 15 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: And that's the same value that 16 Mark is asking for the data. 17 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Well, --18 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: He's going to do his own 19 analysis. And for the ecological, I mean, to bring back 20 what we've been fighting for, we didn't get the Air Force to sample any deer. The deer sampling by the State was 21 22 inadequate and it was kept inadequate. Those deer leave the 23 site and the hunters don't know which one is clean deer and 24 which one isn't and it's never been taken into account.

Some of the fish sampling that I asked for, Van



1	Etten Lake and Pine River tributary, it's a it's a river
2	with 400 square mile watershed. A large number of Steelhead
3	migrate 20 miles upstream to spawn and DNR thought of even
4	protecting that area up there as a a rearing area,
5	natural. So just found out by Paula that happened to kill
6	the rainbow trout, a large one in Van Etten Lake. So I'm
7	interested in those results. That's just one example and
8	the biota. We just want to know as you're progressing and
9	what you found and that's valid data and that's why I'm
10	asking.
11	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay. I'll look into it,
12	Arnie.
13	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Thank you.
14	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Okay. I have
15	MS. PAULA BOND: Yes, Dave?
16	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Dave Carmona, a couple of
17	questions. The projected time line for the Air Force review
18	portion of the final RI, how long is that going to be?
19	MR. STEVE WILLIS: It's going to be a large
20	report. It's going to take us several months to go through
21	it.
22	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Is it going to push us up
23	really close to the FS? In other words, will we have
24	sufficient time to comment on it before you move to the
25	feasibility study portion?



1	MR. STEVE WILLIS: So so I I don't
2	necessarily intend to wait until the RI report is completely
3	final to to start moving forward with the FS. We'll get
4	it to a point where we've got the input from EGLE and can
5	start moving on the FS without having the the RI report
6	completely final. So there will be some overlap as we
7	finalize one and start the next one.
8	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Okay. And then the other
9	question I have is what's the difference between the
10	remedial design plan for this year on slide 31 versus the
11	remedial design plan for 2026 on slide 32?
12	MR. STEVE WILLIS: I think it's just
13	MS. PAULA BOND: It's the
14	MR. STEVE WILLIS: one shows the 12-month
15	period period of time and the other shows the five years.
16	So it carries over into that five-year schedule.
17	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Okay. Because it's just
18	MR. STEVE WILLIS: It's the same
19	MR. DAVE CARMONA: it's isolated here, that's
20	all.
21	MR. STEVE WILLIS: yeah. It's the same
22	document.
23	MR. DAVID WINN: I got a question. The RI QAPP
24	addendum, the comments from between EGLE and and Air
25	Force, that time and, Amy, I'm going to ask you kind of



this question. There were 87 comments. Out of those 87
comments, 19 of them were partially resolved and then there
were 14 that were unresolved. In EGLE's opinion, are those
issues all resolved? Because a lot of them I should say
a portion of them had to do with the east side of Van Etten
Lake.

MS. AMY HANDLEY: So we just got that report back last week, I believe, and I personally haven't looked through every one of the responses to comments yet, but that is my plan for the end of this week and next week is to go through all those and see what still might be unresolved or what has been resolved.

MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. So you still -- you still don't know what's all resolved?

MS. AMY HANDLEY: Correct.

MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. So if they're still unresolved and -- and there's some pretty good sizeable issues in there relative to things that are unresolved, part of the RI addendum, does that mean that the RI addendum is not complete?

MS. AMY HANDLEY: So what I had mentioned earlier when Kyle brought it up, some of that work may not have been moved into that additional work plan data gap for the east side of Van Etten Lake.

MR. DAVID WINN: Okay.



MS. AMY HANDLEY: So those comments might be resolved with comment that they be addressed within this data gap investigation that we're now planning. When we submitted these comments originally, it was before that plan had really been solidified.

MR. DAVID WINN: Okay.

MS. AMY HANDLEY: So now they might be a little more -- I don't want to say leeway, but they might change a little bit now that we know that that additional plan is going to be happening.

MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. One other question I have. Paula, I want to get clarification from you. The surface water samples that you did or the access agreements that you -- you -- you say you got of all the peaks, access agreements you say you need for your investigation, those are only on the southeast portion of the lake, am I correct in saying that?

MS. PAULA BOND: Correct; yes.

MR. DAVID WINN: So there's nothing up the east -you have no access agreements or no -- nothing up the east
side of the Van Etten Lake?

MS. PAULA BOND: We did install -- we had access agreements on a coup- -- at a couple of properties on the east side where we did install piezometers on the east side of the lake. So we did get those finished for piezometer



1	installation.
2	MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. But that's only but
3	that you have not gotten any agreements to do any
4	testing?
5	MS. PAULA BOND: No; no. Because that sampling,
6	Dave, has been moved into the data gap investigation. So
7	those access agreements for that work will be going out
8	hopefully
9	MR. DAVID WINN: Okay. Well, again, I want
10	everybody to understand that the east side of Van Etten
11	Lake, the investigation is not by anywhere near my
12	opinion, nowhere near complete. So I don't want anybody
13	getting the understanding that that this that this report
14	says everything's complete,
15	MS. PAULA BOND: Right.
16	MR. DAVID WINN: because it's not.
17	MS. PAULA BOND: And like Steve said earlier, the
18	RI report for those areas where we have collected sufficient
19	data to move to a feasibility study, that those that will
20	be the recommendation for that area. If there's an area
21	that there is insufficient data to move forward or make a
22	recommendation to move to a feasibility study, that will be
23	recommended for a data gap and that's where the data gap
24	investigation will kind of revolve around what we identify



in the RI. So, yes, Cathy?

MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: All right. Speaking of data gaps. Testing the aquifer underneath the lake. I am requesting that the Air Force get a proposal on the cost of what that project would be.

MS. PAULA BOND: Okay. Do you want -- are you asking for that to be an action item?

MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Yes, please.

MS. PAULA BOND: Yes. Dave?

MR. DAVE CARMONA: So another question about the schedule. You've kind of hinted at it all evening that there's going to be a lot of overlap between the RI final report, the feasibility study, the ROD, that this is all -- how malleable is this schedule?

MS. PAULA BOND: So there -- there is float built into the schedule. And like Steve said, the RI report is going to be a very large document so I don't want folks to think that you're going to be able to take this document and, you know, over a weekend, you know, read it. It's not going to happen. It will be thousands of pages. So it's going to take the Air Force -- it's going to take us a long time to write it, it's going to take the Air Force a long time to review it, and then when it goes to EGLE, it's going to take them some -- a long time to review it, too.

So depending on those review cycles and obviously we're building that into the schedule, but you never know,



1 you know, what can happen with this review or that. 2 going to be a big document. It's going to take some time 3 and that's why we have that going out from 2025, so --MR. DAVE CARMONA: So my concern is the 4 5 feasibility study requires you to have a completed and 6 approved RI so that you can -- no? 7 MS. PAULA BOND: No. 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: No; no. 9 MR. DAVE CARMONA: Then how can you evaluate the remedies that you want to look at and determine what is 10 11 feasible and what is not feasible? You have to have 12 approved data to figure that out. So how does that happen? The appearance is -- is that "Oh, we didn't get this data so 13 14 it's not feasible because there's three decisions you can 15 make. We can do it, we can do it and get it reviewed, or 16 we're not going to do it." So how does that work? Because 17 the appearances with all this slippage and overlap and you 18 only have a six-month gap for this plan for the feasibility 19 study, it's like we're going to move right through the ROD 20 then. So --21 MS. PAULA BOND: No. That's a -- that's a great 22 question. So the way that we looking at when we move from 23 an RI to the feasibility study, so we're looking at a lot of

different areas across the base. So the base -- we've done

a base-wide RI. So we have multiple areas that we're



24

looking at on base. And like I said, for -- and I'm just making this up. Like the KC135 area, we have enough data, we have soil data, we have groundwater dat- -- we have everything that we need for the KC135 area. We can push that to a feas- -- to the feasibility study. It's ready to go. We can evaluate alternatives.

So we -- we know that and once we write that in the RI, the Air Force takes a look at it, then we send it over to EGLE, as soon as EGLE looks at that, we can have a conversation and say, "Hey, are you guys" -- you know, there may be this particular nuance or that one that we may talk about, but in general do you agree that this one is ready to move forward? And then we can push that -- we can already start working on that for the feasibility study. So there's multiple areas, so it's not kind of like an all -- it all has to go. We can start doing individual areas for the feasibility study as we recognize we've got that data.

So there will be some overlap in there. We're not going to have to have EGLE sign or agree to everything in the RI report before we start working on the feasibility study.

MR. DAVE CARMONA: So the reality since this is much larger than the QAPP addendum, which took us the better part of the year to get reviewed and approved, you're looking possibly at a couple years?



1	MS. PAULA BOND: It's really hard to say. Like I
2	said, you know, we've built some time into the schedule, but
3	it just depends on the Air Force and EGLE's review time to
4	do that. And I think everybody understands the importance
5	of this and everybody is going to be focused on it to try to
6	get it done to move forward because then we can move to the
7	next step and that's the goal is to continue this this
8	project moving forward as efficiently as we possibly can.
9	MR. DAVE CARMONA: So that leads to my next
LO	question. Are you and Steve going to be given the
L1	administrative help you were promised to move this process
L2	forward? Has that begun to happen?
L3	MR. STEVE WILLIS: So that's actually more
L4	technical help. And, yeah, we've got the resources to
L5	review the document.
L6	MS. PAULA BOND: Arnie?
L7	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Arnie Leriche, Community RAB.
L8	Steve, a couple of bullet things as probably an action item
L9	regarding these time schedule charts. Number one, the pilot
20	study was canceled in August. I suggest you take it off
21	this chart, make it a footnote that it was started,
22	whatever. She said that analyses, you're going to use some
23	of the data

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Still -- we're still collecting



24

25

data.

1	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. But that's a
2	footnote
3	MR. STEVE WILLIS: That we can use for an IRA
4	that
5	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: that confuses people and
6	the public. It's never going to be an IR rarely do
7	these pilot studies become an IRA in the short period of
8	time. It's not the intent.
9	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. No, we just provide us
10	dates that are familiar, dates. You're right.
11	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Exactly. So don't put it in
12	the same area of the ones that are really critical to us
13	which are the IRAs and the budget polling. So that's why I
14	suggest you make it a footnote. This one has been bugging
15	me a long time, ever since the pilot study was talking
16	about. And for both the five year and the one year outlook,
17	can you add the public will be able to see those products,
18	probably toward the end of those bars those schedule
19	bars?
20	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Which which products are you
21	talking about?
22	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Well, for any of these that
23	you have a one-year and a five-year schedule. You have a
24	one-year, usually a two-year outlook for the IRAs. Are
25	those then start have they been started for the four



CPAs? At least the two that you have the funding for this 1 2 year, you should start one of those. You've got -- all we 3 have is the schedule for the alert pad. MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay. Yeah, we can add -- we 4 5 can add that. 6 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. If you can indicate two 7 things: when you think in that -- those time lines you're 8 going to share either data or something that the public can 9 see and then the second thing is the public review and comment periods. All these time lines should include that. 10 11 That's critical. It's for the public. And if you think you 12 don't want to commit to the start, make it a dashed 13 indication, it's a goal. But you can slide on those kind of 14 things. So that -- that's -- that's it. Do you need me to 15 write something up on that or maybe we'll --16 MR. STEVE WILLIS: No, I -- I think I've got it. 17 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. 18 MR. STEVE WILLIS: We can talk -- we can go 19 through. I'll write something up and we'll talk through it, the action item. The -- make sure I captioned it right. 20 21 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Thanks. 22 MS. PAULA BOND: Mark? 23 MR. MARK HENRY: Mark Henry. I have two 24 questions, please. You had indicated that the USP OAPP addendum work was going to be done, disconnected from the 25



rest of the RI. Is that going to be about, like, right here
on this chart?

MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. The schedule for the data gaps I'm not sure of. I'll have to defer that to Steve.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. This is -- no; no. I made a note to add the data gap investigation to this slide.

MR. MARK HENRY: Okay. The other question that I had is, it may not matter much, but you installed a bunch of piezometers and monitoring wells for your transducers. Were those sampled for PFAS?

MS. PAULA BOND: Yes, we did -- number one, we did vertical aquifer sampling for all the monitor -- monitoring wells that we installed. For the piezometers, we did not do vertical aquifer sampling, but we did -- we have sampled the piezometers that we've installed for PFAS.

MR. MARK HENRY: Do any of them have contamination that is above what we've seen in the residential wells over that area?

MS. PAULA BOND: I would have to check the data for sure. It's on the figures back there in the back for all the piezometers we have data. So they're -- it's on the maps back there.

MR. MARK HENRY: Okay.

MS. PAULA BOND: I do not believe that anything was over our screening criteria in the piezometers with the



1 exception of maybe one that may have been just barely over. 2 But we'll have to check the maps to make sure. But there 3 weren't very many. I know the piezometers on the east side 4 of the lake they did not exceed on the east side for sure. 5 MR. MARK HENRY: Okay. Thank you. 6 MS. PAULA BOND: You're welcome. Yes, Dave? 7 MR. WILLIAM GAINES: Bill Gaines. 8 MS. PAULA BOND: Oh, I'm sorry, Bill. 9 MR. BILL GAINES: Could you please -- slide 21, 10 please? 11 MS. PAULA BOND: Slide 21. Yeah. Okay. 12 MR. BILL GAINES: All right. We talk about 13 stepouts. 14 MS. PAULA BOND: Uh-huh. 15 MR. WILLIAM GAINES: These soil samples, if you --16 if you stepped out to determine where the over contamination 17 is, why aren't there green circle -- or groomed samples 18 around the red samples, fire training area into the runway? 19 MS. PAULA BOND: That's a great -- great question. 20 So in the fire training area here and then to the north of 21 the fire training area, these are the sludge spreading 22 areas. This is where we know that they sprayed sludge. 23 noted they -- we don't have any documentation that there was 24 any sludge spreading in between the runway and this taxiway down here so we stopped at that taxiway. And then moving to 25



the south -- you can see this is a great example with stepouts. We collected the sample here and then extended our criteria. We went this way, we went this way, all the way to the end of the airport and then we went to the north. So those are green. So this was as far as we could go here. So what we are doing with the nature and extent -- and this is kind of the way we look at it when we do the nature and extent of something. And if you guys remember from the UMP QAPP how we were determining how far we step out and then what the end was, if it was within a certain distance.

Everything, if we have a red here -- and this is as far as we can go. So we're assuming that everything from these green ones down to these red ones all along this sludge spreading area because we know where that happened and we know that's the source, all of that is red in there. So we went to the end of the runway. We don't think that they went over into the woods, you know, outside of the airport over the fence, so we stopped at the fence there for the sludge spreading area on the runway.

Everything in the fire training area, all of these red samples, we know this whole area is impacted here. And then you can see as we go, we have green over here at the BOA. These are surrounded by -- it's a little difficult, but those are surrounded by green ones here. Up at DRMO, the scale, there are green ones surrounding everything up



1 here. 2 MR. BILL GAINES: And I'm not -- I'm not 3 questioning those at all. 4 MS. PAULA BOND: Uh-huh. Yeah. MR. BILL GAINES: But "we think" is not an answer 5 6 to "we tested and we're sure." 7 MS. PAULA BOND: Right. 8 MR. BILL GAINES: "We think" is not an answer that 9 I am willing to accept. Fair? MS. PAULA BOND: Bad choice -- bad choice of 10 11 words, yes. The area here at the end of the runway, the 12 sludge spreading stopped here and that's where the sample 13 stopped. We know all of this is impacted in here. 14 MR. BILL GAINES: So --15 MS. PAULA BOND: For the risk assessment, that's 16 the way this is going to be. This is all going to be 17 handled all in here. 18 And so are you assuming that this MR. MARK HENRY: 19 area in here is clean? Is that what I'm hearing without 20 quessing it? 21 MR. BILL GAINES: Yes. 22 MS. PAULA BOND: Yes. We stopped at the taxiway 23 here because we know this is where they did the sludge 24 spreading -- sludge spreading. 25 MR. BILL GAINES: So you're totally relying on



- historical data and -- to determine the extent of where
 you're going to -- you're going to -- you're going to take
 action?
- MS. PAULA BOND: If we had -- oh, sorry. Go ahead.
 - MR. BILL GAINES: It -- it really seems to me that you ought to have tests to show that your historical data is accurate and that, for example, there hasn't been surface water that carried contamination into the soil and -- and spread it past where the sludge was. I mean, that -- that looks like an incomplete investigation to me. If you could help me understand why it's truly complete, I'd appreciate it.
 - MS. PAULA BOND: Well, that, that is a great question. And what we're trying to do, again, with this area where we have the reds that we know were over, we know where the sludge was spread in this area and that's what the source of all of these red dots are in here. So we've sampled all the way from the end of the apron here all the way down to the end over here. So we have samples all along there. So --
 - MR. BILL GAINES: But -- but there aren't any samples outside of those areas that are green.
- MS. PAULA BOND: There are no -- that's right.

 There are no samples in the center here because we know they



1	did not spray the sludge here. This area right here was one
2	of the crash areas where
3	MR. BILL GAINES: But maybe is
4	MS. PAULA BOND: We can take that back as a
5	discussion item.
6	MR. BILL GAINES: I it it seems to me that
7	that's an incomplete investigation with my understanding of
8	stepout.
9	MS. PAULA BOND: Well, but yeah, we can take
10	that as a discussion back as a discussion and get back to
11	you on
12	MR. DAVE CARMONA: So, Mike, did you dig up the
13	aggregate underneath that portion of the taxiway?
14	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: No, just along the edge of
15	the taxiway.
16	MR. DAVE CARMONA: Just along the edge. And it
17	sampled negative?
18	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Yes; yes. Yeah, all that
19	all the stuff that that we had the contractor do to
20	touch, we made sure that that we had it checked.
21	MR. KYLE JONES: Paula, I have a series of
22	questions outside of this particular issue, but I these
23	are soil samples we're talking about
24	MS. PAULA BOND: Right.
25	MR. KYLE JONES: and soil doesn't migrate,



1 groundwater does. And so that fire training area is the 2 FT02 groundwater I- -- or IRA; correct? 3 MS. PAULA BOND: Uh-huh. MR. KYLE JONES: And so whatever effectiveness or 4 5 efficacy that IRA has for stopping the PFAS from the soil 6 that's leeched into the groundwater and is migrating away, 7 it -- whatever is being caught is being caught. So my 8 question then is when you get to a feasibility study, the 9 ROD, and the final remedial design and remedial action, whatever remedial action has to be taken with respect to the 10 11 soil, is the plan to continue to take additional samples to 12 figure out how much soil it needs to be addressed --13 MS. PAULA BOND: So --14 MR. KYLE JONES: -- during the RDRA or how --15 MS. PAULA BOND: Right; yeah. No, that's a great 16 question. 17 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And that's --18 MS. PAULA BOND: Oh, go ahead, Steve. 19 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. I was going to say 20 that's standard in any remedial design is you'll collect 21 additional data if you're going to do a soil excavation. You'll take additional soil. You know, this is a nature and 22 23 This wasn't defining it. I think somebody made the 24 analogy shovel versus spoon yesterday. When you're actually

going to start digging up contaminated sco- -- soil, you



want to delineate to the spoon level to make sure you get it without digging up a bunch of clean soil.

MR. KYLE JONES: Right. No, I -- that's right.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: So -- so there'll be a lot more investigation done when you're actually going to -- if it's a soil excavation to -- to determine that. If we're going to do some other type of soil remediation, you'd still need that level of detail. So, yeah, there -- there will -- we will continue to do investigation work out here for awhile.

MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. Okay. So I -- clearly that was not at all evident to the public, because when you talk about a nature and extent, the extent is the extent and you don't have the full extent of the soil.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Well, we -- we have the broad extent. We don't have the mi- -- the -- the micro -- MR. KYLE JONES: You're right. The shovel versus

spoon.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right; right.

MR. KYLE JONES: We understand that analogy and it makes sense now. It would have been really helpful to know that fact, that you were going to go get to the, you know, spoon level of -- of contamination detail.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: But if -- if we do the risk assessment and the risk assessment doesn't identify the unacceptable risk for some of the contaminated soil onsite,



6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- then we may not take an action on it and we wouldn't need that spoon level of detail because we're not going to take an action. We need to know what the action is to know what level of detail of -- of results --
 - MR. KYLE JONES: Yes. No. And, again, that is -that is, that makes perfect sense, Steve. It just wasn't
 evident to the public.
- 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay.
 - MR. KYLE JONES: So do you guys have questions on this issue still because -- okay. Go ahead.
 - MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Arnie Leriche. Got a question about Clark's Marsh, the real Clark's Marsh not where the IR -- where the FT02 is. But we have one CPA -- an IRA that's going to be installed into Clark's Marsh and that's already been somewhat approved by Forest Service; right? There's a plan. So I know it's -- the ground's got to be frozen, but, like, whatever. Okay. So when that happens, what's the plan for sampling?
 - That would serve two purposes. One is the nature and extent in that whole plume area or as much as the Forest Service will let you go to the sample, but also to support the design of the IRA.
- MS. PAULA BOND: So a couple things there. I'm not really familiar with --
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Paula? I'll take that.



1	MS. PAULA BOND: Oh, go ahead. Go for it.
2	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. And so, yeah, you're
3	right, Arnie. That's going to be a big challenge to collect
4	the data required to implement that IRA. There's a lot of
5	data gaps. You know, you can look at the posters in the
6	back even here. We don't have a lot of data in Clark's
7	Marsh just because it's you can't down in there with
8	heavy equipment and do soil borings or drill and put in
9	monitoring wells just because of the wet conditions. So it
10	is going to be a big challenge to collect the required data.
11	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Right. And it's the most
12	mixed up geologic site that I've seen anywhere because of
13	the time line and the oxbows, the river condition to
14	(indiscernible). Okay. But how about down gradient from
15	FT02? There's never been any talking about the sediment
16	there, how contaminated is it, how much does it hold the
17	PFAS, how much does the PFAS transform itself into other
18	PFAS's where it breaks down.
19	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah, breakdown products.
20	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Yeah. And I think there is
21	some opportunities and I don't know if you've looked at it,
22	but that whole general question is what's the plan? Because
23	I consider Clark's Marsh sediment as a secondary source.
24	It's going to be emitting long into the future. And I don't

know what the solutions are, but maybe some of the natural



ones like the one you're going to put in the wastewater treatment plant plume is a potential option, but at least know what's there.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay? The dike I would think you'd be able to get a drill right there, if the Forest Service would let you be able to go five feet, ten feet beyond where the dike, you know, where the boom --

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. There's definitely areas that you could get down in there, but there are areas that we cannot.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Right; right. But do what you can. Just because you can't do what you want to in this nature and extent, at least do what you can because you never know when the next surprise is around the corner.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. We've done -- if you look at the groundwater investigation map you'll see we've worked with EGLE and put quite a few wells down in the -- in the Clark's Marsh area, even over on I guess what you guys refer to as Tucker Swamp between the fire training area and the Three Pipes. So in areas we can get to, we -- we've tried to get down as far as we can and put in monitoring wells or collect samples, so --

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Right. But you're not recognizing that there are areas where you have figured out,



1 again, approval to go, but it's still a potential issue but 2 you don't talk about that, you don't show it on your maps. 3 And that's -- that's where we don't have the confidence as Bill was pointing out in what you're looking at. You've got 4 5 a lot more in your heads than you put on paper. 6 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah, the -- the maps have all 7 focused on results, what data we've collected, but, we --8 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: That's huge. 9 MR. STEVE WILLIS: -- yeah, you're right. We 10 haven't -- we haven't identified, you know, data gaps. know, we're going to make --11 12 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: But that's -- you're past the time that it should have been, I think, the RI. Okay. 13 14 I'll get off that one. The next one is -- if you could --15 this is soil investigation. We heard that the soil 16 investigation around the air strippers that control the 17 VOC --18 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right. 19 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: -- sent droplets possibly of 20 PFAS from the groundwater out there and deposited and on the 21 east side where you're going to do some foam on the shore --22 shoreline on the east side, that was delayed because the 23 State wanted a different sampling regime. What's the 24 schedule of -- of that?

MR. STEVE WILLIS: That'll all be part of this



1 data gap investigation we've been talking about. 2 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: And you've got a time line? 3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I don't yet. I'm working on 4 it. 5 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. And you'll inform us? 6 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Sure. 7 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Doesn't have to go --8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I think --9 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: -- yeah. 10 MR. STEVE WILLIS: -- yeah, somebody asked that we 11 put that on the schedule. 12 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. 13 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Scott, did you have --14 MR. SCOTT LINGO: Yes, sir. Scott Lingo, 15 Community RAB. I quess my question is, is talking about 16 data gaps and looking at the map and the red dots and the 17 green dots. In between the runway and the taxiway there's 18 been no testing done there. Why wouldn't they continue to 19 test towards the runway until they get green dots that line 20 up with what they have on the approximately north side of the runway? From the taxiway heading to the runway to -- to 21 22 find out what's actually there? 23 All the other locations within the map seem to 24 have a concentration of red until they hit that green safe 25 zone and that's pretty obvious that we don't have it there



as Bill had brought up. And it's runoff, it's hard surface. 1 2 The water is going to go somewhere and I would like to see 3 it as a action item that we do some testing in that big open 4 area. I'll look into that. 5 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay. Τ 6 mean, our understanding of historical activities where a 7 release would have occurred is that the sludge spreading 8 stopped at the taxiway. 9 MR. SCOTT LINGO: Yeah, but -- but it moves 10 differently than just the sludge spreading, you know. 11 There's surface water, there's stuff underneath, there's the 12 airborne component, there's just so many things that could 13 take it there. And if you're looking at the area, it just 14 seems kind of silly that there aren't any dots in that area. 15 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 16 MS. PAULA BOND: Dave has been waiting. I just have one action item. 17 MR. DAVID WINN: Oh. 18 As stated earlier in your presentation, the IRA for the 19 DR- -- DRMO and the LF30/31, you have the funding for that; correct, Steve? 20 21 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. 22 MR. DAVID WINN: And that's going to start --23 you're going to award a contract; right? 24 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Correct.

MR. DAVID WINN: Can that be added to the time



17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- 1 line so that we understand when the time line is going to 2 be, when the work plan's going to be generated, when 3 we're -- if in fact we're going to have a public comment on that IRA and then when the design and construction's going 4 5 to be done? So, again, it's another item that needs to be 6 tracked on the schedule. So I'd like to see it as an action 7 item, please. 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. We can add the -- the 9 project as a -- pretty much as a long solid bar at this Until I have a contract and a contractor and have 10 11 negotiated a schedule for all that work, you know, I can't 12 really put it on here. But I can show you broad, you know, 13 we'll award a contract here and it should take approximately 14 X number of years to get the project completed. But the 15 individual milestones, work plans, field work, reports, I
 - MR. DAVID WINN: When do you plan on having a contractor on board?

won't be able to provide that until I actually have a

- MR. STEVE WILLIS: This year.
- MR. DAVID WINN: That's 12 months. Any idea --
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: No. I'm sorry. This fiscal
- 23 year. So by the end of September.
 - MR. DAVID WINN: Okay.
- MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Steve, that basically is the



contractor on board.

```
1
     one that I asked for, so my --
 2
               MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes; yeah; yeah; yes.
 3
               MR. ARNIE LERICHE: -- it's got both our names on
 4
     it.
 5
               MR. STEVE WILLIS: I've already got my notes
 6
     and --
 7
               MS. PAULA BOND: Kyle?
 8
               MR. KYLE JONES: On this particular issue, again,
 9
     pretty -- let's say we -- we see you haven't sampled in that
10
     direction. We -- we just established that you will sample
11
     in that area once the remedial design or soil remediation is
     established. But if there is additional PFAS in that area
12
13
     that hasn't been tested yet, the impact to the community,
14
     though, is -- is by leaching vertically downward to the
15
     aguifer and the aguifer migrating away from the base;
16
     correct? And that's being caught at least to the degree
17
     that we -- that is effective FT02; correct?
18
               MS. PAULA BOND: (Nodding head)
19
               MR. KYLE JONES: Okay.
20
               MR. BILL GAINES: To the degree that it's
21
     effective.
               MR. KYLE JONES: Well, that we -- we had a
22
23
     discussion on that yesterday.
24
               MR. BILL GAINES: Yes.
25
               MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. I -- I have a series of
```



1 questions that I'm just going to leaf through here. You --2 you talked about seep samples. Can you just explain to the 3 public what that -- what's a seep as opposed to a soil or a 4 groundwater sample? 5 Sure. The seep sample is -- is, MS. PAULA BOND: 6 it's where the groundwater daylights at the surface. 7 you have -- like we were talking in the technical session 8 yesterday, there is a long pond -- it's really hard to see 9 here. MR. STEVE WILLIS: Paula? Paula? 10 11 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. 12 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Wouldn't largo Springs be a 13 large example of a seep? 14 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. 15 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I mean, I think most -- most of 16 the community is probably familiar with Iargo Springs. 17 groundwater is coming out of the side of the hill there. 18 MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah; yeah. Okay. 19 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 20 MR. KYLE JONES: I guess -- so that's -- but it 21 can be --22 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. 23 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: But it can be under water, 24 too. MR. STEVE WILLIS: It could be. 25



1	MS. PAULA BOND: Right.
2	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay.
3	MR. STEVE WILLIS: But it's where groundwater's
4	coming out of the ground to the surface as Arnie indicated.
5	It could be coming into the ground into the water or
6	typically on the surface.
7	MR. KYLE JONES: Steve, you mentioned, and Paula,
8	you mentioned the extreme challenges of collecting sediment
9	and groundwater and surface water data in the marsh and that
10	I think we all can understand that. Do you think that
11	samples though of those media need to be taken in that area?
12	MR. STEVE WILLIS: At some point we'll we'll
13	have to do something, yeah.
14	MR. KYLE JONES: And what's the something?
15	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Excuse me. For the RI we will
16	make the assumption that the contamination is present in
17	the in the whole marsh until we have data to refute that.
18	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. All right. Is it possible
19	you would just assume that it's always going to be there
20	and and take care of the migrating water?
21	MR. STEVE WILLIS: No. With what?
22	MR. KYLE JONES: Migrating groundwater.
23	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Oh.
24	MR. KYLE JONES: Capturing the
25	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay. All right. All right.



1 That's something we'll have to evaluate. 2 MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah; okay. 3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I don't have an answer right 4 now. 5 I mean, I think others have said MR. KYLE JONES: 6 why would you leave a significant source in place. 7 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 8 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 9 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I mean, short -- short of digging up Clark's Marsh, I mean, we may not be able to 10 11 remove the source, the PFAS that's already migrated off the 12 base for decades. It's in the marsh. We may have to catch 13 it on the other end down at the river before it gets into 14 the river, you know. 15 MR. KYLE JONES: Yes; yeah, for the next couple 16 three millenia maybe. 17 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. It's all the pump and 18 treat systems are going to operate for decades. 19 MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. On -- on slide 24 you 20 have, Paula, you've got storm sewer sampling. What happens 21 to the storm sewer discharge? 22 MS. PAULA BOND: Do you mean where does, is it 23 going or --24 MR. KYLE JONES: Where does it go? 25 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. So there's a couple of



1 different outfalls for the storm. You guys are most 2 familiar, we've done a lot of talking about Three Pipes Ditch, so that's one discharge point. 3 4 MR. KYLE JONES: Okav. 5 MS. PAULA BOND: There is a discharge down here 6 on -- there's two discharges on Van Etten Creek. One is 7 closer. It's hard to tell on this map. This is where the 8 discharge from the central treatment system comes out over 9 here and then the discharge from the Mission Street treatment plant comes out down here on the creek. 10 11 MR. KYLE JONES: You mentioned treatment plans. 12 Is storm water treated? 13 MS. PAULA BOND: No. That is the -- the discharge 14 from the Mission Street treatment plant. 15 MR. KYLE JONES: Yes. 16 MS. PAULA BOND: The clean water is discharged to 17 the storm sewer. 18 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 19 MR. MARK HENRY: The storm sewer discharges in the corner of the hospital. 20 21 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. So is there concern that 22 PFAS is getting into the storm sewers other than by escaping 23 the -- the treatment -- those two treatment plants --24 MS. PAULA BOND: At those two, no.

MR. KYLE JONES: Well, okay. I -- I guess the



1 question is -- the bottom line question is, is there a 2 concern that PFAS is being discharged with the storm water 3 in those two locations? No? These have been sampled. 4 MS. PAULA BOND: No. 5 These two have been sampled, like, initially when they 6 put -- that was one of the reasons for actually putting the 7 treatment on the central treatment system and the Mission 8 Treatment Plant. That was why those two systems were 9 upgraded with carbon was to treat that discharge that did 10 have PFAS on --11 MR. KYLE JONES: When you tested the storm water, 12 did you find PFAS? 13 MS. PAULA BOND: They did when the -- before those 14 systems were installed and but now the -- after or the 15 post-treatment samples -- I mean, we collected some 16 additional samples for these locations. I don't know if the map's back there for surface water, too. And I don't 17 18 believe that these were over screened too. 19 MR. KYLE JONES: Same question with respect to 20 sanitary. 21 MS. PAULA BOND: Okay. 22 MR. KYLE JONES: Did you find anything? 23 There is PFAS impacts in the MS. PAULA BOND: 24 sanitary sewer system, yes. 25 MR. KYLE JONES: And what happens to the sanitary



1	sewer	water?
---	-------	--------

MS. PAULA BOND: It goes to the wastewater treatment plant.

MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. On slide 26, you indicated that new piezometers were installed on the south and east sides of Van Etten Lake, transducers installed to measure changes in water levels, sure. What are you doing with that data?

MS. PAULA BOND: So the transducers were put in -we put in a few right before Christmas and then we just put
in a few more a couple of weeks after the new year. So we
are currently collecting that data and then we're -download -- those transducers are automatically recording
that data. So we're going out about monthly. We were just
out there two weeks ago to download the transducers to get
the data, so now we're taking that data and evaluating it.
So that data right now is still in-house.

MR. KYLE JONES: What is your evalua- -- what are -- what are you evaluating? For what purpose?

MS. PAULA BOND: Oh. So we are looking at -transducers measure pressure which tell us the head
difference or the change in the water level in those
monitoring wells. So, for example, when the lake level is
raised or lowered, the surrounding groundwater also responds
to that higher or lower. So we are looking at the



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- difference, the higher or lower water levels in those
 piezometers and that will tell us which way the groundwater
 is flowing. So basically the groundwater is higher, it's
 going to flow this way, right, and then if it's lower, it's
 going to go this way. So that's what we're trying to do is
 determine which way the groundwater from and around the lake
 is actually moving.

 MR. KYLE JONES: And how far down were those
 - MR. KYLE JONES: And how far down were those piezometers and wells drilled?
 - MS. PAULA BOND: So all of the piezometers that we put in we drilled down to the clay layer that we had talked about. So there all -- there is a deep piezometer installed on top of the clay at all of those locations.
 - MR. KYLE JONES: Is that deeper than the deepest part of the lake?
 - MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah, because the lake is, like, roughly 25 feet, so yeah.
 - MR. KYLE JONES: All right. And --
- MS. PAULA BOND: So some of these are deeper.
- MR. KYLE JONES: -- so is there -- is one of the purpose -- because we -- you mentioned that there could be variability depending on the elevation of the lake.
- MS. PAULA BOND: Right; uh-huh.
- MR. KYLE JONES: It's been contended by the community that there is groundwater migration from the west



1	from the base under the lake to the east side. Is this
2	effort here or these measurements here attempting to refute
3	that?

MS. PAULA BOND: It will support that evaluation. So all of this data is being fed into CSM, the conceptual site model. So all of that is being looked at and that is one of the things that we are trying to do is to support our current CSM which is there is no flow completely underneath the lake from the west to the east side.

MR. KYLE JONES: And do you -- Cathy mentioned adding to the AI, the sampling in the middle of the lake.

Do you think that's unnecessary?

MS. PAULA BOND: I don't think at this point it's necessary. Once we complete the transducer study, then we can maybe make some decisions on that, but we're going to take that back as an action item and discuss it with the team.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah.

MR. KYLE JONES: At the deepest part of your piezometers that are -- are below the lowest level of the lake, is the water that's there affected by the variability that you mentioned earlier from the -- you know, whether groundwater is flowing this direction or this direction depends on the level of the top of the lake, surface of the lake. Is the water at the very bottom of that well affected



1 by those -- that variability? 2 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. So -- and that's one of 3 the things that we're trying to look at, so right now --4 it's hard to see on the spec here. But the -- the contour 5 lines, the blue lines that you see coming around are showing 6 the groundwater flow. And if you see this little blue arrow 7 here, that is the flow of groundwater. So on the east side 8 of the lake, the groundwater flows toward the lake. 9 MR. KYLE JONES: All the time? 10 MS. PAULA BOND: That's what we're -- that's what 11 we have the transducers to measure that to see if it does do 12 it all the time or are there some periods when the lake 13 level changes that it may affect that. So that's what we're 14 trying to determine. 15 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And -- and we -- when we put 16 the transducers in -- in the area she was pointing, we've got a series of three of them installed moving away from the 17 18 So if that interaction between the lake and the 19 groundwater occurs, how far inland does it actually occur? 20 Is it the first 30 feet or is it several hundred feet 21 inland? 22 MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. All right. So that's 23 good, too. 24 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So, yeah. MR. KYLE JONES: But is it -- do you have data 25



1 throughout a year or two years or something to catch 2 seasonal variations in the lake? 3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: We will. They just were put 4 in. 5 MR. KYLE JONES: Oh, okay. 6 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So, yeah. 7 MR. KYLE JONES: Oh, that's right. Right before 8 Christmas. 9 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah; yeah. 10 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah; yeah. So -- so, you 11 know, we've got very little data right now. 12 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 13 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. 14 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: And how deep do they go? 15 they go below the bottom of the lake? Van Etten Lake? 16 MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. Some of these we 17 encountered -- and I have to -- to verify the depths. But I 18 want to say the clay, depending on where you are and how 19 close you are to the lake, the clay is shallower. So maybe 20 35 feet deep or 40 feet deep in some locations as we move 21 farther away. Especially in the areas down here it's a 22 little bit deeper, but up here I believe it's between 35 and 23 40 feet where we installed those piezometers. But I can 24 verify that and get you guys the information on the depth of 25 clay over there.



	MR.	KYLE	JONES:	On	slic	le 2	18.	You	ment	cionec	d the
letter	campai	gn to	verify	the	use	of	priv	<i>r</i> ate	drin	nking	water
wells.	That	seemed	to be	a li	ittle	bi	t mo	ore i	regio	onal i	in
nature	and no	t just	about	Van	Ette	en L	ake	or a	am I	inco	rect
on that	- ?										

MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. Actually, if you can go back to that last slide that we were just looking at, the transducer study? Yeah. So this doesn't cover everything. But our focus area was properties along this side of the lake down here, down around Van Etten Creek Road, Van Etten Creek down here, and then this area down here. So that was our focus area. So we sent letters to everyone because we're try -- we want to maintain that information. We know a lot of folks are -- on Loud Drive are on city water. We know that city water was just run into a couple of areas down here on Van Etten Dam Road.

So we're trying to capture who's on city water because we still want to know that because a lot of folks -- and, Bill, you may if you're around, you can verify that some folks were required to abandon their well when they got put on city water. Some folks were not. So are those folks that did not abandon their well, is it, are they still using it for irrigation or how are they using that well? So we want to know how folks are using those wells and if they still have them. But the drinking water focus is the areas



1 down here where the plume -- we know the plume exists today. 2 MR. KYLE JONES: Do you know whether all of the 3 residents along the east side of Van Etten Lake do or do not 4 have a drinking water well? 5 MS. PAULA BOND: We do not know if all of the --6 MR. KYLE JONES: Is that something that the 7 township knows or the county knows? 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Don't think so. 9 MS. PAULA BOND: We -- that's a challenge. 10 have information from the township where they ran the lines. 11 There are some folks who chose not to hook up to city water. 12 I mean, they're not forcing people to do it. So some folks 13 are choosing not to, some folks have. There are some folks 14 maybe out there that have never reported that they've had a 15 well before to the township or the State or anybody else who 16 have not been sampled by the health department. So we're 17 also looking at the health department data to see who they 18 have seen. 19 MR. KYLE JONES: Sure. We, yeah, we heard that. 20 MS. PAULA BOND: So we're trying to take all of 21

MS. PAULA BOND: So we're trying to take all of that data and, like I said, build it into a database so that we can try to figure this out. And if there are places that we think, oh, we need to drive by over there, we need to do a door to door to check in on to make sure -- you know, we don't have any data for this location, do these folks have a



22

23

24

well or do they not if they do haven't been using it. 1 2 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And this -- all of this 3 investigation is not to get people in trouble. 4 MR. KYLE JONES: Of course. 5 MR. STEVE WILLIS: You know, they got to know. 6 MR. KYLE JONES: We're trying -- we're talking 7 about environmental protection here. 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. It's, you know, concern 9 for public health. 10 MR. KYLE JONES: Right. 11 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And so we need an understanding 12 of, you know, do they have a well and are they drinking it 13 and if -- if they have city water but they still use their 14 well to water their garden or their lawn and it's -- they're 15 in the middle of the groundwater plume, they're pumping 16 contaminated water out and putting it on the soil. And so 17 that almost creates --18 MR. KYLE JONES: Into their tomatoes. 19 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 20 MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. 21 MR. STEVE WILLIS: It almost creates a new source 22 area that --23 MR. KYLE JONES: Please, please understand these 24 questions I'm asking are not about challenging what you're 25 doing or by --



1	MR. STEVE WILLIS: I think my comment is intended
2	not so much for you, but for the broader community.
3	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay.
4	MR. STEVE WILLIS: That, you know, we're not
5	looking to get people in trouble.
6	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay.
7	MR. STEVE WILLIS: We're trying to address a
8	problem and if there's stuff going on there that we don't
9	know about, our understanding of the problem is incomplete.
LO	MR. KYLE JONES: Yeah. So, I mean, to the degree
L1	that one member of the RAB can make a plea to the community,
L2	please cooperate with because it's only to your benefit and
L3	to the community's benefit that the data are collected. Is
L4	the drinking water well testing that you still have to do
L5	part of this budget that you seem to have run out of money
L6	with or for?
L7	MR. STEVE WILLIS: So we do have money in in
L8	the budget for the current RI to do some drinking water
L9	wells simply.
20	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. Okay.
21	MR. STEVE WILLIS: And but based on the
22	responses we've gotten from people, and the phone calls I've
23	gotten from people, a lot of people in in the area we're
24	interested in are seasonal residents and may not be back

until May or June. And so we may not be able to collect all



1 the information we need until this summer related to 2 drinking water. But that's not going to impact us finishing 3 the RI report. 4 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 5 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Because that's really a focus 6 on -- on, you know, the consumption of the water, not on 7 delineating the extent of the plume. 8 MR. KYLE JONES: Thank you for that. Let me just 9 keep -- did -- did we talk -- I kind of didn't quite catch and there were questions from this side and that side about 10 11 adding items to the -- your Gantt charts, your time lines. 12 Did we get in there your -- the CPA IRAs? Did we talk about 13 those? 14 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Yes. 15 MR. STEVE WILLIS: We did. 16 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. Okay. 17 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. They -- they'll be much 18 like the -- well, yes, we did. MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. 19 20 MR. STEVE WILLIS: And it'll be a very broad line 21 at this point with no detail until I actually get a 22 contractor and the contractor and we negotiate a schedule 23 for everything. 24 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. Are you working on

contractors for the two IRAs that are currently not in the



1	budget? Can you do that?
2	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes.
3	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. All right. Okay. All
4	right. So I have two sort of big kinds of questions here.
5	Steve and Paula, I took or I understood early in our meeting
6	tonight when it was when when folks were wondering why
7	the east side of Van Etten Lake work was being deferred
8	and and you basically, at least I understood you to say,
9	well, we're out of time and we're out of money and we spent
10	money doing work that the RAB had requested. Did I I did I
11	capture did I characterize that right, Steve?
12	MR. STEVE WILLIS: There there were a number of
13	areas that based on conversations with some of the RAB
14	members, yes. We did some additional investigation. Some
15	of them proved fruitful, some of them did not. But, yes.
16	MR. KYLE JONES: So when and of course we
17	when we ask for tho-, that work,
18	MR. STEVE WILLIS: It was based on on
19	individual's knowledge.
20	MR. KYLE JONES: Yes. No.
21	MR. STEVE WILLIS: So we've added it and
22	investigated it.
23	MR. KYLE JONES: I understand. You're not letting
24	me finish my question. At that time did you come to realize
25	when when we asked for that work to be done you agreed



with whatever work you did, you agreed that it needed to be done properly under a remedial investigation. Did you come to the realization, though, that that would preclude your work on the east side of Van Etten Lake?

MR. STEVE WILLIS: No. It -- it happened in very small increments over a period of time and I don't think we really had a good -- a good appreciation of the magnitude it would impact the overall plan.

MR. KYLE JONES: Because you know that the extreme concern the community has over that site. So having that be usurped by some other work that quite frankly had we known that, we might have prioritized it differently. Is -- is -- I guess what's done is done. I would say please include us. To the degree we have -- we have comments about other work, either RI work, data gap work and -- and there is a potential that other work has to be again deferred because of budgetary reasons, we would like to know that as soon as possible.

And we'd like to know that -- well, we would encourage you as much as we possibly can to protect the money for the work on the east side of Van Etten Lake from further usurping. Because quite frankly as I mentioned yesterday, this isn't only an environmental protection issue. It's a -- it's an issue of property rights. People -- people on the east side of Van Etten Lake



have had their property values affected. We don't know how much. I don't know that they would want to know how much, but we know it hasn't gone up and likely down because of the presence of the contamination from the base.

mean, I think all of us go to Au Sable and this general area of Iosco County is -- has been obviously impacted pretty negatively over this issue that you guys are taking care of. But in particular, the folks that live on the east side of Van Etten Lake are -- are facing it in a very personal way. And so I -- I think I just need -- I would request that maybe -- maybe the Dave Carmona comment about getting some extra money in June because there's a process for asking for that money. You -- you put, you know, full steam ahead and all your gun barrels pointed toward that to get that money so you can start earlier than late '25 on the east side of Van Etten Lake.

This is, you know, pretty big surprise to all of us and, you know, it was good for you to sort of admit that it didn't dawn on anybody until it was too late, but it's -- it's really a -- it's really a bad -- a bad outcome.

Second is the issue I brought up yesterday, Steve, about the philosophy on installing IRAs where you are not -- not capturing 100 percent of the water -- groundwater, that legally would need to be remediated at the final remedial



1	action stage. It's my understanding that the four CPA IRAs
2	that went through the CPA process, the design agreed to by
3	EGLE and Air Force and those consultants will capture 100
4	percent of the legally required.
5	MR. STEVE WILLIS: (Shaking head)
6	MR. KYLE JONES: You're shaking your head no.
7	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. No. If you if you
8	look at the maps with the plume contours, the the IRAs
9	proposed in the CPAs are focusing on about the same
10	concentrations all of the other IRAs at Wurtsmith have
11	focused on.
12	MR. KYLE JONES: All right. Can we have
13	MR. STEVE WILLIS: They they do not address 100
14	percent of the plume.
15	MR. KYLE JONES: Well, 100 percent of the plume
16	that legally must be remediated. That's what I'm asking.
17	There's going to be parts of the plume where contamination
18	leaves goes beyond the the the, the traction wells
19	but isn't necessary to remediate under law; correct? Let's
20	say that the you're not understanding my question?
21	MR. STEVE WILLIS: I'm not I'm not yeah, I'm
22	not following.
23	MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. So so if this was a
24	drink if we're applying a drinking water standard of
25	eight or seven or nine parts per trillion and there's water



- that is on the far edges of the plume that are at two or
 three or four parts per trillion, you're not -- you're not
 legally required to put a extraction well there and
 remediate it. That's what I was saying.
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. We don't consider that part of the plume if it's below the criteria.
 - MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. Okay. All right. I've -I've heard consultants both ways. Any -- any detection is
 part of the plume and then there's a part of the plume that
 needs to be remediated.
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah.
 - MR. KYLE JONES: I -- I think it would -- I really think that, and the statement I made yesterday was that if you were to design these IRAs and any IRA prior to the -- the actual final remedial design or remedial action stage, to collect 100 percent of the legally required contamination that is to be remediated, you could do that now. It makes sense to do it now. You're protecting the community now. You're not letting contamination that must be cleaned up in the future to continue to affect the community. And then it would be a very simple matter at the remedial design/remedial action stage to say that one's done. There's no more design or action to do other than what we've
 - And so I'm willing to have this discussion, but I



already installed.

- 1 also think that's required under CERCLA as a matter of law. 2 Is there a possibility that the community can have another conversation with Air Force, with EGLE and those consultants 3 4 to talk about this issue? MR. STEVE WILLIS: What type of forum are you 5 6 proposing? 7 MR. KYLE JONES: Any forum that -- where -- where 8 we have a live discussion. 9 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. I'm, I'm not an 10 environmental attorney, but I'm not sure your interpretation 11 is the same as ours. MR. KYLE JONES: I -- I'm certain that's true 12 13 otherwise you wouldn't be doing it, yes. 14 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I'll talk with the folks and --15 and see -- see what we can do to address your concern. 16 MR. KYLE JONES: Despite the fact that whether or 17 not you're right or I'm right on this -- on this 18 interpretation of the statute, it still can be done.
 - not you're right or I'm right on this -- on this interpretation of the statute, it still can be done. And from a logical and a -- you know, just a -- a methodology of actually furthering the remediation quicker than has been in the past and we fully are appreciative of all the work that's happened over the last couple years to move things along much more quickly than they used to.
 - But this -- even if CERCLA doesn't -- and I'm not conceding this point, but even if it doesn't require a 100



20

21

22

23

24

percent cleanup of legally required contamination to be 1 2 remediated, it's still a very sensible thing to do. 3 the money now. If you want to delay spending money, that --4 that doesn't really sit well with the community. 5 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. 6 MR. KYLE JONES: And so logically speaking it 7 makes 100 -- in my opinion 100 percent sense to fully fund a 8 full cleanup remedy for any IRA to stop 100 percent of the 9 legally required contamination that's migrating away and 10 into the community. 11 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Like I said, I'll -- I'll look 12 into it. I'll talk to the folks, see how we can address 13 your concern. 14 MR. KYLE JONES: All right. That would be really 15 I -- I -- in some way I, I hope to have a -- it's --16 it's great that the, that the Air Force announced these 17 It's not great that the IRAs are not going to capture IRAs. 18 all the contamination that's still going to continue to 19 affect the community. 20 MS. PAULA BOND: Cathy? 21 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: I have a guestion. 22 MS. PAULA BOND: Go ahead. 23 MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: And I do want to backtrack 24 to the Alert Aircraft Area IRA. From what I heard you say,

Steve, that you -- you did some additional sampling and you



1	determined that the plume is smaller than what you had first
2	indicated?
3	MR. STEVE WILLIS: No. Basically the plume hasn't
4	changed since we designed the system. We collected some
5	additional RI data. Preliminary data indicated it was
6	bigger, but when we got the final data it turned out it was
7	not, so the size of the plume effectively has not changed.
8	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Oh.
9	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Based based on the design.
LO	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: So that that the plans
L1	that you have, they if they don't capture that entire
L2	plume
L3	MR. STEVE WILLIS: That's correct.
L4	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: that it's going into
L5	the state campground area soak up; right?
L6	MR. STEVE WILLIS: That's correct.
L7	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: And you don't plan on
L8	capturing that?
L9	MR. STEVE WILLIS: At this at this point we do
20	not plan to change the design.
21	MS. PAULA BOND: I think Arnie beat you, Mark. Go
22	ahead, Arnie.
23	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. Arnie Leriche. I
24	brought this up about three years ago and the issue is
25	and I'm really concerned now is what I'm saying. You



mentioned that you don't know which homes on the east side gave up their wells and closed off their private well and which ones are continuing to use it. I raised the issue that some people -- and I know of one that did, used that water for their humidifier during the winter and it was not the spigot that had the reverse osmosis on it. It was in the laundry room that they filled it. And I even filled it once without thinking and then I just -- it just dawned on me. And so I talked and I just -- I got an e-mail about a month ago, two months ago but I haven't connected with her from DHHS. You know about that issue?

MS. CHELSEA GARY: Uh-huh; yes.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Did it ever make it to the questioning? Can you shed any light on that?

MS. CHELSEA GARY: Oh. I've actually been working with the local health department and EGLE on that issue as far as raising awareness and things like that if that's what you're asking about. How we can make residents aware of this issue.

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Right. But did it get to the Air Force questionnaire? Who's the EGLE representative that can follow up on that? Because when I read the questionnaire, it sounded to me that you were just asking about the drinking water and people just key in on drinking water, you know, "Yes, I do use a well" or, "No; no, I don't



anymore. I've got municipal water." Okay. They don't think about this other --

MS. PAULA BOND: Yeah. There, there is a question on there how -- "if you are you using it, how -- are you using it for drinking water, irrigation or other purposes."

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: "Other" is too political, I mean, too open. It's got to ask because people won't think of that. Older people won't ever think because they've just been doing it for 30 years. So is any way that you can have your people bring that to a specific, humidifier during the winter? I would appreciate it.

MS. PAULA BOND: We can look at that.

MR. STEVE WILLIS: I would -- I would -- excuse me -- like to propose that we move to the next presentation. It's already 8:00 o'clock and we're supposed to be wrapping up. Once we do that presentation, we can come back if there's additional comments, but I'd like to be able to do the next presentation before we wrap up. So, Celeste, hopefully you're still on? This next one will be a -- a virtual presentation.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Yes. Celeste is with us virtually. And, Celeste, as soon as you're ready, go ahead and unmute yourself and address the RAB.

MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: Thank you. Can I just do a quick mic check real quick, make sure you all can hear me



1	okay?
2	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: We can hear you fine.
3	MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: Okay. Great. Thank you.
4	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Yes.
5	(Vapor Intrusion RI Update at 8:05 p.m.)
6	CELESTE HOLTZ
7	MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: As Jessie mentioned, my name
8	is Celeste Holtz and I'm the project manager for the vapor
9	intrusion and remedial investigation project. We presented
10	at the last RAB meeting in November to summarize the field
11	activities that had been completed as part of the first
12	quarterly sampling event for the immediate sampling task.
13	At that time we didn't have validated data, so tonight I'll
14	be doing just a quick refresher on what those activities
15	included, presenting the analytical results as well as a
16	summary of the field activities we recently completed as
17	part of the second quarterly sampling event, and then at the
18	end I'll just wrap up with a quick update on the overall RI
19	schedule. Next slide, please.
20	So for the refresher that first quarterly sampling
21	event for the immediate sampling task was completed in
22	August 2023. Those activities included completion of
23	interior building surveys at the four buildings shown on the
24	map, buildings 25 and 43 at site 21, and buildings 5067 and
25	5068 at site 8, and then we installed and sampled a total of



57 sub-slab vapor pins. Next slide, please.

Those sub-slab vapor pins were collected and analyzed for VOCs utilizing EPA method TO-15. The results were compared to our project action levels that were outlined in our final report plan that was compared and submitted to EGLE and MDHHS. Those project action limits including the EGLE-derived site specific volatilization to indoor air criteria, which is primarily used for delineation purposes as part of the RI. And then we also compared the results to the EPA vapor intrusion screening levels or VISLs, which are primarily used for long-term risk assessment purposes. Next slide, please.

So on this slide and the next few slides we're going to take a look at the sub-slab vapor pin results from that first quarterly sampling event. So on this slide we have the sub-slab results for building 25. So just as a reminder, this building is a very small building. It's approximately 800 square feet in size. The building is not occupied currently. It's been utilized for kind of long-term document storage. The west side of the building or the left side on the picture there, was where most of those files were stored and it did include a basement, and then the east side is slab on grade and was more maintenance based. There was some equipment in there. And then from what we've been told, the former airfield lighting used to



enter that east side of the building. So we installed and sampled two vapor pins in this building. We did have trichloroethylene or TCE and naphthalene that were detected sub-slab above the project action levels, the EGLE site specific VI criteria and the EPA VISLs. Next slide, please.

On this slide we have the building 43 sub-slab results. So this building is approximately 26,000 square feet. It's currently used mostly for aircraft engine building and maintenance activities in that large open space, and then there are a few smaller office spaces along the southwest wall. So in this structure we installed and sampled a total of 16 vapor pins. We did have sub-slab exceedances for trichloroethylene pretty uniformly across the building except for at two vapor pins, vapor pin 03 and vapor pin 05 in that northwest corner there.

The detected concentrations except for those two pins did exceed our site specific VI criteria and the EPA VISLs. And we also had chloroform exceedances, but were primarily limited to that northeast corner of the building that exceeded our project action levels as well. Next slide, please.

On this slide we have the building 5067 results. So this building is an active airplane hangar. They do active plane maintenance and repair activities throughout that big shop area and then, again, like the other building



slide, please.

there are some smaller work spaces along that southern wall.

So at this building we installed a total of 23 vapor pins.

We did have sub-slab exceedances of our site specific VI criteria for trichloroethylene again and then Cis
1,2-Dichloroethylene or DCE. They were generally limited to that east central portion of the building and then TCE did exceed the EPA VISLs at four of those vapor pins. Next

This is our last building that was included as part of that immediate sampling task, building 5068. So this building is approximately 27,500 square feet. It's a former hangar that is currently used for cold storage only right now, so there's no continuous operations or occupants in this building. But we did install and sample 16 vapor pins and at this building we had no sub-slab exceedances of our project action levels.

So that wraps up the results for our sub-slab sampling that we completed at the four buildings during that first quarterly sampling event. I did want to mention that we did also prepare and submit a summary report documenting all of the results to EGLE and DHHS as well. On the next two slides we'll kind of move into a summary of the activities that we completed as part of our second quarterly sampling event. Next slide, please.

So the second quarterly sampling event was



conducted in late January/early February. As part of that event we updated our interior building surveys. We went through and we re-sampled all of those sub-slab vapor pins again in the four buildings, and then based on the results from the quarter one event, we did collect indoor air samples at three of the buildings that had sub-slab exceedances. So those included one indoor air sample at building 25, four indoor air samples at building 43, and then five indoor air samples at building 5067.

We also collected during that event a total of four outdoor air quality samples. One was collected upwind and one downwind of building 25 and 43 just based on their proximity to each other, and then one was collected upwind and downwind of building 5067. Next slide, please.

So our indoor air and outdoor air samples were collected over an approximately eight-hour duration that's outlined in our work plan that we prepared and submitted. Based on discussions with EGLE and MDHHS, we did put a rush turnaround time on the results for the indoor air and outdoor air samples. As Amy mentioned earlier tonight, we did receive the draft/preliminary indoor air and outdoor air data. We had a few meetings the end of last week, I guess, and discussed those results with EGLE and MDHHS for evaluating the need for an interim response action. And then the preliminary indoor air data has also been discussed



with the Airport Authority and the building tenants. So based on those preliminary indoor air results, building 25 is planned to be closed for use until additional data can be collected. As a reminder, building 25 is that small building that was historically used for long-term file storage and is not routinely occupied. The sub-slab vapor pin data from the sampling event has not yet been received from the laboratory. We're expecting that data sometime next week. And then once all of the data is received and validated, the data will be shared with stakeholders. Next slide, please.

For the next steps as part of this immediate sampling task, we're going to prepare and submit the summary report for the second quarterly sampling event. Just schedule-wise, we're planning to complete the quarter three event in April time frame where, again, we'll re-sample all the sub-slab vapor pins and continue our indoor air and outdoor air sampling. And then the last quarterly sampling event that is included as part of this immediate sampling task will be conducted in July. Next slide, please.

On this slide I just have a quick update on the overall RI activities and progress since our last meeting. So we worked with EGLE to address their comments on the QAPP and we just finalized and submitted that document. And then for the upcoming field activities for the overall remedial



1	investigation, we're planning to be out in the field
2	hopefully in April time frame to start the passive soil gas
3	sampling.
4	And I think the next slide is my last one. It's
5	just a quick snapshot of the overall project time line. And
6	as I mentioned, that's all we have for our update tonight so
7	we open it up for any questions.
8	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Does anybody have any
9	questions for Celeste?
10	MR. REX VAUGHN: Got a question, Rex Vaughn,
11	Community RAB. How many members of the public are at
12	immediate risk in the three buildings that tested hot? Are
13	those ongoing businesses with employees? Do we have a head
14	count as to how many folks are at risk?
15	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: I don't I don't have a
16	head count, but I know the like she said, the one
17	building that is is high is not being used and the other
18	ones I didn't see the document, but the airport manager

21 MR. REX VAUGHN: You don't have an airport 22 manager, so let's --

MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Well, we do; we do. We do have -- we have an airport manager. We are currently looking for a director that will -- the current one will be

did and it was shared with the -- with the employees. I'm



not sure how many are there.

19

20

23

24

1	probably here until May.
2	MR. REX VAUGHN: You've got an extra level of
3	management there I wasn't aware of.
4	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Yes.
5	MR. REX VAUGHN: Thank you.
6	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. We we did communicate
7	it with the airport and the airport's communicated the
8	results with the tenants. And I did confirm that in person
9	within just today.
10	MR. REX VAUGHN: Is there any protective action
11	that those employers and employees need to take that's on
12	the level?
13	MR. STEVE WILLIS: There is there is no action
14	at this point for them.
15	MR. REX VAUGHN: Okay. So the levels are low
16	enough that they don't need to be wearing a mask and all
17	that kind of stuff?
18	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Correct; correct.
19	MR. REX VAUGHN: Okay. Thank you.
20	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right. No immediate action is
21	required.
22	MR. REX VAUGHN: That's the end of my questions.
23	MR. STEVE WILLIS: We'll continue to monitor it
24	and if the situation changes, we'll notify them.
25	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: Yeah, the Air Force has been



1 good about keeping the airport in -- in the loop. Yeah, 2 we'll make sure those people know. 3 MR. KYLE JONES: Kyle -- sorry -- Kyle Jones here. Does Michigan Health Department and EGLE agree that at this 4 time nothing needs to be done with the tenants in those 5 6 buildings? 7 MS. AMY HANDLEY: So it's the -- the State's 8 preference that mitigation happens sooner rather than later 9 and that the stuff happen as quickly as possible and that we 10 explore every possible avenue to do that. We are aware 11 that, you know, they're operating within constraints of they 12 have to reach that action level, but we do want to see them 13 pursuing any possible route to do some sort of mitigation 14 proposed by --15 MR. KYLE JONES: Understood. But right now 16 tenants using that building, breathing that indoor air, is 17 that okay as far as you guys are concerned? I mean, Air 18 Force just said it is okay, and I want to know that whether 19 you guys agree with that. 20 MS. AMY HANDLEY: So I guess indoor air is more or 21 less regulated through DHHS, --22 MR. KYLE JONES: Yes. 23 MS. AMY HANDLEY: -- so I'll let Chelsea answer 24 that one.

MR. KYLE JONES: And OSHA as well, by the way,



1 so 2 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yeah. I quess I wouldn't say 3 that it's okay for them to be breathing indoor air with 4 vapors. 5 MR. KYLE JONES: All right. I'm used precise 6 "Okay" is not clear. Are the levels, the 7 concentrations of the hydrocarbons inside the building over 8 some established level or standard or are above some 9 screening level that either Michigan OSHA or Michigan Health 10 DHS -- DHHS would want those tenants to be not working in 11 that building right now? 12 MS. CHELSEA GARY: I would say yes. 13 MR. KYLE JONES: Yes, you want them out? MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yes, I would not want them 14 15 breathing that air for sure. 16 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. Then -- then I would 17 suggest that the State of Michigan get with the Air Force 18 immediately to figure this out. 19 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yeah, we have been working on 20 that. 21 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. MS. AMY HANDLEY: Yes, we -- I think we've had 22 23 about five or six meetings just in the last couple weeks with the Air Force to figure out what's our best approach 24



for this, so

1	MR. DAVE CARMONA: So Dave Carmona. I have a
2	question. Since this is fairly new to many of us, the vapor
3	pin readings don't necessarily translate or have a ratio to
4	the air readings that you take. Is that true or not?
5	MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: That that's correct.
6	Sorry. There's a bad echo. So a lot of these structures
7	have slabs that are somewhere around 12 to 24 inches in
8	thickness. They're, you know, the two big structures we
9	looked at are hangars with jets in there. They're
10	they're very thick. There's different things that have been
11	done over the years as far as sealing the floors, the
12	cracks, things of that nature. So the concentrations that
13	you see sub-slab do not necessarily equate to detections or
14	issues in the indoor air.
15	MR. REX VAUGHN: But you're waiting for those
16	indoor air samples to be tested and the results returned;
17	correct?
18	MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: Yes. We're still waiting on
19	the validated data from the laboratory.
20	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Mark?
21	MR. MARK HENRY: Mark Henry. I have a question
22	about your phase one passive soil gas sampling. What
23	technology are you going to use for that?
24	MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: So those are the passive soil
25	gas samplers is what they're called. There's a number of



different laboratories that utilize that, but they're basically a sorbent tube that you leave in the ground for approximately 14 days. The vapors, if there are any, can passively enter into the sorbent tube and then those tubes get sent in the lab and analyzed.

Their screening methodologies are not something you would use for -- for, let's say, compliance purposes, but because of the nature of the releases historically, the footprints of some of the IRP sites were basically using that passive soil gas sampling tool to try and refine where we're going to be focusing our investigation efforts.

MR. MARK HENRY: The reason that I ask this question is on your maps you have where soil gas work was done in 1995. I was here at the base when that was done and they used the Gore-Sorber technology to identify the soil gas exceedances. I think it might be helpful if you used the same technology -- and I think Gore-Sorber is still in business -- to do the work this time so that you can compare the results to the previous work that was done by ICF Kaiser.

MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: Okay. Yeah, we can take a look at that and see what we find out.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Arnie?

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Arnie Leriche. Where would I have to go to find the total universe of buildings that you



1 initially screened or sampled? 2 MR. STEVE WILLIS: That -- that's a -- that's in 3 the QAPP and it was just finalized yesterday or today, so 4 we'll post that to the AR and it'll have a list of all the 5 buildings being investigated. 6 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: It will be? 7 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. The list of buildings is 8 all on the QAPP. 9 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Oh, okay. So right now I can 10 see it? 11 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right. 12 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: The reason I ask is there's a 13 very large building that's just south of the row of hangars 14 and it's used by Phoenix Composites is the company in there. And I don't know all of what it was used when the Air Force 15 16 owned it, but pretty sure it had -- it was a machine shop 17 with degreasers and TCE. We as a RAB, I don't remember have 18 talked about or been briefed at all about the volatile 19 organic compound plumes. Have they stayed within the limits 20 after these air strippers stopped operation in 2014 or '16? 21 Bob, help me out. 22 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So -- so all of those legacy 23 sites are in our annual reports so all the data is available 24 to you on the AR and then record. 25 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. So --



1 MR. STEVE WILLIS: So, yes, it's --2 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: -- is anyone here that could answer? Is someone familiar with --3 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. We continue to monitor 4 5 those and update that annually. 6 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Are they all meeting all the 7 standards? 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes; yeah. 9 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. 10 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: I think Celeste has something 11 to add. 12 MS. CELESTE HOLTZ: Yeah. I was just going to 13 elaborate a little bit more. So that we presented not the 14 last RAB, but the prior RAB, one of the phases of our 15 remedial investigation will include additional soil and 16 groundwater sampling for VOC analysis. So we'll essentially 17 be taking, you know, another closer look at the VOC data and 18 soil and groundwater to basically, you know, validate what 19 has been collected historically and help drive the VI work 20 that we're doing. 21 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. Did we have any 22 additional questions at all for Celeste? No? Do we have 23 any additional questions in general from the RAB members 24 before we move on to public comment? 25 (RAB Member Questions at 8:26 p.m.)



1	MR. DAVID WINN: I I have one. Is Air Force
2	looking any further into foam transport as part of the RI or
3	any of this investigation?
4	MR. STEVE WILLIS: That that'll be part of that
5	additional investigation, the data gap investigation.
6	MR. DAVID WINN: So so that is planned to be
7	looked at?
8	MR. STEVE WILLIS: We will look at that further,
9	yes.
10	MR. DAVID WINN: Okay.
11	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Which foam are you referring
12	to?
13	MR. DAVID WINN: PFAS foam on Van Etten Lake.
14	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay. So we do have an AI to
15	talk about that if it it's you're just starting from
16	the last two meetings to have some gist of what's going on.
17	Can you say a little bit about
18	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Well, I mean, it
19	MR. ARNIE LERICHE: and will that be involved?
20	Well, who have you been talking with quarterly and so forth?
21	Can you just quickly in two minutes or less?
22	MR. STEVE WILLIS: And, yeah. So what I
23	mentioned, Arnie, before the meeting that Wurtsmith is not
24	an NPL site, so EPA has no official role, but Amy and myself
25	talk quarterly with the EPA region five person. If if



6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- this were an NPL site, it would be the EPA RPM. So we -- we talk quarterly, share information, we update her on what's going on and I believe you guys talk with her quarterly as well.
 - MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Not me.
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: Okay. I know some --
- 7 MR. MARK HENRY: I do.
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: -- okay. I know -- I know some of you do. I don't know who's included in the group.

 And -- and we basically use it as an opportunity to share information. I've asked them on a couple of occasions what they're doing, how they're doing it, you know, their broader reach across the U.S. for -- for various things. Foam was

one of the topics we've talked about. But we -- we do not

have a definitive plan or anything at this point.

- MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Mark?
- MR. MARK HENRY: Mark Henry. I have two more questions, please. Paula? No, it's -- it's okay. You could probably just answer from there. Where the sludge spreading area was over by the wastewater treatment plant, approximately how far below land surface was the majority of the contamination?
- MS. PAULA BOND: That's a great question and I don't know that I can give you a good answer. I do know that in most cases the zone -- we, we took multiple



samples -- multiple vertical samples; zero to six inches, six inches to two feet, two to four feet, five to seven and on at five foot intervals after that. Most of the mass that we saw over there is really in that two to four, two to five foot zone. So there is also in shallow, you know, where the release originally occurred, but I think most of what we saw was the mass was in that -- that two -- two to four foot interval. There could be exceptions to every rule, but I think that's -- that's what is was in that area.

MR. MARK HENRY: Thank you.

MS. PAULA BOND: You're welcome.

MR. MARK HENRY: Another question. Clark's Marsh, the upper pond. I saw on your sediment sampling poster presentation you had done some work there along the shoreline. I've spent probably too much time out on Clark's Marsh working in pond one and there are about roughly six feet of highly organic sediments over most of that. The whole thing is only -- I mean, the whole pond is about four feet deep, but there is considerable sediment down there from the decay of the cattails and all that other kind of stuff that's gone on during the 50 years that that place has been polluted by the fire training area plume.

I didn't see any samples to determine if those sediments pose a risk and I don't think that the ecological people did that work. I think it would be very helpful to



know if someone were to want to remediate that, how much sediment would they have to remove out of there to get to depths where the PFAS levels are low enough that they don't cause ecological harm?

MS. PAULA BOND: Right. And that -- that -- that is a great question and Steve kind of alluded to that when we were talking earlier about collecting the samples and having a risk assessment. So they're going to take the sediment samples that we collected along with fish samples that we collected, the vegetation that we collected from pond one. We did all three of those from that pond. So when the risk assessors look at that data, they do the risk assessment, then they will make that determination. And then whatever the risk turns out to be for that, then we can then go back and go, okay, this is the number that we're looking for, how much of this is that and then that's what will be into the feasibility study.

MR. MARK HENRY: Okay. I guess it would be nice to have the samples up front so we do have something to compare to.

MS. PAULA BOND: Right. But -- sorry. Go ahead.

MR. MARK HENRY: That's all I had for this one. I have one other one that may be answered by yourself or Steve.

MS. PAULA BOND: Okay.



1	MR. MARK HENRY: I'm understanding that the Iosco
2	County Sportsmens Club which is reusing the small arms
3	firing range was allowed to put in a drinking water well
4	there. Steve and I had talked awhile ago about the Air
5	Force sampling that for PFAS and also for lead because of
6	it's immediately down gradient of a small arms range that
7	has been used for well, close to 70 or 80 years now. Was
8	that were those samples taken and what is the result of
9	the testing that you did in the well?
10	MR. STEVE WILLIS: I can answer that. No, we have
11	not sampled that. We were actually talking about it
12	recently. I was given the indication that the health
13	department may have already sampled that well for PFAS. So
14	before we went out and did it, I needed to verify that's the
15	case. If they sampled for PFAS, then we will need to get
16	their data.
17	MR. MARK HENRY: Has the health department sampled
18	it?
19	MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yes.
20	MR. MARK HENRY: Is there lead and PFAS in it?
21	MS. CHELSEA GARY: It's just as far as I'm
22	aware it's only been sampled for PFAS. I'm not aware of
23	lead sampling there. I don't remember the results off the
24	top of my head. I believe they were at least below our
25	comparison values, but I can get back to you on that one



1 just to verify that. 2 MR. MARK HENRY: Can I suggest that you do the 3 analysis for, lead because it makes so much sense in a 4 drinking water well at a small arm's firing range? 5 MS. CHELSEA GARY: Yeah, I can look into that too. 6 MR. MARK HENRY: Thank you. That's it. Thank 7 you. 8 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: All right. Did we have any 9 additional questions from the RAB members? Kyle? 10 MR. KYLE JONES: Any questions? 11 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Any questions. 12 MR. KYLE JONES: Steve, I'd like to -- and Paula, 13 I'd like to return to the -- the Alert Aircraft Area IRA. I 14 know you explained earlier that subsequent sampling has 15 determined that the -- what might have been the case that 16 the plume was wider than originally thought turns out not to 17 be the case. We don't know exactly what -- because you're 18 not collecting 100 percent of the legally required remedial 19 or contamination that is to be remediated from a legal 20 perspective, we don't know what levels you're cutting it off at, if you will. Can you answer that? 21 22 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Off the top of my head I don't 23 know the -- if you look at the -- the maps in the proposed 24 plan, I think it shows the contours of the concentration and 25 how far out the wells go.



MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. Have you considered,
though, that which is not being remediated in the IRA and
whether because that water that groundwater, the plume
affects the state park area. And so, you know, people are
using the park, they're swimming in the water in the lake
and my understanding is the water there now exceeds the GSI
standards that need to be, i.e., the PFAS contamination is
higher than the GSI levels, therefore you're allowing, you
know, high enough contamination that should otherwise be
remediated. Have you considered that in deciding not to
widen your capture or the number of extraction wells for the
Alert Aircraft Area?
MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. We've looked looked at

MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yes. We've looked -- looked at all that.

MR. KYLE JONES: And -- what? -- you concluded that the, once you capture these higher -- and I can go look at the -- at the poster outside, but whatever, you know, the highest contamination that you are capturing, it's your conclusion that the groundwater venting to the lake surface water will be below -- be below the GSI levels?

MR. STEVE WILLIS: The groundwater sampling data that we've collected for the RI doesn't indicate there's a problem there. We've got one area where we exceeded -- take a look at the minute mark. There was -- we've got one area that exceeded the surface water criteria and it coincides



1 with a small plume that we were previously not aware of and 2 so we're evaluating that now. 3 MR. KYLE JONES: And is the "that" going to be addressed in the data gap work plan? How -- how are you 4 going to address it once you evaluate it and assume there's 5 6 something that needs to get done? 7 MR. STEVE WILLIS: That's -- that's what we're 8 working on. I don't have an answer for you. 9 MR. KYLE JONES: Okay. Would I be right in saying 10 that because you're out of time and out of money that it 11 would have to be in that subsequent work plan? 12 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Not necessarily. 13 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Is that plume on the map 14 already? 15 MR. STEVE WILLIS: It's on -- it's on the map in the back, yeah. 16 17 MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Is this the first time it's 18 been added to it? 19 MR. STEVE WILLIS: I -- I think we previously 20 showed that plume looking differently. It was much closer to the Van Etten Lake IRA extraction wells. But based on 21 22 the -- the monitoring wells we put in, it's a little further

MR. ARNIE LERICHE: Okay.

MR. KYLE JONES: Steve -- by the way, I keep



23

24

25

north.

1	forgetting to announce my name. It's Kyle Jones, Community
2	RAB. I I would ask that the this issue of whether the
3	groundwater that is venting to the surface water at Van
4	Etten Lake at this state park campground be put on the
5	the AI list for for future consideration, please, because
6	I think the RAB is is of the pretty firm opinion that the
7	water right now does exceed the GSI and so it's a bit of a
8	surprise to us that that the Air Force thinks it does
9	not.
10	MR. MARK HENRY: And the GSI is groundwater
11	compliance, not surface water compliance.
12	MR. STEVE WILLIS: Right.
13	MR. MARK HENRY: Which is rule, 57 which is a
14	whole other act. And the fact that you have a rule 57
15	exceedance at that one location is very troubling.
16	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Do we have any additional
17	questions from the RAB members before I open public comment?
18	No? Amy, did we have anybody virtually who had any
19	questions from the RAB or a public comment as of yet, or no?
20	MS. AMY RAUSER: Someone raised their hand and
21	then put it down again so I think we're good.
22	(Public Comment at 8:39 p.m.)
23	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. I will quickly review
24	the public comment guidelines.

MS. AMY RAUSER: Oh, Tony Spaniola does have a



25

public comment.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. I will read the guidelines and then we'll get to Tony. Number one, please raise your hand if you're here to indicate that you would like to make a comment. Number two, when it's your chance for a comment, please approach the mic in the middle of the room. Please state and spell your first and last name for our court reporter and those attending virtually. Number three, please keep your comment to three minutes or less. And number four, please remember that your comment will be addressed at a later time if the RAB members determine that a follow-up is needed. Did we have anybody with us in the room that would like to make a public comment? Yes, ma'am, in the sweater.

KELLY LIVELY

MS. KELLY LIVELY: Hello. My name is Kelly Lively, K-e-l-l-y L-i-v-e-l-y, with Senator Peters' office. And I also just wanted to reiterate that question that Cathy and Kyle had about the Alert Aircraft Area. Something that I heard you say was that you didn't intend to capture the whole plume, and so that would be an area of concern.

And then just to reiterate so that everybody knows, that 28 senators penned a letter to the DOD asking for some clarification on their new policy regarding PFAS remediation nationwide and are waiting for a report back



that was due the end of December. And so would like to
to see that. The senator is one of those that that
authored that letter. And one of the things they ask in
there is about getting accurate numbers because Congress is
willing to fund remediation efforts and has been has been
doing so, but needs accurate numbers so that we're not
getting to these places where then we don't have enough
money. So that's all I'd like to say.

MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Thank you.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. Did we have another comment in the room? Yes, sir.

ROBERT DELANEY

MR. ROBERT DELANEY: Robert Delaney,
D-e-l-a-n-e-y, and my question is really for EGLE. The Air
Force has repeatedly said that the contamination on the east
side did not come from their base and their -- all their
efforts on the east side really pointed only at showing that
they didn't do it, not to, you know, consider multiple lines
of evidence. They're just going to prove they didn't do it.
So when you have a somewhat recalcitrant responsible party,
it's usually is on EGLE's shoulders to go out and find the
source of contamination.

If the Air Force is not the source of contamination and I -- that is always a possibility, but using multiple lines of evidence it seems highly likely that



they are, nonetheless, they're recalcitrant and trying to
actually show that. Is EGLE going to step up and actually
find the source of contamination if the Air Force will not
do it? You don't have to answer right now, but

MS. AMY HANDLEY: Okay. I was going to say it's kind of above my pay grade to make that statement.

MR. ROBERT DELANEY: Oh, okay.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. Tony, if you're still with us virtually, please unmute yourself and address the RAB when you're ready.

MR. TONY SPANIOLA: Sure. Can you hear me okay?

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: I can. Yes.

TONY SPANIOLA

MR. TONY SPANIOLA: Yeah. Okay. Yeah, Tony
Spaniola, S-p-a-n-i-o-l-a. First of all, I want to thank
Denise Bryan for her comments earlier this evening reminding
us that the focus here -- that this is all about human
health. This is all about the -- the -- the hardship that
this community has had to face for now over 14 years. And
it's unfortunate. It feels like tonight we've taken some
pretty significant steps backward.

To not test under Van Etten Lake makes no sense at all. To put it off -- we keep putting things off and putting things off and putting things off. And I say to the Air Force, please reconsider it. Please test that aquifer.



- And I say to EGLE, if they don't, you need to do it. I live
 on the east side of Van Etten Lake and so do a lot of other
 people and we have been horsed around for a long, long,
 long, long time. It needs to stop.
 - My question -- I have a couple questions. First, how many people work in those buildings that are impacted by the vapor intrusion?
 - MR. MICHAEL MUNSON: This is Mike Munson from the Airport Authority. I'm not sure. I'm going to probably say maybe 20 people in those buildings because they're -- they're basically maintenance operations. Doors are open continuously so the air is being changed. The concrete has had spills probably over the last 20 or 30 years and they're anywhere from a foot to 20 inches deep. It's important that if there's something there, that we need to test it, but
 - MR. TONY SPANIOLA: Thank you for that, Mike, for that clarification. I appreciate that. And with regard to the -- the interim remedies proposed at the wastewater treatment plant and Three Pipes, what -- what activities in connection with those, even if it's evaluation, are -- are in the current fiscal year budget? Do you have any money to move those forward in any way at all?
 - MR. STEVE WILLIS: No. No funding for those.
 - MR. TONY SPANIOLA: I just want to say that having



been at these meetings for years and years and having heard that we don't have funding is very troubling because we have members of congress including Senator Peters' staff who -- and the staff who are here tonight, who are repeatedly indicating a willingness to provide funding. The fact that we don't have sufficient funding, again, very troubling. There's a pretty serious disconnect between whoever is putting together the budgets and the communications to Congress. And, again, it underscores the lack of concern about the health of the people in our community. We've got to do better.

And we know the Air Force can because we've seen some steps that they've taken in the right direction. But tonight is very, very, very disappointing. And I -- I ask each of you who work for the Air Force and for EGLE to think about what you can do to impact in a positive way the health and the well-being of the people in our community because that seems to get lost in a lot of the mumbo jumbo that we're hearing tonight. Thank you for your time and thank you to all the RAB members for your hard work in -- in this situation. I appreciate it.

MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you, Tony. Do we have any other public comments either with us or virtually?

MS. AMY RAUSER: We have a Krystal Gurnell has a comment.



1	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Okay. Krystal, whenever
2	you're ready you can unmute yourself and address the RAB.
3	We cannot hear you. Oh, now we can. Go ahead.
4	KRYSTAL GURNELL
5	MS. KRYSTAL GURNELL: I am Krystal Gurnell.
6	Krystal, K-r-y-s-t-a-l, and Gurnell, G-u-r-n-e-l-l. I'm
7	here for Representative Jack Bergman (inaudible).
8	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: I'm sorry, Krystal.
9	MS. KRYSTAL GURNELL: (Inaudible) so if we can
10	follow up in a hearing for the (inaudible). Thank you so
11	much.
12	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Krystal, I apologize. We're
13	having some issues hearing you clearly. We were not able to
14	catch your comment. Could you repeat, please?
15	MS. KRYSTAL GURNELL: Yes. Can you hear me now?
16	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: We can hear you now. If you
17	could just speak a little slower for us.
18	MS. KRYSTAL GURNELL: Can you hear me now?
19	MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Yes.
20	MS. KRYSTAL GURNELL: Okay. I can. Hi, this is
21	Krystal Gurnell. I am from Representative Jack Bergman's
22	office. And I was just going to reiterate the (inaudible)
23	and how important it is for our office to focus on the
24	capturing the entire plume. This is an important issue for
25	our office. So we look forward to follow-up discussions and



meetings and (inaudible). Thank you. 1 2 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you very much, Krystal. 3 Amy, do we have anybody else virtually with a comment? 4 MS. AMY RAUSER: (Shaking head) 5 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: No? Okay. If there's nobody 6 else in the building with a comment, I will turn the floor 7 back over to our co-chairs for their closing remarks. 8 MR. STEVE WILLIS: Yeah. This is Steve Willis and 9 I want to thank everybody for coming tonight in person as well as those that joined us online. I think we had some --10 11 some good discussions. There's quite a few issues that are 12 still open ended and we need to try and wrap up. But we'll 13 continue to -- to make progress and brief you guys on what 14 we're doing. 15 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. Mr. Henry? 16 MR. MARK HENRY: I also would like to thank those 17 that -- that showed up this evening and participated 18 virtually. A lot of topics to cover here. We've only 19 touched on some of the stuff. We'll be hearing more about 20 it in the future I'm sure. Besides that, I thank everybody 21 and have safe trips home. Thank you. 22 MS. JESSIE HOWARD: Thank you. Thank you, 23 everybody. Have a great night. 24 (Proceeding concluded at 8:49 p.m.)



25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, Marcy A. Klingshirn, a Certified Electronic Recorder
5	and Notary Public within and for the State of Michigan, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That this transcript, consisting of 162 pages, is a
8	complete, true, and correct record given in this proceeding
9	on February 20, 2024.
10	I further certify that I am not related to any of the
11	parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am
12	not interested in the outcome of this matter, financial or
13	otherwise.
14	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
15	6th day of March, 2024.
16	
17	_
18	Marcy a. Klingshein
19	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20	
21	Marcy A. Klingshirn, CER 6924 Notary Public, State of Michigan
22	County of Eaton My commission expires: March 30, 2029
23	my commission expires. March 30, 2029
24	
25	



	100.0	01.2	F.C. 0.2	
	129:7,8	81:3	76:23	
\$	152:18	159:10,	110:19	4
	12	13,14	143:7	
\$50	106:21	20-so	25	4,000
42:4	143:7	33:22	50:22	63:4
\$50,000	12-month	200	114:17	40
44:7	82:14	16:3 44:1	125:16	117:20,23
			133:24	
\$550,000	1200	2014	134:16	40-acre
44:6	63:7	145:20	137:8,12	44:9
	70:10	2018	138:2,4	400
0	127	7:23	26	81:2
	38:13	0000	113:4	400 000
0.3	1244	2023		400,000
03	12th	38:9	26,000	40:6
135:14	39:4	133:22	135:7	41
05	13	2024	27	69:11
135:15	38:16	38:19	60:1	420
	130	2024-2025	27,500	40:5
1	60:11,14	8:11	136:11	
1			120.11	43
	14	2025	27th	133:24
1,000	83:3	13:23	59:22	135:6
52:4	144:3	24:11	28	137:8,12
1,2-	158:19	31:18	38:15	44
dichloroeth	140	49:22	118:1	59:25
ylene	52:23	75:14	156:23	
136:5	4 = 000	87:3	130 23	458
	15,000	2026		39:5
10	32:8	49:23	3	
71:16	16	82:11		5
10,-	135:12		30	
32:8	136:14	2027	116:20	50
10-foot	145:20	49:23	132:9	149:21
68:24	19	75:16	159:13	エオフ・ムエ
00.24	83:2	21		501
10-minute	03.2	93:9,11	30th	39:4
61:4	194	133:24	46:23	5067
100	38:13	22	31	133:24
62:20	1995	51:25	82:10	135:22
78:14	144:14		22	137:9,14
125:24	T11-T1	23	32 02·11	
126:3,13,		136:2	82:11	5068
120·3,13, 15 127:16	2	24	35	133:25
128:25		75:19	117:20,22	136:10
$\bot \angle O \cdot \angle O$		10.10		



AB MEETING			- Index	x: 5091addition
5091	70	accept	89:18	25:15
67:10	151:7	95:9	91:20	28:13
5092		acceptable	96:3	33:14
67:10		32:11	98:9,10	41:2
07.10	8	53:16	100:1,3	90:17
51		33.10	105:3,17	91:4,5
40:4	8	accepts	106:6	92:6
54	40:3	25:11	115:16	106:8
38:14	133:25	access	126:1	146:11
30.14	80	53:22	127:15,	- 44-4
57	151:7	71:5,7,	22,23	added
134:1	151./		134:4,6	34:19
155:13,14	800	10,11	135:4,20	60:4
5:07	134:18	84:13,14,	136:16	105:25
8:19	0.77	20,22	137:24	123:21
8.19	87	85:7	140:10,	154:18
5:20	83:1	accomplishe	13,20	addendum
18:9	8:00	d	141:12	23:18,25
5th	132:15	52:3	141.12	24:19
	0.05	61:17	actions	27:4
24:4	8:05		18:16	29:2,14
38:13	133:5	account	47:15	32:2 36:8
	8:26	80:24	55:22	61:20,22
6	146:25	accurate	active	82:24
	0. 20	96:8	60:1	83:19
_	8:39	157:4,6	135:23,24	88:23
6	155:22		133.23,24	91:25
40:4		accurately	activities	91.23
65	9	74:9	19:7	adding
38:14		achieving	61:17	115:11
670	0.0	48:4	64:17	122:11
672	99		71:13,21	additional
39:4	62:3	act	105:6	
6:00		11:22	133:11,	7:24 9:24
59:22	A	15:8	14,16,22	12:20
c 10		155:14	135:9,24	19:25
6:18		action	136:23	20:1
58:25	abandon	16:15	138:22,25	21:20
6:34	118:20,22	18:11,17	159:20	25:14,16,
61:13	ability	46:13		18 26:1
	38:1	47:10	activity	27:11,23,
		48:2	35:13	25 29:10,
7	absolutely	52:17	44:12	11 30:25
	13:16	59:4,9,	actual	31:5
	17:4		35:17	34:12
7	E 2 . 0 0	10,14,17,		61:3
7 38:16	53:20	24 60.3	127:15	
7 38:16 45:9	53:20 absorb	24 60:3, 19 86:6	127:15 add	83:23



RAB MEETING			index: A	dalilonallyairpor
98:11,21,	admin	AFFF	130:22	134:8
22 107:12	35:18	64:13	132:22	137:5,7,
112:16		67:12	150:21	8,9,11,
123:14	administrat		161:3	15,19,20,
129:25	ive	AG's		21,25
130:5	35:13,14	20:3	AI	138:2,17,
132:17	36:23	agencies	115:11	18 140:25
138:3	37:6,18	33:4	147:14	141:16,
146:15,	49:9	37:22	155:5	17,20
22,23	89:11		air	142:3,15,
147:5	admit	agenda	7:21 8:9	17,24
152:9	125:19	7:9 13:3	9:7 16:25	143:4,14,
155:16		34:9,11,	17:1	16
	ado	18,20	18:12	145:15,20
Additionall	61:12	aggregate	19:7,16,	147:1
У	adolescents	44:1,20	25 20:5,	151:4
8:1	39:5	97 : 13	16,23,25	155:8
address			22:12	157:14,23
15:13,17	adopted	agree	25:9,11,	157:14,23
28:2	27:6	12:5	13,17,21	150:3,25
58:12	adults	88:12,19	27:1,6	160:12,15
61:11	39:5	141:4,19	29:21	100.12,15
121:7		agreed	31:24	airborne
126:13	advisories	123:25	32:6,8,13	105:12
128:15	55:17	124:1	33:19	aircraft
129:12	aerial	126:2	35:2 36:1	18:15
132:23	11:20		41:21	20:4
138:23	12:1	agreement	43:18	21:22
154:5		15:16	46:11,20	67:8
158:9	aerials	70:5	47:14,24	73:4,16,
161:2	12:4	agreements	52:19,22	73.4,10, 24 74:19
101.2	Aerostar	71:5,7,	55:2,20	75:17,20
addressed	57:22	10,11	56:23	
26:11	affect	84:13,15,	70:5	76:1,11
48:16	13:13	20,23		129:24
84:2		85:3,7	73:1,6,25	135:8
98:12	78:11		74:17,18	152:13
154:4	116:13	ahead	80:20	153:12
156:11	127:20	13:7	81:17	156:19
addmaggag	129:19	21:18	82:24	airfield
addresses	affected	22:1	86:3,20,	134:25
38:13,14,	78:5	78:24	21 88:8	airalana
15,16	115:21,25	96:5	89:3	airplane
addressing	125:1	98:18	103:16	135:23
46:19	offor	100:10	126:3	airport
adoguato	affects	101:1	128:3	8:9
adequate	153:4	125:14	129:16	43:24,25
47.10		129:22	131:21	
47:16	133.4		131:21	43:24



			maex.	•
44:7,10,	amplifies	152:3	appears	47:10,11
11,13,22	54:18	analidal	31:13	87:6,12
45:8,20	7-m	analytical	annii anhin	88:24
94:4,18	Amy	71:23	Applicable	100:15
138:1	5:20,21	133:15	20:8	
139:18,	6:7 7:6	analyzed	applied	approximate
21,24	17:12,19	134:3	26:11	ly
140:7	18:4,6,	144:5	37:18	16:24
141:1	22,23			68:10
159:9	21:19	animal	applies	104:20
	22:1,5,9	65:23	15:22	106:13
airport's	25:5,12,	animals	apply	134:18
140:7	24 26:7,	54:24	17:17	135:7
alert	9,15,19,		18:3 26:2	136:11
18:15	22 32:21	announce	38:2	137:16
20:4	35:4 46:7	155:1		144:3
21:23	58:10	announced	applying	148:21
	77:11	129:16	21:14	
44:1 67:9	82:25		126:24	April
73:4,17,	83:7,15,	annual		38:20
23 74:19	21 84:1,7	145:23	appointment	76:23
75:17,20	137:20	annually	s	77:4
76:1,11,	141:7,20,	_	39:6	138:16
20 91:3	23 142:22	146:5	appreciatio	139:2
129:24		anticipated	n	apron
152:13	147:24	27:24	124:7	44:2
153:12	155:18,	29:22		
156:19	20,25	72:25	appreciativ	64:16
	158:5	73:15	е	66:12
alleviate	160:24	77:16	128:21	96:19
44:15	162:3,4	78:1	approach	aquatic
allowed	Amy's	70.1	10:13	65:13,17
31:13,14,	14:19	anticipatin		66:4
19 151:3	14.19	g	21:1,6,14	
	analogy	20:6	23:3	aquifer
allowing	98:24	3	142:24	63:12,16
153:8	99:19	Antonio	156:6	86:2
alluded		9:3	appropriate	92:12,14
150:6	analyses	anymore	ly	107:15
150.0	89:22	132:1	16:15	158:25
alternative	analysis			
s	9:2 23:20	apologize	appropriate	AR
51:10	28:8	161:12	ness	36:22
88:6	50:13	appearance	37:5,13	49:4,9
	79:12	32:5	approval	145:4,24
amount	80:2,9,	87:13	103:1	ARARS
	00.4,3,	0 / • ± 3	T02.T	
45:13	12 10			20:7
45:13 54:25 55:6	13,19 146:16	appearances	approved	20:7 77:11



AD MILL HING				iliuex. aleaba
area	152:13	21 100:11	72:18,20	authored
16:21	153:4,12,	101:3,11,	79:25	157:3
18:15	23,24	20 102:5,	80:1,8	b
20:4	156:19,21	12,24	95:15	authority
21:23		103:8,12,	99:24	8:9 45:8
38:2	areas	19 104:2,	134:12	138:1
44:1,22,	11:3	5,7,9,12	150:8,13	159:9
24 55:13	64:15	106:25		Authorizati
60:14	65:14,15	107:3	assessments	on
64:12	78:4	108:23	72:12,24	11:22
65:1,5	85:18	109:2,4	79:18	
66:18	87:24,25	117:14	assessors	automatic
	88:15,16		72:13	69:19
67:9,12	93:22	122:14		automatical
68:3,5	96:23	130:21,	150:12	ly
70:12,13	97:2	22,23	assume	113:13
73:4,17,	102:9,10,	131:13,20	109:19	113.13
24 74:19	21,25	132:6	154:5	avenue
75:17,20	117:21	144:23,24		141:10
76:1,11,	118:15,25	145:6,9,	assuming	_
20 78:13		12,25	94:12	award
81:4	123:13	146:2,6,9	95:18	105:23
85:20	arm's	147:11,	assumption	106:13
88:2,4	152:4	14,19,23	109:16	awarding
90:12	- -	148:5	109.10	9:14
92:18	arms	154:13,	assurance	J • I I
93:18,20,	151:2,6	17,24	19:22	aware
21 94:14,	Arnie	17,21	21:24	71:2
19,20,21	6:17,18	arrow	27:5	131:18
95:11,19	32:17,19,	116:6		140:3
	20 33:13,	asks	attempting	141:10
96:16,17	19 34:2,	49:1	115:2	151:22
97:1 98:1	7,15,18,		attending	154:1
100:20	23 36:21	52:25	156:8	
102:19,20		aspect		awareness
105:4,13,	46:5,6	26:10	attorney	131:17
14	47:3,8		128:10	awful
107:11,12	48:9,13,	aspects	Au	54:15
109:11	17,20,24	21:11	5:19	
116:16	49:5,8	40:24	54:12	awhile
118:9,11,	51:18	58:5	64:22	11:20
12 120:22	79:15,21	assessment		99:9
121:23	80:1,4,	19:25	125:6	151:4
125:6	11,15,18	39:2	August	
	81:12,13		12:10	
129:24	00.16 17	40:25	19:2	В
129:24 130:15	89:16,17			
130:15		41:4,7		
	99:16,17 90:1,5, 11,22	41:4,7 42:6 51:24	89:20 133:22	back



RAB MEE	ING		Inde	x: backcheckblue	÷
12:4	95:10	baseline	37:23	21,25]
13:22	125:21	55:14	h a	96:6,22	
16:9	143:6	1	begun	97:3,6	
17:8,2	22	basement	89:12	103:4	
25:12	balance	134:22	behavioral	105:1	
33:21	40:11	bases	40:23	107:20,24	
36:9	ball	32:9	behold	118:19	
37:16	22:19	basically	51:25	bills	
39:12	bar	30:16,22		56:15	
42:22	106:9	48:25	belong		
43:7,1	_0	63:20	35:17	biota	
48:7 5		106:25	Ben	52:1	
54:10	93:1	114:3	6:8	62:12	
55:17	barrels	123:8	57:14,16,	63:1	
61:23	125:15	130:3	18,21	65:12	
71:25	hana	144:2,9	58:7	81:8	
74:9 7	75:1 bars 90:18,1	146.10	benefit	bit	
76:25	90.10,1	148:10	12:8	11:24	
80:19	base	159:11	47:22	40:24	
83:7	8:12			41:1,3	
92:20,		Battelle	121:12,13	51:22	
97:4,1		23:20	Bergman	54:2	
101:6	66:18	BCT	161:7	61:19	
112:17		3 18:25	Bergman's	62:7	
115:16		19:4	161:21	63:10,14	
118:7	88:1	20:21		64:6,24	
121:24		22:3,15	big	65:12	
132:16		32:21	12:5	66:9	
150:15		33:16,24	50:24	67:16,17	
151:25		34:16	87:2	68:4 70:7	
154:16		35:1	101:3,10	72:5	
156:25	157:16	36:7,12	105:3	73:25	
162:7	base-wide	37:6	123:4	75:10	
backched	k 87:25	beat	125:18	84:9	
19:19,	based	130:21	135:25 143:8	117:22	
backgrou		22 PEGOG	143.0	118:3	
11:20	35:17		bigger	146:13	
12:1	45:12	19:20	74:4 78:9	147:17	
	101.01	beds	130:6	155:7	
backtrac	:K.	18 8:1	Bill	blood	
129:23	130:9	begin	6:13,14	41:23	
backward		8:21 61:7	53:12,13,	blue	
158:21		2	19 54:19	blue 64:6	
bad	18 138:	peginning	93:7,8,9,	64:6	
54:15	154:21	20:25	12 95:2,	116:5,6	
24.13		30:19	5,8,14,	ττ0.5,0	
i .					- 1



NAD WILLTING				illuex. DOAcallet
BOA	15,18	28:20	130:24	buildings
94:23	100:23	break	Bryan	133:23,24
board	101:1	32:7 61:4	5:24 6:1	136:18
106:17,19	105:16		55:11	137:4,6
100.17,19	107:7,18	breakdown	158:16	139:12
boards	108:5,11,	23:18	130.10	141:6
53:7	14,22	38:12	budget	144:25
boat	109:1	101:19	9:16	145:5,7
50:9	110:22,25	breaks	13:23	159:6,10
	111:5,13,	101:18	31:15	built
Bob	16,24		90:13	74:24
9:4,8	112:4,13,	breathing	121:15,18	86:14
145:21	21,23	141:16	123:1	89:2
bolts	113:2,9,	142:3,15	159:22	
12:24	20	Brendan	budgetary	bullet
	114:10,	59:2	31:23	89:18
BOND	16,19,23		37:21	bunch
16:5	115:4,13	briefed	124:17	54:21
61:14,15	116:2,10	9:7,8,9		80:8 92:8
68:12,24	117:9,13,	145:18	budgets	99:2
69:2,23,	16 118:6	briefly	160:8	
25 70:7	119:5,9,	23:2	bugging	business
73:19,22	20	1	90:14	7:11
74:11	129:20,22	bring		58:24,25
76:6,9,	130:21	41:20	build	61:3
12,15,25	132:3,12	58:4	119:21	144:18
77:8	148:23	80:19	building	businesses
78:17,22,	149:11	132:10	86:25	139:13
25 81:15	150:5,21,	bringing	133:23	
82:13	25	41:25	134:16,	busy
84:18,22	h a am	hasad	17,18,20	45:20
85:5,15,	boom	broad	135:1,2,	
17 86:5,	102:8	37:17	6,7,9,14,	С
8,14	boring	99:14	19,22,23,	
87:7,21	79:3	106:12	25 136:2,	
89:1,16	borings	122:20	6,9,10,	calendar
91:22	101:8	broader	11,14,15	12:9
92:3,11,	101.0	17:25	137:2,8,	calibration
19,24	bottom	75:11	9,12,14	64:17
93:6,8,	59:22	121:2	138:1,2,	11
11,14,19	112:1	148:12	4,5	call
95:4,7,	115:25	brought	139:17	51:19
10,15,22	117:15	36:11	141:16	56:20
96:4,14,	brain	83:22	142:7,11	61:21
24 97:4,	50:7	105:1	145:13	called
9,24		125:22	162:6	16:22
98:3,13,	brand	147.44	-	143:25
90.3,13,	DIANG			143:25



109:24	cases	98:7	changed
125:24	148:25	107:16	27:10
130:18	catch	Celeste	43:24
153:18			45:16
161:24			72:16
garbon			73:6
			74:12
112.7			75:12
care	catching		130:4,7
	54:5		159:12
125:8	category		Chanute
Carmona	65:8		36:24
6:11,12	Cathe		
30:14,22	-	50:7	characteriz
31:12		center	е
32:4		57:20	123:11
		96:25	chart
			89:21
			92:2
			charts
		136:6	13:8
		CERCLA	89:19
		29:22	122:11
		50:2	check
		128:1,24	35:19
			52:2
			92:19
			93:2
		119:9	119:24
		challenges	132:25
		109:8	
32:2 96:9		ahallanaina	checked
carries			97:20
74:24		14U·4	Chelsea
82:16		chance	6:2,4
		55:14	38:7,8
_		67:1	39:8,14,
/4:14		156:5	17,20,25
case		change	40:2,8,
10:19			10,13,18
26:3			41:5,9,
47:23	157:9		12,15,18,
51:8	cattails		25 42:8,
151:15	149:20		12,21,25
152:15,17		10.20	43:6,13
	caugnt		131:12,15
	125:24 130:18 153:18 161:24 carbon 112:9 care 109:20 125:8 Carmona 6:11,12 30:14,22 31:12 32:4 35:21,24 36:4 37:20 43:4 57:6 81:14,16, 22 82:8, 17,19 86:9 87:4,9 88:22 89:9 97:12,16 125:12 143:1 carried 32:2 96:9 carries 74:24 82:16 carry 74:14 case 10:19 26:3 47:23 51:8 151:15	125:24	125:24 148:25 107:16 130:18 catch Celeste 153:18 110:12 132:18, 161:24 117:1 21,22,24 carbon 122:9 133:3,6, 112:9 161:14 7,8 139:9 care catching 143:5,18, 109:20 54:5 146:10, 125:8 category 12,22 Carmona 65:8 cells 6:11,12 Cathy 50:7 30:14,22 7:4,5 50:7 31:12 13:17,20, 57:20 35:21,24 14:5,9, 66:25 36:4 15,21,23 center 37:20 15:1 21:4 111:8 43:4 57:6 34:23,25 112:7 81:14,16, 35:9,22 136:6 81:14,16, 35:9,22 136:6 81:14,16, 35:9,22 136:6 81:14,16, 35:9,22 136:6 81:14,16, 35:9,22 136:6 81:14,16, 35:9,22 136:6 85:29 10,13,16, </td



INAD MILL HING			IIIUGA. UIIIUI	Oloitiicominumity
141:23	62:10,13	59:25	85:18	156:1,5,
142:2,12,	64:22	131:2	94:2	6,9,10,13
14,19	65:1	138:3	103:7	157:11
151:19,21	69:17	alore	112:15	160:25
152:5	100:12,14	closer	121:13	161:14
	101:6,23	111:7	130:4	162:3,6
chloroform	102:19	146:17	134:2	
135:18	110:10	154:20	137:10,	comments
choice	149:12,15	closing	11,13,16	19:19,22,
21:6	•	162:7	138:4	25 25:9,
95:10	clay	a. 1	146:19	11,13,15,
	114:11,13	Club	150:9,10	16 26:25
choosing	117:18,	151:2	153:22	36:8
119:13	19,25	clusters		46:20
chose	clean	62:7	collecting	52:13
119:11	8:1 43:23		28:7	55:11
	54:9	co-chair	30:25	58:22
Christmas	80:23	8:21	42:10	61:10
113:10	95:19	co-chairs	69:20	73:8
117:8	99:2	162:7	75:6,8	77:23,25
circle	111:16		89:24	78:1
93:17	111.10	coincides	109:8	82:24
	cleaned	153:25	113:12	83:1,2,9
Cis-	127:19	cold	150:7	84:1,4
136:4	cleaning	136:12	152:18	124:14
cite	8:8			132:17
39:23	0.0	collect	collection	138:23
	cleanup	27:20,21,	65:20	158:16
city	43:24	23 72:4	69:19	160:23
7:25	129:1,8	98:20	colors	
118:14,	clear	101:3,10	64:4	commit
15,17,21	55:19	102:23	gommont.	91:12
119:11	142:6	121:25	comment	committed
120:13		127:16	7:14 33:5	10:24
clarificati	cleared	137:5	53:19	
on	17:15	collected	54:13	communicate
84:12	clinics	28:3 31:4	58:19	140:6
156:24	39:2	62:24	60:9,10	communicate
159:18		63:1,4,8,	61:23	d
	close	21 64:21,	81:24	140:7
Clark	10:22	25 65:1,	84:2	a a
55:2	20:24	3,10,13,	91:10	communicati
Clark's	59:14	16,17,18	106:3	ons
9:25	81:23	66:2,4,5,	121:1	35:25
54:12,23	117:19	6,17	125:12	160:8
55:7	151:7		146:24	community
56:20	aloaod	67:9,11 73:11 13	155:17,	6:9 9:9
30.20	closed	73:11,13	19,22,24	13:6



RAB MEETING			index: comin	iunity scontacted
16:12	151:25	Composites	concerns	66:12
18:7,9,10	compile	145:14	28:3	aonnoatod
22:23	31:3,16	a ampaun d	concluded	connected 41:3
25:6	31.3,10	compound 145:19	153:15	131:10
30:14	compiled	143.19	133.13	131.10
32:20	31:8	conceding	conclusion	connection
37:20	complete	128:25	7:14	159:21
39:11	23:18	concentrate	10:24	consent
49:18	24:1,18,	d	153:19	15:11
55:17,23	19,23	64:11	concrete	17:18,21
56:17	37:2		159:12	
57:2,6	62:4,20	concentrati		considerabl
89:17	64:1	on	condition	е
104:15	74:20	52:4	101:13	149:19
107:13	83:20	74:3,7	conditions	considerati
108:16	85:12,14	104:24	101:9	on
114:25	96:12	152:24		155:5
121:2,11	115:14	concentrati	conduct	
124:10	138:15	ons	59:8	considered
125:5	130.13	63:23	conducted	15:6
127:18,20	completed	126:10	38:19	153:1,10
128:2	20:14	135:16	66:9	constraints
129:4,10,	24:22	142:7	137:1	141:11
19 139:11	38:10	143:12	138:20	
155:1	39:6			construct
158:19	43:18	concept	confidence	9:15
160:10,17	63:13	40:16	47:20	constructio
community's	71:21	conceptual	103:3	n
19:13	87:5	72:3	confirm	43:18
121:13	106:14	115:5	74:6	73:15
121.13	133:11,		140:8	75:18,21,
company	16,21	concern	confirmed	25 76:23
145:14	136:18,23	26:12 32:5 87:4	11:3	constructio
compare	completely	32·5 87·4 111:21		n's
144:18	82:2,6	111:21	conflict	106:4
150:20	115:8	120:8	12:18	
		124:10	confuses	consultant
compared	completion	124:10	90:5	29:4,5
32:8 50:5	59:11	128:15		consultants
134:4,5,9	133:22	129:13	congress	126:3
comparing	compliance	156:21	14:1	127:8
70:20	144:7	160:9	157:4	128:3
	155:11	エロロ・カ	160:3,9	
comparison	gompor ent	concerned	conjunction	consumption
38:16,17	component 105:12	130:25	9:3	122:6
39:18	T03.T7	141:17	#	contacted
80:7			connect	
80:7		141:17	connect	c



RAB MEETING			Index: cor	ntaminantsCPAS
40:12	140:23	122:22	111:20	18:11
41:10	146:4	contractors	135:15,19	21:21,22
contaminant	162:13	contractors 122:25	correct	22:2
s	continued	122.25	8:2 23:5	23:13
40:22	23:3	control	24:7,12	60:10
40.22	23.3	47:5	30:21	61:20
contaminate	continuing	103:16	46:18	62:7
d	9:16,19	controls	72:16	69:9,18
8:7 98:25	20:13,22	48:5	72:10	71:16
99:25	39:3		77:4	73:5
101:16	43:14	conventiona	78:15,21	81:16
120:16	54:11	1	83:15	84:23
contaminati	57:7	50:5	84:16,18	88:25
on	131:3	conversatio	98:2	89:18
8:8 12:3	continuous	n	105:20,24	100:23
16:11	76:1	41:22	103:20,24	110:15,25
55:6	136:13	57:3	126:19	113:11
92:16		88:10	130:13,16	118:15
93:16	continuousl	128:3	140:18	128:22
96:9	Y		143:5,17	142:23
99:22	159:12	conversatio	143.3,17	148:11
109:16	contour	ns	correctly	159:5
125:4	116:4	123:13	21:8	court
126:17		convince	cost	156:8
127:16,19	contours	17:3	86:3	13010
129:1,9,	126:8	cooperate		cover
18 148:22	152:24	121:12	costs	23:10
152:19	contract	121.12	27:24	118:8
153:7,9,	9:14	cooperating	counseling	162:18
18	11:6,8,12	62:13	42:24	coverage
157:15,	28:13,21	71:19	count	18:17
22,24	31:9	cooperation	59:23	
158:3	105:23	58:6	139:14,16	covered
	106:10,13		139.14,10	13:11
contended	contractor	cooperative	county	15:7 19:4
114:24	9:23 11:6	70:5	44:14	CPA
continue	19:17	copies	119:7	9:5,20
56:22,25	20:16	59:5	125:7	19:12
57:4 75:8	28:20	CODY	151:2	50:11
89:7	29:6	copy 29:1	coup-	100:13
98:11	31:11	36:15	76:7	122:12
99:9	46:19	30.13	84:23	126:1,2
104:18	60:23	corner		CPAS
127:20	97:19	44:11	couple	91:1
129:18	106:10,	56:9	11:24	126:9
138:17	17,19	102:15	14:13	120.7
	, =-		15:4	



cracks	crosstalk	13,25	19,21	28:5
31:14	16:6	15:4,6,	96:1,7	30:14,22
32:3 53:3	CSM	12,21	98:21	31:12
67:3	72:10,23	17:1,5,7	101:4,5,	32:4
143:12	115:5,8	18:2	6,10	35:21,24
crash	113.3,6	19:3,15,	103:7,10	36:4
97:2	Cummings	16,17	104:1,16	37:20
91.2	5:17,18	20:13,18	109:9,17	43:4 55:8
create	7:18,19	21:7,9,10	113:8,12,	57:5,6
23:24	8:4,18	24:9 28:7		76:7 77:3
		29:10,14,	115:5	81:14,15,
creates	current	22,25	116:25	16,22
33:19	115:8	30:4	117:11	82:8,17,
120:17,21	121:18	31:4,5,7,	119:17,	19 85:6
creek	139:25	9,16,20	21,25	86:8,9
64:21	159:22	33:2	121:13	87:4,9
69:5,6,	cutting	35:25	124:15	88:22
10,11,12,	152:20			89:9 93:6
14 70:11		36:5 42:9	130:5,6	
71:4	cycles	55:14	133:13	97:12,16
111:6,10	86:24	56:12	137:22,25	105:16
118:10,11		65:16,17	138:3,7,	125:12
110.10,11	D	69:19	8,9,10	143:1
cri-		70:2,19	143:19	David
27:22		71:14,17,	145:23	7:2,3
criteria	D-E-L-A-N-	23,25	146:17	21:17,25
16:14	E-Y	72:1,2,4,	147:5	22:2,8,
27:22	157:14	7,9,13,24	150:12	12,20,22
39:22	dam	73:10,13	151:16	23:9,12
	32:7 69:8	74:3,5	153:21	24:11,15
64:5,8		75:6,8	154:4	33:15
92:25	118:16	78:7,8,9,	database	55:9
94:3	Daniel	10,24	70:20	76:4,7,
127:6	6:23 7:6	79:1,3,		
134:8	58:8,9,	12,13,22,	119:21	10,14,18
135:5,17	12,13,16,	25 80:7,	databases	77:5,9,
136:4	19,21	8,9,12,16	20:20	14,20
153:25		81:9	4-4-	78:3,12,
critical	dark	83:23	date	16 82:23
9:1 50:12	63:14	84:3	63:4	83:13,16,
90:12	dashed	85:6,19,	dates	25 84:6,
91:11	91:12	21,23	12:21	11,19
୬ + + + +		86:2	90:10	85:2,9,16
cro-	dat-	87:12,13		105:17,
	88:3	88:2,3,17	Dave	22,25
69:11		00.4,3,1/	6:11,12	106:18,
	data	00.33 35		
crosses	data 10:23	89:23,25	22:2,19	21,24
	data 10:23 11:9,11,	89:23,25 91:8 92:3,6,	22:2,19 26:25	



RAB MEETING				dawndevelope
10,13	87:14	definitive	131:16	designed
dawn	115:15	148:15	141:4	55:4
125:20	dedicated	degreasers	151:13,17	130:4
	59:15	145:17	departments	detail
dawned			37:22	8:14
131:8	deed	degree		32:23
day	48:7	37:4,7	dependent	59:17
12:13	deep	107:16,20	71:19	99:8,22
34:16	68:14,19	121:10	depending	100:2,4
50:25	114:12	124:14	86:24	122:21
2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	117:14,20	Delaney	114:22	
daylights	149:19	9:4	117:18	detailed
108:6	159:14	157:12,13		32:22
days	3	158:7	depends	detect
144:3	deeper	4-1	89:3	38:15
DCE		delay	115:24	doboated
136:5	117:22	129:3	deposited	detected 135:3,16
	deepest	delayed	103:20	133.3,10
deal	114:14	12:12	deposition	detection
65:24	115:19	103:22	11:2	127:8
dealing	deer	delays		detections
58:3	80:21,22,	47:2	depth	143:13
D	23	77:22	68:17,20	
Dean 60:19			117:24	determinati
00.19	def	delineate	depths	on
decades	37:17	63:23	19:11	150:13
110:12,18	Defense	99:1	117:17	determine
decay	11:22	delineated	150:3	16:11
149:20	defer	16:10	design	87:10
	12:15	delineating	9:14 44:8	93:16
December	26:15	122:7	82:10,11	96:1 99:6
19:12	78:25		98:9,20	114:6
59:20	92:4	delineation	100:22	116:14
157:1		27:21	106:4	149:23
decided	deferred	134:8	107:11	156:11
12:14	12:16	delivered	126:2	determined
deciding	123:7	72:25	127:14,	130:1
	124:16	Domina	15,23	152:15
	defined	Denise 5:24 6:1	130:9,20	
153:10	aerinea		•	determining
decision	29:12		3 1 /	_
decision 47:19	29:12	55:10,11	design/	94:9
decision 47:19 48:2 73:7	29:12 defining		remedial	_
decision 47:19 48:2 73:7 75:22	29:12 defining 98:23	55:10,11 158:16 department	-	94:9
decision 47:19 48:2 73:7	29:12 defining 98:23 definition	55:10,11 158:16 department 5:25 6:3	remedial	94:9 develop
decision 47:19 48:2 73:7 75:22	29:12 defining 98:23	55:10,11 158:16 department	remedial 127:22	94:9 develop 20:4



RAB MEETING			Index	: developingdrink
23:6	107:10	115:16	Division	119:24
developing	115:23	discussed	10:5	Doors
10:13	160:13	8:13	DNR	159:11
	directly	32:24	81:3	
DHHS	29:6	51:21		dots
16:20		137:23,25	document	66:10
20:23	director		10:17	96:18
131:11	139:25	discussing	20:5	104:16,
136:21	disappointe	19:10	25:20	17,19
141:21	d	36:11	26:10	105:14
142:10	24:25	discussion	27:4	download
DHS		7:22,23	29:17	70:2
142:10	disappointi	13:9	33:16	113:13,15
	ng	25:14,18	34:5,7,17	
dictates	57:1	61:19	53:4	downward
47:24	160:14	97:5,10	61:25	107:14
difference	discharge	107:23	82:22	downwind
14:16,25	10:19	127:25	86:16,17	137:12,14
82:9	77:12	127:25	87:2	13, 12,11
113:22	110:21	120.0	89:15	DR-
114:1	111:3,5,	discussions	134:20	105:19
	8,9,13	8:15	138:24	draft
differently	112:9	18:14	139:18	20:5
105:10	112.9	20:22	a	46:17
124:12	discharged	59:18	documentati	72:22
154:20	111:16	137:18	on	73:1
differing	112:2	161:25	15:18	
37:5	discharges	162:11	93:23	draft/
	111:6,19	44	documenting	preliminary
difficult	111.0,19	dispute	136:20	137:21
12:2 56:2	disconnect	25:25	3	drain
67:16	160:7	48:11	documents	66:21,23
68:4	disconnecte	distance	19:19	67:3
94:23	d	94:10	21:20	
dig	91:25	distributed	25:8,10	drains
97:12	71.23		34:10	66:10,18,
97.12	discrete	59:4	77:12	25
digging	11:5	disturbing	DOD	drawn
98:25	14:14,15	46:16	11:20	56:1
99:2	discretiona	Ditch	46:12	
110:10	ry		156:23	drill
dike	37:23	9:17 66:12 16		101:8
102:5,8	37.23	66:13,16	dogs	102:6
102.3,0		75:2,4,9	56:10	drilled
direct	discuss	111:3	door	114:9,11
70:15	59:16	divide	44:13	-
direction	60:2	68:4	53:10	drink
GILECCION				



RAB MEETING			index: drin	kingencountered
126:24	duplicate	69:6 71:3	effectively	134:6
drinking	17:5	83:5,23	130:7	135:4
_	duration	84:19,20,	effectivene	136:21
15:10,16,		24 85:10		137:18,23
22 16:1,	137:16	93:3,4	SS F2.16	138:23
2,12 18:1		103:21,22	53:16	141:4
39:12,15,	E	113:5	98:4	157:14
22 68:16,		115:1,9	efficacy	158:2
21 70:9,	e-mail	116:7	98:5	159:1
17,25	24:3 59:5	119:3	efficiently	160:15
118:2,25		123:7	89:8	
119:4	131:9	124:4,21,	89.8	EGLE's
120:12	earlier	25 125:9,	effort	9:7 25:11
121:14,18	50:14	16 131:1	10:22	47:14
122:2	67:19	134:23	50:20	61:23
126:24	83:21	135:1	115:2	83:3 89:3
131:24	85:17	136:6		157:21
132:5	105:18	157:15,17	efforts	EGLE-
151:3	115:22	159:2	17:5	DERIVED
152:4	125:16	139.2	55:16	134:7
drive	137:20	eastern	57:7	
118:14	150:7	59:22	144:11	eight-hour
119:23	152:14	easy	157:5,17	137:16
146:19	158:16	50:22	EGLE	elaborate
			5:20 8:4,	8:17
DRMO	early	echo	6 9:3	146:13
9:12	9:9 37:24	143:6	10:6,10,	
63:18	62:18	ecological	17 11:1,	elevation
94:24	71:24	51:24	4,10	68:10
105:19	123:5	64:8	17:14	114:22
drop	ease	72:12,25	18:22	emitting
32:3	55:24	79:16	21:6,13	101:24
		80:19	25:8,10,	
droplets	easily	149:24	22 28:22	employees
103:19	31:23	150:4	33:3,9	139:13,19
dropped	east	130.4	46:16,19,	140:11
22:19	10:25	edge	21 61:21	employers
	14:12	97:14,16	63:25	140:11
drying	21:1	edges	67:24	
8:1	23:3,6,	127:1	77:11,17	employing
due	11,14		82:4,24	44:14
157:1	24:1,4,17	effect	86:22	employment
	25:1	73:12	88:9,19	45:21
dump	27:16	effective	102:18	
20:18	28:6 30:3	48:8	126:3	enclosure
dumped	67:22	55:16	128:3	43:19
55:2	68:8,13	107:17,21	131:16,21	encountered
	00.0,13	101-11,41	131.10,21	



KAB WEETING			index. enco	urageexciteme
117:17	ensure	established	16:9 48:3	everything'
ongourago	55:13	16:14	60:24	s
encourage 42:5	onton	107:10,12	72:14	85:14
	enter	142:8	87:9 88:6	evidence
124:20	135:1	The trans	110:1	
end	144:4	Etten	154:5	157:19,25
14:2,11	entered	10:25		evident
31:3	27:5	14:12	evaluated	99:11
46:23	entire	21:1	73:11	100:7
54:7	130:11	23:3,4,7,	evaluating	examples
55:25	161:24	11,15,17	72:1	36:23
61:10,11	101.24	24:2,4,17	113:16,19	30.23
62:5,20	environment	25:1 30:3	137:24	excavation
63:19	58:5	31:13	154:2	98:21
71:21,22	environment	56:8		99:6
72:9	al	64:21,25	evaluation	owanod
73:20	40:22	65:17	9:6 115:4	exceed 93:4
75:21,23		67:21,22	159:21	
83:10	60:20	68:8	evening	135:17
90:18	120:7	69:4,6,	7:15,16	136:7
94:4,10,	124:23	14,16	10:21	155:7
16 95:11	128:10	70:11	18:18,23	exceedance
96:19,20	EPA	71:3,4	19:3	155:15
106:23	46:9	81:1,6	86:10	
110:13	134:3,10	83:5,24	158:16	exceedances
133:18	135:5,17	84:21	162:17	135:13,18
137:22	136:7	85:10		136:3,15
140:22	147:24,25	111:6	event	137:7
157:1	148:1	113:6	66:19,20,	144:16
137•1		117:15	24	exceeded
endangered	epiphany	118:4,10,	133:12,	64:5,7
58:2,3	54:2,3	16 119:3	17,21	135:20
ended	equate	123:7	134:15	153:23,25
9:22	143:13	124:4,21,	136:19,	
162:12		25	24,25	exceeds
102.12	equipment	125:10,17	137:2,5,	27:22
enforcement	47:5	147:13	10 138:7,	153:6
46:13	54:25	154:21	14,16,19	exception
engine	101:8	155:4	everybody's	93:1
135:8	134:24	158:22	23:25	
133.0	Eric	159:2	25.25	exceptions
engineer	5:19		everyone's	149:8
44:8		evalua-	11:19	excited
engineers	escaping	113:18	12:7	52:20
54:22	111:22	evaluate	38:11	71:10
	essentially	9:19	47:22	
			77.10	excitement
enrolled 39:4	146:16	11:14	77:18	49:18



			x: excuseigured
extended	fact	29:21	102:7
94:2	24:25	30:1,8	114:17
a	74:8	31:16,17,	116:20
	99:21	21 54:17	117:20,23
	106:3	75:15	134:18
	128:16	81:25	135:8
	155:14	85:19,22	136:11
	160:5	86:12	149:2,17,
	£ +	87:5,18,	19
		23 88:5,	£ . !
		14,17,20	feisty
	56:16	98:8	65:24
	fair	150:17	felt
122:7	16:8 95:9		55:21
extra	£a.:		56:6
125:13		87:11,14	forgo
140:2		February	fence
	143.2	12:10	94:18
	fall	24:4	fencing
	31:13,14	62:21	48:6
	53:3	71:21,22	field
	fomilian	137:1	
154:21			19:7,8
extreme			74:13
109:8		115:5	106:15
124:9		federal	133:10,16
		37:22	138:25
	146:3	C 1	139:1
53:2	families		fieldwork
	56:3,18,		10:22
F	25	24:9	30:16
	fond	feedback	31:1,18
Ec		28:1 41:8	fighting
	37.2	45:25	80:20
7.25 0.10	Far-	fool	00.20
FAA	55:2		figure
45:14,15	farther		12:3
face			63:10
		∠0 · 1U	64:3,24
±00•±9	faster	feeling	65:15
facility	33:12	54:14	87:12
46:12	feas-	feels	98:12
55:3			119:22
60:22			142:18,24
facing			figured
	11:13	130.70	figured
12J•10	24:6,9	feet	102:25
	21.0/2	reec	
	<pre>94:2 extent 16:10 62:3 63:24 94:6,8 96:1 98:23 99:12,13, 15 100:20 102:14 122:7 extra 125:13 140:2 extraction 54:11 127:3 153:11 154:21 extreme 109:8 124:9 eye 53:2 F F&V 7:25 8:10 FAA 45:14,15 face 158:19 facility 46:12 55:3</pre>	94:2 24:25 rextent 74:8 16:10 106:3 62:3 128:16 94:6,8 155:14 96:1 160:5 98:23 factors 99:12,13, 55:15 15 100:20 56:16 102:14 fair 122:7 16:8 95:9 extra fairly 125:13 59:9 143:2 fall 127:3 53:3 153:11 31:13,14 53:3 familiar 90:10 100:24 109:8 108:16 124:9 111:2 eye 146:3 53:2 families 56:3,18, 25 fans Far- FAA 55:2 45:14,15 farther face 117:21 158:19 faster facility 33:12 46:12 feas- 55:3 60:22 facing 11:13	extended fact 29:21 94:2 24:25 30:1,8 extent 74:8 31:16,17, 16:10 99:21 21 54:17 62:3 106:3 75:15 63:24 128:16 81:25 94:6,8 160:5 86:12 96:1 160:5 86:12 98:23 factors 87:5,18, 99:12,13, 55:15 23 88:5, 15 100:20 56:16 14,17,20 98:8 150:17 68:8 102:14 fair 150:17 16:8 95:9 feasible extra fairly 87:11,14 122:7 16:8 95:9 february extraction fall 24:4 127:3 53:3 71:21,22 extraction fall 24:4 127:3 53:3 71:21,22 extreme 100:24 15:5 109:8 108:16 federal 124:9 111:2 fed



RAB MEETING			Inc	lex: figuresForce
figures	finally	155:6	115:23	161:10
92:20	36:9	fiscal	flows	follow-on
file	49:19	30:19	116:8	9:23
138:5	financial	106:22		11:9,12
	30:19	159:22	foam	28:19
files			8:11 11:2	31:9
134:22	find	fish	28:8 56:8	
fill	25:17,25	53:21,22	103:21	follow-up
16:25	26:5	66:5	147:2,11,	156:12
31:11	42:14	80:25	13 148:13	161:25
36:6	56:23	150:9	focus	foot
60:25	104:22	fishing	56:22	149:3,5,7
	112:12,22	53:14	72:17	159:14
filled	144:22,25		118:9,12,	
131:7	157:21	five-year	25 122:5	footnote
final	158:3	21:23	158:17	89:21
25:20	finding	46:8,22,	161:23	90:2,14
35:8	40:21	25 47:4,		footprints
54:17	42:16	12,25	focused	144:9
61:24,25	42.10	53:15	89:5	
62:1	fine	82:16	103:7	Force
72:6,11	133:2	90:23	126:11	7:21 9:7
74:21	finish	fixed	focusing	16:25
75:16	21:18	54:16	126:9	17:1
78:10	30:25		144:11	18:12
81:18	59:13	flat		19:7,17,
82:3,6	62:17	25:22	folding	25 20:6,
86:11	72:5	float	75:12	16,23,25
98:9	123:24	74:25	folks	22:13
125:25		86:14	57:24	25:9,11,
127:15	finished		70:10,24	13,17,21
130:6	84:25	floor	72:18	27:6
134:5	finishing	38:5	86:16	29:21
	122:2	162:6	118:14,	31:24
finalize	a.1	floors	18,20,21,	32:6,9,13
9:14 35:6	fire	143:11	24	33:19
82:7	64:12	£1	119:11,	35:2 36:1
finalized	93:18,20,	flow	12,13,25	41:21
19:18	21 94:20	33:16	123:6	46:11,20
22:11	98:1	68:3 75:7	125:9	47:24
33:21	102:20	114:4	128:14	52:19,22
36:14	149:22	115:8	129:12	55:2,20
48:21	firing	116:6,7	139:14	56:23
51:25	151:3	flowing		70:5
75:14	152:4	66:24	follow	73:1,7,25
138:24		67:16	57:24	74:17,18
145:3	firm	114:3	131:22	80:20
- -				



RAB MEETING			Inc	dex. Force sgave
81:17	82:3	fruit	furthering	104:1
82:25	85:21	49:21	128:20	124:15
86:3,20,	88:13	51:3	. .	147:5
21 88:8	89:6,8,12		future	154:4
89:3	159:23	fruitful	10:8 12:8	
126:3	161:25	123:15	17:14	gaps
128:3		FS	26:23	10:23
129:16	found	24:5	101:24	11:11
131:21	26:22	81:23	127:20	29:11,14,
140:25	78:7	82:3,5	155:5	23,25
141:18	81:5,9	ни 0 0	162:20	31:5,7,10
142:17,24	fourth	FT02	fuzzy	86:2 92:4
145:15	47:13	47:12	54:13	101:5
147:1	75:18	54:5,14		103:10
151:5	e	63:20		104:16
155:8	fractionati	98:2	G	garden
157:15,23	on	100:13		120:14
158:3,25	8:11	101:15	G-U-R-N-E-	_
160:12,15	frame	107:17	L-L	Gary
	38:21	FTO2	161:6	6:4 38:8
Force's	138:16	9:25		39:14,17,
27:2	139:2	full	ga-	20,25
47:14	f		29:10	40:2,8,
forcing	frankly	10:1	Gaines	13,18
119:12	124:11,22	99:13	6:13,14	41:5,9,
	Fred	125:14	53:13,19	12,15,18,
Forest	8:24	129:8	93:7,9,	25 42:12,
6:6	free	fully	12,15	21,25
57:22,25	13:2,9	128:21	95:2,5,8,	43:6,13
62:8,9		129:7	14,21,25	131:12,15
100:15,20	freely	fund	96:6,22	142:2,12,
102:6	15:5	129:7	97:3,6	14,19
forgetting	freeze	157:5	107:20,24	151:19,21
155:1	62:13		gamut	152:5
£		funded	63:17	gas
form	friend	70:6	03.17	139:2
13:2,9	21:12	funding	Gantt	143:22,25
17:15	friends	9:13,16	122:11	144:10,
forum	56:3	38:2 44:4	gap	13,16
128:5,7	. .	50:14,15	11:9,13	~~~
forward	front	91:1	17:1 24:9	gauge 75:7
9:11	57:20	105:19	30:4 31:9	75.7
11:15	150:19	159:24	83:23	gauging
32:3 56:3	frozen	160:2,5,6	84:3	69:16
71:11	62:11		85:6,23	gave
72:21	100:17	funds	87:18	131:2
75:15		37:23	92:6	101.2
, 5.15			·	



RAB MEETING			Ш	dex. generalguys
general	91:13	grant	109:4,5	10
10:13	goals	44:6	144:2	guess
27:18	47:16	grateful	ground's	8:16
40:25	47.10	55:12	100:16	11:21
88:12	good	55.12	100.10	18:19
101:22	12:19	Gray	groundwater	
125:6	18:16,23	6:2	15:23	29:18
146:23	22:22	GRE	16:11	37:15
	32:5,6	43:19	62:24	39:21
generally	43:6	43.19	63:7,11,	40:21
37:24	53:2,23	great	21,22,24	42:16
40:20	83:17	50:6	67:4 68:3	49:3,15
136:5	116:23	57:21	70:15	58:16
generate	124:7	87:21	72:5,7	102:19
24:21	125:19	93:19	79:5,17	104:15
	141:1	94:1	88:3	108:20
generated	148:24	96:14	98:1,2,6	111:25
60:3	155:21	98:15	102:17	124:13
106:2	162:11	129:15,	103:20	137:22
geologic		16,17	108:4,6,	141:20
101:12	Gore-sorber	133:3	17 109:9,	142:2
	144:15,17	148:23	22 113:24	150:18
get all	governing	150:6	114:2,3,	guessing
29:14	77:12	162:23	6,25	95:20
gist		102 23	115:23	
147:16	government	green	116:6,7,	guidance
	37:22	63:15	8,19	11:21
give	governmenta	93:17	120:15	guidelines
10:11	1	94:5,13,	120:15	155:24
34:10	- 7:15	22,24,25		156:3
38:5,23		96:23	146:16,18	130.3
40:10	governs	104:17,	153:3,19,	gun
41:1	10:19	19,24	21 155:3,	125:15
42:17,21	grabbing	Greg	10	Gurnell
46:14	55:5	6:21,22	groundwater	160:24
50:24		49:13,14,	's	161:4,5,
52:6	grade	15 50:18	109:3	6,9,15,
56:12	134:23	51:2,8,		18,20,21
57:13	158:6	12,14,17	group	10,20,21
63:5	gradient	12,14,17	148:9	guys
72:19	69:9	groomed	growing	17:16
75:10	101:14	93:17	59:13	32:14
79:11	151:6	ground	GS	62:22
148:24		43:19		71:2
goal	grandchildr	54:4,10	69:22	72:19
70:14	en	55:2	GSI	88:10
89:7	56:7	56:20	153:6,8,	94:8
		50.20	20 155:7,	100:9



RAB WEETING				index: naiinire
102:19	143:9	head	46:15	111:19
111:1	145:13	66:14	67:19	143:21
117:24	h	107:18	73:24	144:12
125:8	hanging	113:21	103:15	148:7,17
141:17,19	49:21	126:5,6	119:19	149:10,12
148:3	51:3	139:13,16	127:8	150:18,22
162:13	happen	151:24	129:24	151:1,17,
	25:24	152:22	156:20	20 152:2,
	26:2	162:4	160:1	6 155:10,
H	47:18			13
	86:19	heading	hearing	162:15,16
half	87:1,12	104:21	95:19	
22:13	89:12	heads	160:19	hesitate
32:9,10	141:9	37:23	161:10,13	79:11
46:8		38:24	162:19	Неу
47:18	happened	103:5	heavy	88:10
	51:24		64:13	
hand	52:25	health	101:8	hidden
155:20	75:1 81:5	5:25 6:3		51:21
156:4	94:14	55:17,18	helpful	high
handled	124:5	56:7,12,	99:20	139:17
95:17	128:22	21 57:1	144:16	153:9
	happening	64:10	149:25	
Handley	40:16	70:21	helps	higher
5:20,21	54:14	72:12,24	42:17	74:3,7
17:12,19	84:10	119:16,17	53:8	113:25
18:4,22,	01110	120:9	33.0	114:1,3
23 21:19	hard	131:16	Henry	153:8,16
22:1,5,9	27:1	141:4	6:9,10	highest
25:12,24	36:15	142:9	9:4 12:20	153:18
26:7,9,	37:10	151:12,17	13:6,15	
15,19,22	59:5	158:18	16:16,17,	highlight
35:4	63:10,14	160:10,16	19 17:10	46:15
83:7,15,	64:7,24	healthy	18:10	highlights
21 84:1,7	69:10	54:23	35:14	22:14
141:7,20,	89:1	54.43	44:17,19,	23:1
23 142:22	105:1	hear	25 49:9	
158:5	108:8	7:12	68:7,22	highly
handa	111:7	18:18	69:1,21,	149:17
hands 61:23	116:4	58:13,18,	24 73:18,	157:25
01.23	160:20	22 132:25	21,23	hill
hangar	handahin	133:2	78:18,23	55:1
66:11	hardship	158:11	79:3,10,	108:17
67:10,12	158:18	161:3,15,	13 91:23	
135:23	harm	16,18	92:7,16,	hinted
136:12	50:3		23 93:5	86:10
	150:4	heard	95:18	hire
hangars		28:16		



February 21, 2024 Index: historical..improved

RAB MEETING			inaex: ni	storicalimproved
29:3	hospital	144:23		151:6
historical	111:20	146:10,21	I	impact
96:1,7	hot	148:16		16:22
105:6	139:12	152:8,11	I-	107:13
		155:16,23	98:2	122:2
historicall	hotspot	156:2		124:8
Y	54:20	157:10	i.e.	160:16
138:5	hours	158:8,12	44:8	100.10
144:8	10:1	160:22	153:7	impacted
146:19	59:19	161:1,8,	Iargo	16:12,14,
hit	33.13	12,16,19	108:12,16	25 94:21
43:17	house	162:2,5,	100.12,10	95:13
104:24	13:13	15,22	ICF	125:7
104.24	households	•	144:19	159:6
hold	39:5	huge	idea	impostful
61:9	39.3	45:19	38:21	impactful
101:16	HOWARD	103:8		55:16,22
haldina	5:17,19,	human	40:23	56:13
holding	22,24	64:10	42:17	impacting
33:2	6:2,5,8,	72:12,24	63:5	62:12
Holtz	11,13,15,	158:17	66:14	
132:24	17,19,21,		68:2	impacts
133:3,6,	23,25	humans	106:21	56:12
7,8	7:2,4,8	54:24	identi-	65:14
143:5,18,	8:20,24	humidifier	29:23	112:23
24 144:21	18:7,21	131:5		impatient
146:12	32:15,18	132:10	identifiabl	73:23
_	38:4		e	
home	39:7,9	hundred	15:7	implement
56:24	42:7	116:20	identified	9:15 11:7
162:21	43:14	hunt	11:11	20:20
homes	45:22	53:21	29:23	101:4
131:1	46:3,5		31:6 32:2	implemented
	49:11,14	hunters	46:25	47:10
hook	52:8,12,	80:23	103:10	
56:19	15 53:12,	hunting		importance
119:11	25 55:8,	12:17,18	identify	89:4
hope	10 57:5,	53:14	11:14	important
129:15	11,16,19	56:20	70:9,14	55:15
	58:7,11,		85:24	56:22
hoped	14,18,20,	Huron	99:24	159:14
56:4	14,18,20, 23 59:2	68:6	144:15	161:23,24
hoping		hydrocarbon	identifying	
18:18	61:2,7	s	22:14	improve
-	132:21	142:7		33:7
74:23	1 2 2 2 4	<u> </u>		
74:23	133:2,4		immediately	improved
74:23 horsed 159:3	133:2,4 139:8 143:20	Hydrologic	immediately 12:22	<pre>improved 33:1</pre>



RAB MEETING			maex. imp	provementinterio
improvement	160:3	indoor	74:6	114:12
11:19		134:8	2 . 2 . 2 . 3 3	116:17
12:6	incomplete	137:5,7,	initially	117:23
47:17	29:10	8,9,15,	28:2 47:1	127:24
	96:11	19,21,25	112:5	133:25
in-depth	97:7	138:2,17	145:1	135:23
12:23	121:9		inland	
in-house	incorporate	141:16,20	116:19,21	136:2
113:17	d	142:3	110.19,21	installing
113.17		143:14,16	input	62:16
inadequate	72:10	ineffective	9:5,8	125:23
80:22	incorporati	53:20	77:17	
	ng		82:4	instance
inaudible	73:14	inexpensive		60:11
12:15	75.11	50:4	inquiring	insufficien
49:1	incorrect	influence	8:5,6	
161:7,9,	118:4		inside	t 05.01
10,22		23:20	142:7	85:21
162:1	increase	inform	142:7	integrated
	45:7,9	104:5	inspection	67:9
inches	incremental		7:25	
143:7	11:5	informal		intend
149:1,2	14:13	13:14	install	82:2
159:14	21:4,6	information	44:8	156:20
include	21.4,0	9:10,25	62:10	intended
12:7	increments		67:20	10:10
	124:6	15:7,14,	71:5,6	
53:18		23 18:19	84:22,24	121:1
72:23	indicating	33:3	136:14	intent
78:4	160:5	35:25		90:8
79:16	indication	36:10	installatio	
91:10	91:13	37:6	n	interaction
124:13	151:12	40:11,12	62:17	116:18
134:22		42:20	85:1	interest
146:15	indicators	53:8 54:3	installatio	13:7
	79:4	67:1		13.7
included	indiscernib	68:18	ns	interested
27:3		78:6	69:21	12:24
53:15	le	79:12	installed	81:7
133:15,22	50:8	80:5	62:19	121:24
136:9	101:14		67:23	
137:7	individual	117:24	68:13	interim
138:19	63:7	118:13	69:5,13,	18:15,17
148:9	88:16	119:10	15,18	73:7,13
	106:15	122:1		137:24
includes	T00.T2	148:2,11	71:14	159:19
73:8	individual'	informed	92:8,13,	
including	s	34:20	15 100:14	interior
	123:19	34.40	112:14	133:23
64.23	100.10			
64:23 134:7	123 129	initial	113:5,6	137:2



RAB MEETING			nae	x: interjectJess
interject	63:22	IR	72:23	
16:16	investigati	100:13	97:22	J
internal	_	IR-	100:10	_
18:11	on 10:23,25	90:6	103:1	Jack
18.11	·	90.6	107:8	
internally	11:9,13	IRA	124:24	161:7,21
20:19	24:9 26:1	7:12	125:8,22	January
	27:4,12,	9:12,15	128:4	19:4,14
interpretat	25 28:9,	10:1	130:24	22:4,9
ion	19 29:7	18:15,17	131:3,11,	38:13
128:10,18	30:2,5	50:13	16,19	71:24
interpretat	31:9,25	51:11	155:2	_
ions	62:3	61:13	161:24	January's
11:23	63:12	73:12		22:7
1 t t	64:3	78:4,11,	issued	January/
interrupt	84:3,15	13 90:3,7	55:18	early
34:23	85:6,11,	98:2,5	issues	137:1
interval	24 92:6	100:13,22	10:17	
149:8	96:11	101:4	31:23,24	Jess
	97:7	105:18	37:21	32:17
intervals	99:5,9	106:4	45:25	Jessica
68:18	102:17	127:14	46:25	57:12,13,
149:3	103:15,16	127:14	65:20	14
introduce	104:1	152:13	66:22	
7:17	120:3			Jessie
	123:14	153:2	67:14	5:17,19,
Introductio	124:2	154:21	83:4,18	22,24
ns	133:9	IRAS	143:14	6:2,5,8,
7:10	139:1	9:11,17	161:13	11,13,15,
intrusion	144:11	13:24	162:11	17,19,21,
7:13	146:15	90:13,24	item	23,25
19:21	147:3,5	122:12,25	18:11	7:2,4,8
20:23	•	125:23	52:17	8:20,24
26:13	investment	126:1,8,	59:4,18,	18:7,21
133:5,9	45:7,20	10 127:14	19 60:13,	32:15,18
134:10	invit-	129:17	19 86:6	38:4
159:7	22:24		89:18	39:7,9
		IRP	91:20	42:7
inventory	invitation	144:9	97:5	43:14
70:20	60:19	irrigation	105:3,17	45:22
investigate	invited	118:23	106:5,7	46:3,5
d	22:24	132:5	115:16	49:11,14
			113.10	52:8,12,
123:22	involved	isolated	items	15 53:12,
145:5	147:19	82:19	59:9,10,	25 55:8,
investigati	Iosco	issue	14,24	10 57:5,
24			60:3	10 57.5,
ng		46:111		
31:10	125:7 151:1	46:10,11 57:8,10	122:11	58:7,11,



RAB MEETING				Index: jetsKyle
14,18,20,	39:8,10,	16 129:6,	Kelly	123:4
23 59:2	16,18,23	14 141:3,	53:9	knowing
61:2,7	40:1,7	15,22,25	156:15,16	47:14
132:21	42:8,18,	142:5,13,	key	47.14
133:2,4,7	23 43:1,	16,21	_	knowledge
139:8	5,11	152:10,12	28:5	123:19
143:20	52:11,14	153:1,15	43:18 131:24	Krystal
144:23	97:21,25	154:3,9,	131.24	160:24
146:10,21	98:4,14	25 155:1	kicking	161:1,4,
148:16	99:3,10,	Tark	24:23	5,6,8,9,
152:8,11	16,19	Josh	kill	12,15,18,
155:16,23	100:5,9	6:23,24	81:5	20,21
156:2	107:8,19,	46:1,2	01.3	162:2
157:10	22,25	July	kind	102.2
158:8,12	108:18,20	37:25	11:12	Kyle
160:22	109:7,14,	77:7	19:10,16	6:15,16
161:1,8,	18,22,24	138:20	20:15	10:14,20
12,16,19	110:2,5,	4	21:1,3,4,	25:5,6,21
162:2,5,	8,15,19,	jumbo	5 27:1	26:4,8,
15,22	24 111:4,	160:18	34:24	14,18,21,
	11,15,18,	jumping	36:24	24 27:14,
jets	21,25	60:9	38:6 41:1	16,19
143:9	112:11,	Tumo	50:7	28:11,15,
join	19,22,25	June	53:18	24 29:3,
50:11	113:4,18	37:24 77:7	54:18	15,18
	114:8,14,	121:25	63:5,14,	30:8,10,
joined	18,20,24		17 64:11	12 37:3,
162:10	115:10,19	125:13	65:6,9	10,15
joining	116:9,22,		69:10	39:8,10,
7:16	25 117:5,	K	74:22	16,18,23
2.2	7,12		82:25	40:1,7
joint	118:1	K-E-L-L-Y	85:24	42:8,18,
9:12	119:2,6,	156:17	86:10	23 43:1,
Jones	19 120:4,	150.17	88:15	5,11
6:15,16	6,10,18,	K-R-Y-S-T-	91:13	52:8,11,
10:14	20,23	A-L	94:7	14 83:22
25:5,6,21	121:3,6,	161:6	105:14	97:21,25
26:4,8,	10,20	Kaiser	122:9	98:4,14
14,18,21,	122:4,8,	144:20	125:5	99:3,10,
24 27:14,	16,19,24		134:19	16,19
16,19	123:3,16,	Kalitta	136:22	100:5,9
28:11,15,	20,23	43:18	140:17	107:7,8,
24 29:3,	124:9	KC135	149:20	19,22,25
15,18	126:6,12,	88:2,4	150:6	108:18,20
30:8,10,	15,23		158:6	109:7,14,
12 37:3,	127:7,12	keeping		18,22,24
10,15	128:7,12,	141:1	kinds	110:2,5,
	140.1,14,			, - ,



AD MEETING			maox. E	1- V-L-L- ILEIICI
8,15,19,	La-	84:16,21,	late	leeched
24 111:4,	23:3	25 85:11	11:1	98:6
11,15,18,	lab	86:2 93:4	47:14,18	1
21,25		113:6,23	48:10,15	leeway
112:11,	60:14,20,	114:6,15,	77:4	84:8
19,22,25	21,24	16,22	125:16,20	left
113:4,18	71:24	115:1,9,	137:1	16:23
114:8,14,	144:5	11,21,24,	.	31:23
18,20,24	laboratorie	25 116:8,	latest	62:1,4
115:10,19	s	12,18	17:6	71:15
116:9,22,	144:1	117:2,15,	latitude	134:21
25 117:5,		19 118:4,	15:12	-
7,12	laboratory	10 119:3		legacy
118:1	138:8	123:7	laundry	145:22
119:2,6,	143:19	124:4,21,	131:7	legal
19 120:4,	lack	25	law	27:7
6,10,18,	32:10	125:10,17	126:19	33:20
20,23	37:13	147:13	128:1	46:20
121:3,6,	45:11	153:5,19	-	152:19
10,20	56:2,24	154:21	lawn	
122:4,8,	160:9	155:4	120:14	legally
16,19,24		158:22	layer	125:25
	lagoon		- 114:11	126:4,16
123:3,16,	67:12	159:2		127:3,16
20,23	lake	land	leaching	129:1,9
124:9	10:25	48:5	107:14	152:18
126:6,12,	11:3	148:21	lead	legislative
15,23	14:12	landscape	151:5,20,	57:8
127:7,12	21:1	43:24	23 152:3	
128:7,12,	23:4,7,	43.74	7 7	legislators
16 129:6,	11,15,17	large	leads	57:3
14 141:3,	24:2,4,17	20:17	40:19	Leriche
15,22,25	25:1 28:7	81:2,6,19	89:9	6:17,18
142:5,13,				•
		86:16	leaf	32:17,19,
16,21	30:3 32:1	86:16 108:13	leaf 108:1	32:17,19, 20 33:13,
152:9,10,	30:3 32:1 46:8		108:1	20 33:13,
152:9,10, 12 153:1,	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11	108:13	108:1 <pre>learn</pre>	20 33:13, 19 34:2,
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3,	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25	108:13 135:9 145:13	108:1	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17	108:13 135:9 145:13	108:1 <pre>learn</pre>	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25 155:1	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16	108:1 learn 32:23	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5 67:21,22	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16 73:25	108:1 learn 32:23 leave	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6 47:3,8
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25 155:1	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5 67:21,22 68:1,5,6,	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16 73:25 74:7	108:1 learn 32:23 leave 40:18	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6 47:3,8 48:13,17,
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25 155:1 156:19	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5 67:21,22 68:1,5,6, 8,14	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16 73:25	108:1 learn 32:23 leave 40:18 80:22	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6 47:3,8 48:13,17, 20,24
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25 155:1	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5 67:21,22 68:1,5,6, 8,14 69:16	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16 73:25 74:7	108:1 learn 32:23 leave 40:18 80:22 110:6 144:2	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6 47:3,8 48:13,17, 20,24 49:5,8
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25 155:1 156:19	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5 67:21,22 68:1,5,6, 8,14 69:16 70:11	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16 73:25 74:7 88:23	108:1 learn 32:23 leave 40:18 80:22 110:6 144:2 leaves	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6 47:3,8 48:13,17, 20,24 49:5,8 51:18
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25 155:1 156:19 L	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5 67:21,22 68:1,5,6, 8,14 69:16 70:11 71:3,4	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16 73:25 74:7 88:23 largest 44:13	108:1 learn 32:23 leave 40:18 80:22 110:6 144:2 leaves 36:5	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6 47:3,8 48:13,17, 20,24 49:5,8 51:18 79:15,21
152:9,10, 12 153:1, 15 154:3, 9,25 155:1 156:19	30:3 32:1 46:8 56:8,11 64:21,25 65:17 66:5 67:21,22 68:1,5,6, 8,14 69:16 70:11	108:13 135:9 145:13 larger 18:16 73:25 74:7 88:23 largest	108:1 learn 32:23 leave 40:18 80:22 110:6 144:2 leaves	20 33:13, 19 34:2, 7,15,18 36:21 46:6 47:3,8 48:13,17, 20,24 49:5,8 51:18



RAB MEETING				index: letterlo
81:13	68:1	limits	local	108:8
89:17	99:1,8,22	134:6	5:24 44:7	159:3,4
90:1,5,	100:2,4	145:19	55:18	long-to
11,22	113:22,23	lines	60:14,24	long-term
91:6,17,	115:20,24		131:16	11:14
21 100:11	116:13	91:7,10	1	19:20
101:11,20	140:2,12	116:5	location	134:11,20
102:5,12,	141:12	119:10	15:12,15	138:5
24 103:8,	142:8,9	122:11	64:4	longer
12,19	7 7	157:18,25	68:16	36:5
104:2,5,	levels	Lingo	71:9 79:6	77:16
7,9,12	64:9	6:19,20	119:25	langituda
106:25	67:25	46:4	155:15	longitude 15:13
107:3	69:7	104:14	locations	13.13
108:23	113:7	105:9	15:9	longitude/
109:2	114:1	linle	17:13	latitude
117:14	134:4,10	links	19:10	15:19
122:14	135:4,20	69:25	28:2,3	looked
130:23	136:16	70:1	44:14	58:1 83:8
131:13,20	140:15	list	62:11	101:21
132:6	142:6	20:7 25:7	63:12,16	115:6
144:24	150:3	52:17,21	64:7	143:9
145:6,9,	152:20	53:2	65:10	143.9
12,25	153:8,20	59:4,9,	69:12	
146:2,6,9	LF030/031	13,18	71:7	153:13
147:11,	9:12	60:4	104:23	loop
14,19		145:4,7	112:3,16	141:1
148:5	LF30/31	155:5	114:13	loose
154:13,	105:19	13-4-3	117:20	32:7
17,24	library	listed		32.7
	36:14,15	46:10	logical	loss
letter	55:19	63:25	128:19	32:11
46:11	56:5	64:8	logically	lost
70:8		listen	129:6	160:18
118:2	life	13:10	111-	
156:23	56:19	live	logisticall	lot
157:3	light	125:9	y 72.16	8:16
letters	131:14	128:8	73:16	19:14
39:1	lighting	128.8 159:1	long	29:1
70:10	63:11	T09.T	55:21	32:23
118:12	68:5	Lively	59:10,12	43:24,25
	134:25	53:10	68:22	44:19,21,
letting	134.43	156:15,	81:18	23 45:16
123:23	limited	16,17	86:20,21,	49:17
127:19	135:19	Lo	23 90:15	50:20
level	136:5	51:25	101:24	54:5,15
47:15		21.72	106:9	57:23



AB MEETING				index: Loudiviai
64:14	made	119:24	111:7	23 93:5
65:16,19,	12:2 27:1	121:11	133:24	95:18
21,22	33:1 54:7	131:13,18	154:13,15	111:19
68:16,18	67:16	132:25	manla	130:21
73:16	78:6 92:6	141:2	map's 112:17	143:20,21
74:13	97:20	150:13	112.1/	144:12
83:4	98:23	156:5,13	maps	148:7,16,
86:11	127:13	158:6	12:1 13:7	17
87:23	magnitude	162:13	15:24	149:10,12
99:4	63:5	makes	61:21	150:18,22
101:4,6		64:12	74:8	151:1,17,
103:5	124:7	99:20	92:22	20 152:2,
111:2	main		93:2	6 153:24
118:14,18	7:23	100:6	103:2,6	155:10,13
121:23	maintain	127:17	126:8	162:16
143:6	118:13	129:7	144:13	Wa-sar
159:2	110.13	152:3	152:23	Mars
160:18	maintenance	158:22	March	23:16
162:18	7:22,24	making	20:21	marsh
	19:6	19:8 36:1	59:22	9:25
Loud	66:11	88:2	60:2 72:7	54:6,12,
118:14	67:9,10,	malleable	00.2 /2./	23 55:7
low	11 73:14	86:13	mark	56:20
49:20	75:25	00.13	6:9,10	62:10,13
51:3	134:23	mammal	9:4,8	64:23
140:15	135:9,24	65:20,25	12:20	65:1
150:3	159:11	66:3	13:5,15	69:17
lower	majority	mammals	16:16,17,	100:12,14
63:24	148:21	52:1	19 17:10	101:7,23
68:1		65:18	18:10	102:19
113:25	make		24:3	109:9,17
114:1,4	10:7	management	35:14	110:10,12
	21:8,9	9:7,8	37:2	149:12,16
lowered	33:12	140:3	44:17,18,	mask
113:24	35:5 44:5	manager	19,25	140:16
lowest	47:19	133:8	49:9,10	110.10
115:20	76:19	139:18,	68:6,7,22	mass
	78:3	22,24	69:1,20,	149:3,7
	85:21	mambalas	21,24	match
M	87:15	manholes	73:18,20,	44:7
	89:21	66:13	21,23	
	90:14	67:8	78:17,18,	matches
M-41	91:12,20	man	23 79:3,	35:6
M-41 69:11,14		map		
69:11,14	93:2 99:1	73:3	10,13,23	material
69:11,14 machine	93:2 99:1 103:11		80:16	
M-41 69:11,14 machine 145:16	93:2 99:1	73:3		<pre>material 67:15,17 Matt</pre>



RAB MEETING			INC	iex: mallermillioi
23:15	45:14	160:1	131:1	45:18
matter	47:16	162:1	133:7	141:4
		mamban	137:20	142:9,17
92:8 127:21	meeting 9:1,24	member 7:10,13	139:6	micro
127:21			147:23	99:15
120.1		8:19,21		99.15
MDHHS	18,23	30:15	met	microphone
134:6	13:2,12	121:11	7:21	57:17
137:18,23	14:10	146:25	45:15	middle
MDHHS's	18:25	members	meters	43:8
39:21	19:12	20:2,3	75:7	44:10
39.21	20:3,21	38:5	method	115:11
meaningful	22:3,15,	45:23	21:14	120:15
50:24	24,25	59:4 61:9		
51:5	33:6	123:14	134:3	156:6
means	43:22	139:11	methodical	migrate
49:22	48:11	146:23	50:2	81:3
49.22	52:25	152:9	methodologi	97:25
meant	54:8	155:17	_	migrated
26:20	57:15	156:11	es 144:6	migrated 110:11
39:11	59:5,6,8,	160:3,20	144.0	110.11
meantime	21,25	·	methodology	migrating
9:18	60:2,4	memorialize	128:19	98:6
9.10	61:18	S	methods	107:15
measure	72:9	36:24	72:20	109:20,22
67:25	74:13	memory	72.20	129:9
69:7	75:1	55:19	metrics	migration
113:6,21	79:19,20		38:12	114:25
116:11	80:14	mention	mi-	114.25
measured	123:5	60:16	99:15	Mike
29:24	133:10	136:19	JJ.13	43:16
27.24	138:22	mentioned	mic	45:2
measurement	146:6	12:21	45:24	97:12
s	147:23	13:18	132:25	159:8,17
115:2	meetings	15:3	156:6	mile
mechanism	11:1 12:9	20:24	Michael	81:2
36:19	13:14	48:17	5:22,23	01.2
	18:11,12	54:19	43:16	miles
MEDC	19:6	67:19	44:18,21	81:3
44:6	20:16	70:7	45:1,4,10	milestones
media	25:8,17	83:21	97:14,18	106:15
109:11	32:24	109:7,8	139:15,23	
	36:8,9	111:11	140:4,25	millenia
medium	59:16	114:21	159:8	110:16
68:14,19	137:22	115:10,22		million
meet	142:23	118:1	Michigan	32:9,10
11:1,10		124:22	16:20	45:9
_,	147:16	_ 		10.0



				x: mindnegotiat
mind	45:19	113:14	moving	national
55:25	55:15		9:11	11:22
56:21	56:11,15,	months	43:25	46:10
	18,24	21:21	72:21	
minute	121:15,17	31:17,19	76:20,21	nationwide
15:3 54:4	123:9,10	36:5,7	82:3,5	156:25
71:18	124:21	71:16	89:8	natural
153:24	125:13,	76:22	93:25	81:5
minutes	14,15	77:8	114:7	101:25
22:3,5,15	129:3	81:20	116:17	
32:22	154:10	106:21	110.17	nature
33:25	157:8	131:10	MPART	62:3
34:4 35:1		morning	22:8 35:7	94:6,7
36:12,13	159:22	7:20	37:8	98:22
147:21	monies	7.20	multiple	99:12
	44:14	mounting	multiple	100:19
156:9	45:16	56:12	87:25	102:14
missing			88:15	118:4
50:3,9	monitor	mouse	148:25	143:12
,	74:4	65:19	149:1	144:8
Mission	92:12	move	157:18,25	
111:9,14	140:23	11:15	mumbo	navigate
112:7	146:4	31:16	160:18	56:19
mitigation	monitoring	43:14	100.10	nearby
141:8,13	19:5,9,20	45:22,23	municipal	32:10
		71:11	56:19	32.10
mixed	54:21	73:4	132:1	necessarily
101:12	58:1		W.m.g.am	13:11
mixing	62:15,16,	75:12,15	Munson	29:11
54:10	17,18	81:24	5:22,23	68:17
54.10	69:13,15	85:19,21,	43:16	82:2
mod-	71:18,20	22 87:19,	44:18,21	143:3,13
28:13	73:10	22 88:13	45:1,4,10	154:12
	74:4,14	89:6,11	97:14,18	
model	75:4	117:20	139:15,23	
72:3	92:9,12	128:22	140:4,25	25:15
115:6	101:9	132:14	159:8	44:3
modify	102:22	136:22		45:13
28:13	113:23	146:24		124:1
	154:22	159:23	N	151:14
monetaries		<i>3</i>		156:12
42:3	month	moved	names	negative
money	31:3 39:3	12:16	107:3	
	43:22	44:1,19,		97:17
14:4	51:23	21 76:21,	naphthalene	negatively
14:4 27:24 25		24 83:23	135:3	125:8
27:24,25	62:6			
27:24,25 28:4,10,	62:6 131:10	85:6	narrow	
27:24,25 28:4,10, 13 29:4	131:10	85:6		negotiate
27:24,25 28:4,10,			narrow 37:19	



negotiated	non-	number	134:19	ongoing
34:11	wurtsmith	14:8 16:8	138:6	31:1
106:11	26:6	46:25		52:24
		50:24	occur	60:1
neighbor	nonetheless	60:13	116:19	71:13
55:20	158:1	67:20	occurred	139:13
neighbors	north	81:2	105:7	
55:13	63:17	89:19	149:6	online
56:3,21,	65:2	92:11		36:17,19
25	93:20	106:14	occurring	162:10
	94:4	123:12	19:1 48:9	onsite
networked	104:20	143:25	occurs	66:10
57:2	154:23	150:15	31:18	99:25
newer		153:11	116:19	
21:5	northeast	156:3,5,		oops
_	135:19	8,10	October	59:23
news	northwest	0,10	30:20	op-
43:17	135:15	numbers	75:1	45:7
nice	133 13	13:25	office	13 /
22:25	note	50:24	20:3	open
150:18	20:1 92:6	51:5	53:11	12:23
	noted	62:23	57:8	51:14
nicely	93:23	63:2	135:10	59:24
25:7		64:10	156:17	105:3
night	notes	157:4,6	161:22,	132:7
162:23	21:8		23,25	135:9
	107:5	numerous	23,23	139:7
nobody's	notice	27:23	officer	155:17
22:24	11:18	nuts	57:2	159:11
nodding	46:12,13	12:24	offices	162:12
107:18	40.12,13		46:9	
107.10	notify		40.9	operate
non-	140:24	0	official	110:18
compliance	November		147:24	operated
46:11	12:11,16,	O&m	officials	55 : 4
non-detect		9:22	7:16	
38:14	18 18:25		7.10	operates
20.14	22:3,5	objectives	offsite	9:23
non-	133:10	48:2,12	71:4	operating
equipment	November's	obvious	01 dam	55:5
47:9	9:24	104:25	Older	141:11
non-npl	MDT		132:8	
47:23	NPL	occasions	one's	operation
71.43	47:23	148:11	57:19	43:21,23
non-rain	147:24	occupants	127:22	47:11
66:24	148:1	136:13	ama	64:16
	nuance		one-year	66:18
	88:11	occupied	90:23,24	75:25



RAB MEETING			Index:	operationspaste	;
145:20	Oscoda	86:11	pad	39:4	Ì
anamatiana	5:17	87:17	91:3	40:10	
operations	7:19,20	88:18			
43:25	8:9 38:2		pages	participate	
45:7	41:7	overlooked	86:19	9:5 42:3,	
136:13	43:16	32:14	panned	6	
159:11	55:19	oversight	51:10	participate	
opinion	56:15	33:25	panning	d	
11:18			42:23	18:25	
24:1,19	OSHA	overspendin	42.23	35:6	
83:3	141:25	g 45.17	paper	162:17	
85:12	142:9	45:17	103:5		
129:7	osmosis	overview	parcel	participati	
155:6	131:6	10:9	44:9	ng	
		OWAA	44.3	41:7 42:5	
opinions	out-brief	5:22	pardon	parties	
35:17	19:12	5.44	8:5	10:18	
37:5	outcome	owned	park		
opportuniti	125:21	8:8 15:9	153:4,5	partner	
es		145:16	155:4,5	55:13	
101:21	outdoor	ov.mon	155.4	parts	
	137:11,	owner 17:18	part	40:3,4,5,	
opportunity	15,20,21	17.10	24:5,8	6 126:17,	
37:25	138:18	owner's	27:21	25 127:2	
50:4	outfall	15:11	30:4		
148:10	51:20	owns	33:24	party 157:20	
opposed	52:3	60:20	35:23	157.20	
108:3		00.20	52:4 58:4	passion	
	outfalls	oxbows	72:11	56:6	
optics	111:1	101:13	83:18	passive	
32:5	outlined		88:24	50:5	
option	134:5	P	103:25	139:2	
102:2	137:17	P	114:15	143:22,24	
order	outlook		115:19	143:22,24	
20:10	74:12	p.m.	121:15	144.10	
20.10	75:10	8:19 18:9	127:6,9	passively	
organic		58:25	133:11,17	144:4	
145:19	90:16,24	59:22	134:9	past	
149:17	output	61:13	136:10,23	17:13	
originally	49:19	133:5	137:1	38:1	
23:24	outstanding	146:25	138:12,19	54:6,15	
27:12	71:8	155:22	147:2,4	57:9	
74:1		package	nambic11	96:10	
76:22	overdue	22:17	partially	103:12	
84:4	46:9		83:2	128:21	
149:6	overlap	packets	participant	170.71	
152:16	82:6	62:22	s	paste	
127.10	02-0				



AD WILLTING				idex. patiipiece
29:2	22,25	149:25	permit	153:7
path	111:5,13,	153:4	10:18	156:24
25:25	16,24	159:3,6,	person	PFAS's
23.23	112:4,13,	10	7:17 10:6	
Paula	21,23	160:10,17	140:8	
10:21	113:2,9,	people's	147:25	PFAS-
16:3,5	20	40:21	162:9	RELATED
31:1 52:6	114:10,	40.21	102.9	47:13
61:12,14,	16,19,23	perceive	personal	PFBS
15 68:12,	115:4,13	11:11	125:10	40:5
24 69:2,	116:2,10	percent	personally	
23,25	117:9,13,	38:14,15,		PFHXA
70:7	16 118:6	16 50:23	52:20	40:6
73:19,22	119:5,9,	62:3,20	83:8	PFHXS
74:11	20 123:5	78:15		40:4
76:6,9,	129:20,22	125:24	perspective	10 1
12,15,25	130:21	126:4,14,	75:11	PFNA
77:8	132:3,12	15 127:16	152:20	40:3
78:17,22,	148:18,23		Peters'	PFOA
25 81:5,	149:11	129:1,7,8	53:10	40:2
15 82:13	150:5,21,	152:18	57:8	
84:12,18,	25 152:12	percentage	156:17	PFOS
22 85:5,		50:1 55:6	160:3	40:3
15,17	pay	perfect	100.3	phase
86:5,8,14	158:6	100:6	PFAS	62:20
87:7,21	peaks	100.0	7:12	143:22
89:1,16	84:14	perfectly	10:23	
91:22		55:5	26:12	phases
92:3,11,	penned 156:23	performance	28:7	146:14
19,24	150:23	9:25 10:3	41:21	philosophy
93:6,8,	people	19:5	44:23	125:23
11,14,19	13:9 17:2	47:15	50:4,23	Dh
95:4,7,	24:18		54:5,25	Phoenix
10,15,22	32:8,10	period	56:16	145:14
96:4,14,	41:6,22	46:24	92:10,15	phone
24 97:4,	42:5 47:3	82:15	98:5	21:12
9,21,24	53:20,21	90:7	101:17	121:22
	F6.6	124:6	103:20	. 1
98:3,13,	56:6			
98:3,13, 15,18	58:22	periods	107:12	phones
		periods 91:10		pnones 45:24
15,18	58:22	91:10	107:12	
15,18 100:23,25	58:22 90:5	91:10 116:12	107:12 110:11	45:24
15,18 100:23,25 101:1	58:22 90:5 119:12	91:10 116:12 permanent	107:12 110:11 111:22	45:24 pick 28:5 67:2
15,18 100:23,25 101:1 105:16 107:7,18	58:22 90:5 119:12 120:3	91:10 116:12 permanent 69:15	107:12 110:11 111:22 112:2,10,	45:24 pick
15,18 100:23,25 101:1 105:16 107:7,18 108:5,10,	58:22 90:5 119:12 120:3 121:5,22,	91:10 116:12 permanent	107:12 110:11 111:22 112:2,10, 12,23	45:24 pick 28:5 67:2 picture 134:21
15,18 100:23,25 101:1 105:16 107:7,18	58:22 90:5 119:12 120:3 121:5,22, 23 124:25	91:10 116:12 permanent 69:15	107:12 110:11 111:22 112:2,10, 12,23 147:13	45:24 pick



RAB MEETING				piezometerpond
piezometer	pipe	50:13	plans	plumes
84:25	7:25	51:25	19:6	63:24
114:12	66:12,15	52:1	21:24	70:16
piezometers	67:4,6,15	53:15	106:15	74:10
23:22	Pipe's	73:9	111:11	145:19
28:6	66:13	74:19,21,	130:10	point
67:21	00.13	25 75:15,	plant	7:23,24
69:6 71:6	pipes	20 77:25	9:18	8:2,7,17
84:24	9:17	82:10,11	13:25	11:7 28:5
92:9,13,	13:24	83:10,23	63:19	36:6
15,21,25	49:17,20	84:4,9	64:15	51:11
93:3	50:25	87:18	102:2	79:16
113:5	51:19	98:11	111:10,14	
114:2,9,	54:7,21	100:16,18	112:8	106:10
10 115:20	66:16	101:22	113:3	109:12
	75:2,4,9	106:18	148:20	111:3
117:23	102:21	124:8		111:3
piggyback	111:2	130:17,20	159:20	
34:24	159:20	134:5	plants	122:21
pilot	place	137:17	54:8 58:2	128:25
8:11	47:7 48:1	148:15	65:13	130:19
49:17	53:18	152:24	111:23	140:14
50:8,16,	54:5,24	154:4,11	plea	148:15
18 51:22	64:18		121:11	pointed
75:3,5	110:6	plan's	121.11	125:15
1	149:21	106:2	plugged	157:17
89:19 90:7,15	149.21	plane	8:7,8	
90.7,15	places	135:24	plume	<pre>pointing 103:4</pre>
pin	53:22	planned	74:3,8	116:16
19:14	119:22	27:12	78:9,11	110.10
134:14	157:7	28:2	100:20	points
135:14,15	Plain	138:3	102:2	28:5
138:7	24:24	147:6	119:1	43:18
143:3		147.0	120:15	policy
Pine	plan	planning	122:7	17:24
81:1	11:10,12	11:2 12:8	126:8,14,	47:24
	19:1,22	20:17	15,17	156:24
pinpoint	23:6,24	21:20	127:1,6,9	
17:8	24:21,22,	29:16	130:1,3,	political
pins	23 26:16	46:22	7,12	132:6
134:1,2	27:2,5,8	62:5	149:22	polling
135:2,12,	28:17,19,	73:12	152:16	90:13
14,17	22,24	75:17	153:3	
136:2,7,	29:7,25	77:4 84:3	154:1,13,	polluted
15 137:3	31:2	138:15	20 156:21	149:22
138:17	38:18	139:1	161:24	pond
	48:20		-V	64:23



CE:2	1 /			ex. portusproje
65:2	14	present	107:9	problem
108:8	poster	5:16 6:7,	125:7,18	79:24
149:13,	53:7	12,20	135:13	121:8,9
16,18	149:13	7:1,6	145:16	153:23
150:11	153:17	17:13	155:6	proceeding
ponds		109:16	158:21	72:20
64:22	posters	presentatio	160:7	
66:4	11:16	n	preventing	process
	67:1,2,5	9:24	48:8	9:1,14
population	74:9	10:4,7,9,		27:21
32:8	101:5	11 14:24	previous	29:22
portion	posting	21:18	77:2	33:9
66:21	37:5	34:5	144:19	46:22
74:8	37.3		previously	48:15
81:18,25	potential	50:11,13	154:1,19	50:2,13
·	15:15	52:7	154.1,19	59:12
83:5	16:22	61:10	primarily	77:15
84:16	68:3	105:18	31:18	89:11
97:13	102:2	132:14,	134:8,11	125:13
136:6	103:1	16,18,20	135:19	126:2
portray	124:16	149:14		
74:9		presentatio	prior	produce
	potentially	ns	31:18	15:18
pose	17:23	13:5 53:5	38:20	34:8
149:24	77:7	61:5,8	59:4	produced
positive	precise	01.370	127:14	51:4
43:17	142:5	presented	146:14	
160:16		19:3 54:3	prioritize	produces
	preclude	74:1	33:11	52:17
possibility	124:3	133:9		products
128:2	preference	146:13	prioritized	90:17,20
157:24	141:8	presenters	124:12	101:19
possibly		61:11	priority	101 19
88:25	preliminary	01.11	46:10	program
89:8	78:9	presenting		24:5
103:19	130:5	133:15	Privacy	progress
124:20	137:25	proddire	15:7	19:8 56:3
	138:2	pressure 113:21	private	57:23
post	prepare	113.71	15:22	138:22
35:19	136:20	presume	16:2,9,12	162:13
145:4	138:13	8:13	17:14	±0∠•±3
	100.10	53:13	70:9	progressing
post-	-		118:2	81:8
post-	prepared	~~~++		
treatment	prepared 61:20	pretty		project
		20:24	131:2	project
treatment	61:20 137:17	20:24 83:17		27:5
treatment 112:15	61:20	20:24	131:2	



RAB MEETING			Index: p	rojectedquarterly
86:4 89:8	152:23	53:9	132:5	127:3
106:9,14	159:19	54:19	134:9,12	137:18
133:8,9		55:18	144:7	151:3
134:4,6	proposing	73:9		154:22
135:4,20	128:6	74:25	pursuing	155:4,21
136:16	protect	77:23,24	51:10	158:23
139:5	124:20	78:1	141:13	100 10
		90:6,17	push	puts
projected	protecting	91:8,9,11	81:22	35:3
81:17	81:4	99:11	88:4,13	putting
projects	127:18	100:7		19:9,10
57:23	protection	106:3	pushed	55:24
	120:7	108:3	28:9	70:20
promised	124:23	120:9	49:22	112:6
89:11		139:11	76:16,18	120:16
properly	protective	146:24	77:6,21	158:23,24
124:2	140:10		78:1	160:8
	prove	155:17,	pushing	100.0
properties	157:19	19,22,24	57:10	
70:11		156:1,13	37.10	Q
84:23	proved	160:23	put	
118:9	123:15	publish	10:12	QAPP
property	provide	36:22	12:4	27:4
16:3	9:5 25:13		25:19	
17:18	43:4 90:9	published	31:8	29:2,7,
62:9	106:16	80:7	35:15,18	12,14
70:10	160:5	pull	47:6,11	32:2 36:8
70:10		72:2	48:1 49:3	82:23
124:24	provided		58:1	88:23
125:1	9:23	pump	62:23	91:24
123.1	11:24	19:4,20	68:9	94:9
proposal	19:22,25	67:7	69:22	138:23
86:3	25:8 59:5	110:17	74:4	145:3,8
propose	providing	pumping	77:17	quality
propose 59:21	19:19	120:15	90:11	19:21
132:14	72:13		101:8	21:23
132.14	80:12	pumps	102:1,18,	27:5
proposed	00112	54:21	22 103:5	137:11
18:14,17	proximity	Puneet	104:11	
25:9	137:13	16:23	106:12	quarter
49:17	public		112:6	19:2,15,
50:19	6:3 7:14	purpose	113:9,10	16 24:6
73:9	12:23	42:10,13	114:11	32:9
74:19,25	15:6	43:3	116:15	75:14,19
75:15	36:25	113:19	117:3	137:5
77:25		114:21	118:21	138:15
126:9	37:7,8	purposes	125:14	quarterly
141:14	47:4,19	100:19	147.14	8:10
				<u> </u>



NAD WILLTING			IIIC	iek. questionieau
133:12,	139:10	11	57:6	raising
17,20	143:2,21	155:17,19	58:12,24,	131:17
134:15	144:13	159:5	25 59:3,	
136:19,	148:23	ann à aile	4,7,16,	ran 119:10
23,25	149:12	quick 45:22	20,24	119.10
138:14,18	150:6	73:5	60:3	range
147:20,25	156:18		61:3,9,18	151:3,6
148:2,3	157:14	132:25 133:14,18	66:9	152:4
question	159:5	138:21	72:8,17	rarely
14:11	questioning	139:5	73:6,9	90:6
16:16	95:3	139.5	74:13	
17:12	131:14	quicker	75:13	ratio
21:25	131.14	128:20	76:14	143:3
22:12	questionnai	quickly	79:20	rationale
23:2 25:6	re	7:9 73:6	89:17	27:9
26:25	16:1,2	128:23	104:15	
	48:25	141:9	121:11	rationalize
30:15 32:20	131:21,23	147:21	123:10,13	56:2
32.20	questions	155:23	132:23	RAUSER
	7:13	133.23	133:10	6:7 7:6
37:21 39:8 40:9	13:10,17	quote	139:11	58:10
43:6	21:15,18	7:25	145:17	155:20,25
44:17	22:3		146:14,	160:24
45:3 46:6	23:13	R	23,25	162:4
51:18	33:5		152:9	2223
68:7	36:11		155:2,6,	RDRA
78:18	41:14,17	RAB	17,19	98:14
79:15	49:1	6:9 7:10,	156:11	re-rise
82:9,23	52:13,21	11,13	158:10	68:1
83:1	59:11	8:19,20	160:20	re-sample
84:11	61:10	9:1,9,24	161:2	138:16
86:9	76:5	12:8	racoons	
87:22	81:17	13:1,11	65:24	re-sampled
89:10	91:24	14:10		74:6
92:7	97:22	18:9,10	rain	137:3
93:19	100:9	25:6 28:1	66:19,20	reach
96:15	108:1	29:20	75:6	13:5
98:8,16	120:24	32:20	rainbow	141:12
100:11	122:10	33:5	81:6	148:13
101:22	123:4	35:10,12,		
104:15	139:7,9	20,23	raise	reaction
112:1,19	140:22	37:7,18,	156:4	33:4
123:24	146:22,	20 38:5	raised	read
126:20	23,25	39:11	113:24	8:5 21:8
129:21	148:18	45:23	131:3	63:10
132:3	152:9,10,	49:18	155:20	86:18
152.5	/ /	52:18,19		



RAB MEETING			illuex. read	dingsremediation
131:22	158:1	record	133:14,20	relevant
156:2	receive	25:10	refute	20:8
maadimaa	41:13,17	35:13,18	109:17	41:22
readings	•	36:23,25		
143:3,4	42:4	37:6,18	115:2	relying
ready	60:19	48:1 49:9	regard	95:25
58:12,15	137:21	73:7	159:18	remaining
76:6	received	75:22	regime	38:5
88:5,12	15:25	77:17	103:23	remarks
132:22	44:6 73:9	145:24	103.23	162:7
158:10	77:25		region	102.7
161:2	138:7,9	recorded	147:25	remedial
-		25:19	magi ana l	10:23
real	receiving	recording	regional 46:9 64:9	18:15,17
23:2	21:10	113:13		27:3 29:7
45:22	41:8		118:3	30:5
49:23	71:23	recovery	regions	47:10,15
73:5,6	recent	55:23	46:9	48:2
100:12	18:2 21:5	recruitment		74:21
132:25	69:21	39:1	regular	82:10,11
reality			19:6	98:9,10,
88:22	recently	red	regulated	20 107:11
	18:24	64:11,14,	141:21	124:2
realization	19:15	17 93:18	- .	125:25
124:3	20:11	94:11,13,	regulators	127:15,21
realize	71:7	15,21	15:5	133:9
57:24	133:16	96:18	reiterate	138:25
123:24	151:12	104:16,24	156:18,22	146:15
123.24	recess	red/green	161:22	
rearing	61:6	64:4		152:18
81:4	01.0	04.4	related	remediate
reason	recognize	reds	26:12,13	126:19
22:16	88:17	96:16	33:14	127:4
34:21	recognizing	refer	53:18	150:1
50:16	102:25	102:20	122:1	
77:9	102.25	102.20	relative	remediated
	recommendat	referring	83:18	125:25
144:12	ion	24:3 34:6	03:10	126:16
145:12	85:20,22	147:11	release	127:10,17
reasons			79:9	129:2
112:6	recommendat	refine	105:7	152:19
124:17	ions	144:10	149:6	153:2,10
	9:20	reflected	released	remediation
	recommended	31:21	78:21,24	49:23
			10.77,74	
56:13	85:23	<i></i>		55:16
56:13		refresh	releases	55:16
reassurance 56:13 recalcitran	85:23 reconsider 158:25	refresh 30:19	releases	55:16 99:7 107:11



RAB MEETING			ilidex.	remediesresul
156:25	157:15	13:24	residents	responsible
157:5	160:4	61:8	38:23,25	157:20
remedies	report	125:11	119:3	responsiven
11:15	7:24 8:10	requested	121:24	ess
48:1	19:21	50:14	131:18	73:8
53:17	31:6,7,8,	123:10	resiliency	77:23,24
56:23	20 46:8		55:24	
87:10	47:13	requesting		rest
159:19	48:19	86:3	resolution	12:8
	62:2	requests	25:17,25	21:19
remedy	72:11,22	14:1	resolve	74:15
18:14	74:16		8:6 26:1,	92:1
47:6,8	75:14	require	5	restarted
48:3,4,8	78:20	128:25		43:20
54:18	81:20	required	resolved 8:5 25:16	restriction
75:16	82:2,5	47:23		
129:8	83:7	101:4,10	47:1	s
remember	85:13,18	118:20	83:2,4,	48:7
20:9 94:8	86:12,15	126:4	12,14	result
145:17	88:20	127:3,16	84:2	11:25
151:23	122:3	128:1	resources	28:1
156:10	134:5	129:1,9	10:5 43:4	151:8
	136:20	140:21	89:14	results
reminder	138:14	152:18	respect	8:2 12:3
134:17	156:25	requirement	98:10	15:10,19
138:4		s	112:19	38:11,12,
reminding	report's	10:17	112.19	22 41:8,
158:16	32:22,25	20:8	respond	14,17,24
removal	reported	31:24	25:14	42:15,17
47:10	119:14	45:14,15	42:16	74:6
47.10			responded	79:4,5,6
remove	reporter	requires	16:6	81:7
110:11	156:8	87:5	42:19	100:4
150:2	reports	reschedule		103:7
repair	15:14	10:8	responds	133:15
45:11	19:20		113:24	134:3,10,
135:24	33:23	research	response	14,16
	106:15	51:23	40:21	135:7,22
repairs	145:23	residential	137:24	136:17,21
44:4,5	representat	15:10		137:4,19,
repeat	ive	16:20	responses	23 138:2
161:14	65:9	39:15	16:8 17:8	140:8
	131:21	68:10	61:23	143:16
	T 2 T • 7 T		70:19	
repeatable	161.7 21	/U・14,13	00.0	
repeatable 21:7	161:7,21	70:12,13 92:17	83:9	144:19 151:23
	161:7,21 request 9:16	92:17	83:9 121:22	151:23



RAB MEETING				x. resurracedsa
resurfaced	revolve	147:2	ROD	155:13,14
45:12	85:24	153:22	74:22	rumor
return	Rex	RI's	75:24	73:24
61:4	6:25 7:1	23:25	77:24	
152:13	53:25		86:12	run
	54:1	rights	87:19	30:17,18
returned	139:10,21	124:25	98:9	63:17
143:16	140:2,5,	rinse	role	77:18
reusing	10,15,19,	56:10	60:24	118:15
151:2	22 143:15	risk	147:24	121:15
reverse	D.T.	19:24		rundown
131:6	RI	51:24	rolling	41:1
131.0	7:12,13	72:12,13,	74:15	running
review	10:22	18,19,24	room	54:22
7:9	20:14		56:6	
20:13,19	23:17,18,	79:18,25	131:7	75:21
21:22,23	25 24:1,	80:1,7,10	156:7,13	runoff
35:5 37:1	18,19	95:15	157:11	105:1
46:8,21,	31:1,6	99:23,24,		
22 47:1,	49:22,25	25 134:11	roughly	runup
4,12,15,	51:4	139:12,14	114:17	43:19,20
25 59:9	59:11	149:24	149:16	runway
61:24	61:13,17	150:8,12,	round	45:12
77:18	62:1,24	14	38:10,19	63:19
81:17	63:6,13	risks		93:18,24
86:22,23,	72:11,22	72:15	route	94:16,19
24 87:1	73:11		141:13	95:11
89:3,15	74:13,16	river	routinely	104:17,
91:9	75:14	51:20	138:6	19,21
155:23	78:20	53:22,23		
	81:18	54:12	rover	rush
reviewed	82:2,5,23	62:9	66:22,25	137:18
8:11	83:19	64:22	row	
19:5,24	85:18,25	65:17,18	145:13	s
87:15	86:11,15	66:5	**Otto	
88:24	87:6,23,	69:18	rows 33:22	S-P-A-N-I-
reviewing	25 88:8,	71:18	33.22	
19:14,18	20 92:1	81:1	RPM	O-L-A
27:1 73:7	103:13	101:13	148:1	158:15
	109:15	110:13,14	RRD	Sable
reviews	121:18	road	20:2	5:19
33:19,20	122:3	24:24	∠∪•∠	54:12
revised	124:15	118:10,16	RSL	64:22
19:24	130:5		63:24	125:6
	133:5,18	Robert	rule	a.f.
		157:12,13	T UTC	safe
revisit 11:8	134:9	158:7	149:8	54:22



RAB MEETING				index: sakeseek
104:24	62:23,25	71:20	75:17	145:1
162:21	63:1,4,8,	72:6	76:10	aanoonina
a a la a	21 64:20,	74:14	77:1 78:2	screening
sake	21,25	79:6	82:16	39:21 63:25
61:9	65:2,11	80:21,25	86:10,13,	
salvage	66:1,3,5,	85:5	15,25	64:5,8,9
43:25	9,17	92:12,14	89:2,19	92:25
sample	67:9,11	100:18	90:18,23	134:10 142:9
16:13,23	71:24	103:23	91:3 92:3	142:9
17:9	79:17	110:20	103:24	144.0
27:21	84:13	115:11	104:11	screens
38:22	93:15,17,	129:25	106:6,11	68:12,19,
65:3,4,7	18 94:21	133:12,	122:22	22,24
80:21	96:20,23,	17,20,21	133:19	sealing
94:2	25 97:23	134:15	schedule-	143:11
95:12	98:11	136:10,	wise	143.11
100:21	102:23	18,19,24,	wise 138:15	season
107:10	108:2	25 138:7,	130.13	12:17,19
108:4,5	109:11	13,14,18,	scheduled	30:16,17
136:14	112:15,16	19 139:3	30:17	31:18
137:7	137:6,8,	143:22	scheduling	seasonal
	9,11,15,	144:10	39:3 73:3	38:22,24
sampled	20 143:16	146:16		67:25
29:24	149:1,23	149:13	Schulz	117:2
38:13	150:7,9,	151:5,23	6:21,22	121:24
39:12,13	19 151:8	152:14	49:13,15	
66:13	sampling	153:21	50:18	seasonality
67:7	11:2,4,5	San	51:2,8,	38:21
70:17,21,	14:12,13	9:3	12,14,17	secondary
23,24	15:11,15,		sco-	101:23
92:10,14	19,23	sanitary	98:25	section
96:19	16:20	63:2		31:6
97:17	17:14	67:7,13,	scope	31.0
107:9	18:1	18	28:21	sediment
112:4,5	21:4,6,14	112:20,	73:25	15:23
119:16	23:19	24,25	Scott	62:25
133:25	28:1,8	satisfied	6:19,20	64:20
135:2,12	29:11	24:20	46:4	66:6
145:1	30:4		104:13,14	101:15,23
151:11,	38:10,19	scale	105:9	109:8
13,15,17,	52:1	94:25	screen	149:13,19
22	62:16	scenario	19:11	150:2,9
		51:8	68:9	sediments
samplers	63:5.12	0 - 0	UU • 7	
samplers 143:25	63:5,12, 16 65:11.			149:17.24
143:25	16 65:11,	schedule	screened	149:17,24
				149:17,24 seek 15:15



seep	116:17	shape	shoulders	24:2,4,17
62:25		78:11	157:21	25:1
64:20,25	serve		-1 1	27:16
65:1,5,7,	100:19	share	shovel	28:6 30:3
9,11	Service	15:5,8,	98:24	32:1 57:8
108:2,3,	6:6	10,12,13,	99:16	65:2
5,13	57:22,25	17,20	shovel-	67:21,22
	62:8,9	17:22	ready	68:8,14
seeps	100:15,21	34:9,15,	44:12	69:9 71:3
67:3	102:7	22 35:7	ah a	83:5,24
senator	<u>-</u>	36:19	show	84:21,24
53:10	session	37:13,14	11:19	85:10
57:8	9:21	38:9,12	13:7	93:3,4
156:17	10:1,5,8	40:15	15:23	103:21,22
157:2	53:6 54:2	43:22	60:22	104:20
160:3	108:7	70:1 91:8	64:4 96:7	108:17
	sessions	148:2,10	103:2	115:1,9
senators	13:2	shared	106:12	116:7
156:23		17:14	158:2	118:9
send	set	33:23	showed	119:3
20:6	17:6	35:2	154:20	122:10
36:23	31:17	48:24	162:17	123:7
88:8	setting	138:10	, .	124:4,21,
	70:23	139:19	showing	25 125:9,
sense			116:5	16 131:1
40:21	sewer	sharing	157:17	134:20,
42:15	7:22,23, 25 8:7	11:25	shown	21,23
64:13		15:4,22	67:2,5	135:1
99:20	44:9 66:8	72:8	133:23	157:16,17
100:6	67:7,18	she'll		159:2
127:18	110:20,21	14:25	shows	139.2
129:7	111:17,19		64:3	sides
152:3	112:24	shed	79:4,6	69:6,8
158:22	113:1	131:14	82:14,15	113:6
separate	sewers	shop	152:24	sign
23:5,6	63:2	135:25	sic	88:19
30:2	67:13	145:16	6:2 42:3	00.19
59:17	111:22			signage
		shore	sick	48:7
September	shaking	103:21	10:7	53:13,14,
106:23	126:5,6	shoreline	side	16,18,20,
septic	162:4	103:22	10:25	21,23
23:20	shallow	149:15	11:3	signature
	68:14,19		14:12	23:20
series	149:5	short	21:1	28:8
41:14	aballa	49:24	23:3,6,	20.0
97:21	shallower	51:4 90:7	11,14	signed
107:25	117:19	110:9		



RAB MEETING			index.	significantsource
71:12	101:12	22:14,15,	sludge	93:15
75:24	115:6	16 33:15	64:14	96:9
77:19	124:10	59:1,2,22	93:21,22,	97:23,25
	133:24,25	60:5		98:5,11,
significant	134:7	61:18	19 95:12,	12,21,22,
110:6	135:4,17	62:21,22	23,24	25 99:2,
158:21	136:3	63:9	96:10,17	6,7,13,25
silly	147:24	64:2,9,19	97:1	101:8
105:14	148:1	65:11	105:7,10	103:15
		66:7	148:19	107:11
similar	sites	67:6,18		108:3
38:19	9:2,19	69:2 70:2	small	120:16
67:13	11:15	71:12	55:5	139:2
69:4	36:22	73:2,17	65:18,20,	143:22,24
simple	47:23,24	74:11	25 66:2	144:10,
24:24	144:9	75:9 77:1	124:6	13,15
127:21	145:23	82:10,11	134:17	146:15,18
	situation	91:13	138:4	
simply	140:24	92:6	151:2,6	solid
121:19	160:21	93:9,11	152:4	106:9
simultaneou		110:19	154:1	solidified
sly	six-month	113:4	smaller	84:5
30:1,6	87:18	118:1,7	130:1	
ainalo	sixth	133:19	135:10	solutions
single 50:25	25:3	134:1,12,	136:1	101:25
50.25		13,15		sooner
sir	size	135:5,6,	snakes	74:23
43:15	130:7	21,22	58:3	141:8
104:14	134:18	136:8,24	snapshot	
157:11	sizeable	137:14	139:5	soonest
sit	83:17	138:11,		79:9
20:15	sizes	20,21	so-called	sorbent
24:17,18	67:15	139:4	30:4	144:2,4
28:22	07.13		soak	sort
33:10	slab	slides	130:15	14:18
129:4	134:23	11:25	soil	48:6
	slabs	34:5 73:5	8:1 11:2	123:4
site	143:7	76:3	15:23	125:19
9:3 22:8		134:13	21:14	141:13
35:3,10,	slate	136:22	28:8	T1T.T3
11,20	43:23	slippage	62:25	sorts
37:7,8,18	slide	87:17	63:7,8	14:1
45:21	8:23,24		64:3,10	sounded
46:10	10:20	slowed	65:14	131:23
47:7 59:7	12:9,21	62:6	66:2	
72:3	15:3,24	slower	79:3,17	source
80:23	18:5	161:17	88:3	94:15
			00.5	



February 21, 2024 Index: south..stated

specialists 57:25 species 58:3 specific 10:11 27:15 71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17 136:3	<pre>spigot 131:6 spills 159:13 spoon 98:24 99:1,17, 22 100:2 Sportsmens 151:2</pre>	SS072 19:24 SSPR 44:6 Staff 160:3,4 Stage 29:22 54:18	139:2 started 19:2 46:22 59:17 74:17 89:21 90:25 starting
species 58:3 specific 10:11 27:15 71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17	<pre>spills 159:13 spoon 98:24 99:1,17, 22 100:2 Sportsmens</pre>	<pre>sspr 44:6 staff 160:3,4 stage 29:22 54:18</pre>	19:2 46:22 59:17 74:17 89:21 90:25
58:3 specific 10:11 27:15 71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17	159:13 spoon 98:24 99:1,17, 22 100:2 Sportsmens	44:6 staff 160:3,4 stage 29:22 54:18	19:2 46:22 59:17 74:17 89:21 90:25
58:3 specific 10:11 27:15 71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17	159:13 spoon 98:24 99:1,17, 22 100:2 Sportsmens	44:6 staff 160:3,4 stage 29:22 54:18	46:22 59:17 74:17 89:21 90:25
specific 10:11 27:15 71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17	<pre>spoon 98:24 99:1,17, 22 100:2 Sportsmens</pre>	<pre>staff 160:3,4 stage 29:22 54:18</pre>	59:17 74:17 89:21 90:25
10:11 27:15 71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17	98:24 99:1,17, 22 100:2 Sportsmens	160:3,4 stage 29:22 54:18	74:17 89:21 90:25
27:15 71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17	99:1,17, 22 100:2 Sportsmens	stage 29:22 54:18	89:21 90:25
71:1 132:10 134:7 135:5,17	22 100:2 Sportsmens	29:22 54:18	90:25
132:10 134:7 135:5,17	Sportsmens	29:22 54:18	
134:7 135:5,17		54:18	starting
135:5,17			_
	151.7	106.1	48:18
136:3		126:1	66:14
	spray	127:15,22	75:21
	97:1	stages	147:15
specificall	annarrad	20:25	-11
		74:22	starts
	93.44		24:11
59:8,18	spread		46:23
specificati	96:10,17		state
ons	annoodina	138:10	6:3 15:5
47:17		standard	16:20,23
		98:20	17:1,2
		126:24	18:12
_		142:8	33:3,20
14:6			34:10
speed			35:2,3
32:25	148:20		36:1
	spring		41:23
	56:9		45:18
	75:22	153:7	48:25
156:/	Conings	start	52:22
spelled		12:18	55:18
15:16	108.12,16	24:13	70:18
29:11	square	28:7 38:7	80:21
	81:2	49:5 57:9	103:23
	134:18	58:14	119:15
	135:7	73:15	130:15
129:2	136:11	75:24	142:17
spending	aguaroa	76:4,23	153:4
129:3		77:4	155:4
anont	03.13	82:3,5,7	156:7
	SRD	88:14,16,	
	10:11,15,	20 90:25	State's
	16 20:4	91:2,12	35:11
	g p ng		141:7
			stated
149:15	⊥U•⊅,⊥∠		105:18
			T00-T0
	40:13 59:8,18 specifications 47:17 58:2 specifics 14:6 speed 32:25 spell 29:17 156:7 spelled 15:16 29:11 spend 50:19 129:2 spending	y sprayed 40:13 93:22 59:8,18 spread specificati 96:10,17 ons spreading 47:17 64:14 58:2 93:21,24 94:14,19 14:6 95:12,24 105:7,10 148:20 speed 148:20 spell 56:9 75:22 spelled 59:11 square 15:16 29:11 square 81:2 134:18 135:7 136:11 50:7 123:9 SRDS	y sprayed 20:25 40:13 93:22 74:22 59:8,18 spread stakeholder specificati 96:10,17 138:10 spreading 47:17 64:14 standard 58:2 93:21,24 98:20 126:24 specifics 94:14,19 142:8 142:8 specifics 95:12,24 142:8 142:8 speed 105:7,10 standards 54:9 57:24 speed 105:7,10 standards 57:24 146:7 153:



RAB MEETING			index.	statementStreet
statement	138:12	52:6,17	25 109:3,	52:9
127:13	158:21	53:17	7,12,15,	Stock
158:6	160:13	59:1,3	21,23,25	6:23 7:6
station	Steve	60:6,7,	110:3,7,	58:8,13,
56:10	5:16 8:3,	12,15,18	9,17	16,19,21
69:15,16	13,15,23,	62:4	115:18	10,19,21
09.13,10	25 10:14,	70:1,4,7,	116:15,24	stole
stations	16 13:1,	18 72:15	117:3,6,	14:18
67:8	16,19,21	74:2	10 119:8	stop
69:13,17	14:3,7,	76:16	120:2,5,	129:8
status	14.18,22,	77:3,6,	8,11,19,	159:4
46:15	24 15:2	10,15,21	21 121:1,	
10.13	16:7,18	78:8,14,	4,7,17,21	stopped
statute	17:4,11,	25 79:1,	122:5,15,	93:25
128:18		8,11,14,	17,20	94:18
stay	12,17,20 18:5	18,24	123:2,5,	95:12,13,
44:24		80:3,6,	11,12,18,	22 105:8
54:23	20:24	12,17	21 124:5	145:20
	22:18,21	81:11,19	125:22	stopping
stayed	23:8,10	82:1,12,	126:5,7,	98:5
76:11	24:3,8,13	14,18,21	13,21	
145:19	26:24	85:17	127:5,11	storage
steal	27:11,15,	86:15	128:5,9,	134:20
65:23	18,20	87:8	14 129:5,	136:12
	28:12,18	89:10,13,	11,25	138:6
steam	29:1,9,16	18,24	130:3,9,	stored
125:14	30:7,9,	90:3,9,20	13,16,19	134:22
Steelhead	11,13,14,	91:4,16,	132:13	
81:2	21,24	18 92:4,5	140:6,13,	storm
	31:25	98:17,18,	18,20,23	7:22,23
stemmed	32:13,20	19 99:4,	145:2,7,	63:2
11:21	33:8,18,	14,18,23	11,22	66:8,10,
step	24 34:3,	100:6,8,	146:1,4,8	18,21
27:12,22,	13,17,21	25 101:2,	147:4,8,	67:3
23 89:7	35:12,16	19 102:4,	18,22	110:20,21
94:9	36:3,13,	9,16	148:6,8	111:1,12,
158:2	18,21	103:6,9,	150:6,24	17,19,22
stepout	37:9,12,	18,25	151:4,10	112:2,11
97:8	21 38:3	104:3,6,	152:12,22	story
97.0	46:7,18	8,10,13	153:13,21	69:4
stepouts	47:6,21	105:5,15,	154:7,12,	Ctrons
93:13	48:14,19,	20,21,24	15,19,25	Strayer
94:2	22 49:3,	106:8,20,	155:12	5:19
stepped	7,10	22,25	159:24	streams
93:16	50:10	107:2,5	162:8	64:22
73.10	51:1,7,9,	108:10,		Stroot
steps	13,16	12,15,19,	stick	Street
		. , ,		



AB MEETING	445 4 4			dex: strictlytakir
111:9,14	115:14	sufficient	supporting	156:14
strictly	118:8	81:24	65:5	swimming
29:13	150:17	85:18	supposed	56:11
	stuff	160:6	10:4	153:5
strippers 103:16	20:10	suggest	73:19	arrat om
	54:4,10,	79:16	132:15	system
145:20	15 55:1	89:20	£	9:25 10:2
structure	97:19	90:14	surface	19:21
44:9	105:11	142:17	62:25	48:5
135:11	121:8	152:2	64:20	54:15
structured	140:17		65:4,6,8,	55:3
	141:9	suggestion	10 84:12	77:13
13:4	149:21	33:13	96:8	111:8
structures	162:19	51:15	105:1,11	112:7,24
44:3		suggestions	108:6	130:4
143:6,8	Stuntebeck	33:6	109:4,6,9	systems
	6:5		112:17	9:23
stuck	57:12,14	summarize	115:24	10:20
54:4	sub-slab	133:10	148:21	19:5
studies	134:1,2,	summary	153:19,25	48:11
90:7	14,16	22:14	155:3,11	110:18
		32:22	gummai ga	
study	135:4,6,	73:8	surprise 102:15	112:8,14
11:14	12 136:3,	77:24		
23:21	15,17	133:16	125:18	T
24:6,10	137:3,6		155:8	-
29:21	138:6,17	136:20,22	surrounded	tabla
30:1,8	143:13	138:13	94:23,24	table
31:16,17,	submit	summer		33:17
22 40:11,	20:5 22:6	38:22	surrounding	34:6 38:6
17 41:11	136:20	73:1,2,15	94:25	63:3
43:8	138:13	122:1	113:24	tables
49:17			survey	13:8
50:8,17				
	submitted	super	17:7	
54:17	71:24	43:11	17:7 42:14	45:23
54:17 67:20			42:14	45:23 79:2,3
67:20	71:24	43:11 superintend		45:23 79:2,3 tags
67:20 69:5	71:24 84:4	43:11 superintend ent	42:14 66:21,22 surveys	45:23 79:2,3
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15	71:24 84:4 134:6	43:11 superintend ent 7:21	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24	43:11 superintend ent 7:21 supervisor	42:14 66:21,22 surveys	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25 85:19,22	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24 subsequent	43:11 superintend ent 7:21	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23 137:2	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes 88:8
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25 85:19,22 86:12	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24 subsequent 152:14	43:11 superintend ent 7:21 supervisor 7:21	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23 137:2 Sutton	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes 88:8
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25 85:19,22 86:12 87:5,19,	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24 subsequent	43:11 superintend ent 7:21 supervisor 7:21 support	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23 137:2 Sutton 6:23,24	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes 88:8
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25 85:19,22 86:12 87:5,19, 23 88:5,	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24 subsequent 152:14	43:11 superintend ent 7:21 supervisor 7:21 support 44:12	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23 137:2 Sutton	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes 88:8 taking
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25 85:19,22 86:12 87:5,19, 23 88:5, 14,17,21	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24 subsequent 152:14 154:11	43:11 superintend ent 7:21 supervisor 7:21 support 44:12 74:5 75:4	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23 137:2 Sutton 6:23,24	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes 88:8 taking 61:24
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25 85:19,22 86:12 87:5,19, 23 88:5, 14,17,21 89:20	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24 subsequent 152:14 154:11 substantial 45:13	43:11 superintend ent 7:21 supervisor 7:21 support 44:12 74:5 75:4 79:12	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23 137:2 Sutton 6:23,24 46:2	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes 88:8 taking 61:24 65:25
67:20 69:5 75:3,5,15 81:25 85:19,22 86:12 87:5,19, 23 88:5, 14,17,21	71:24 84:4 134:6 137:17 138:24 subsequent 152:14 154:11 substantial	43:11 superintend ent 7:21 supervisor 7:21 support 44:12 74:5 75:4	42:14 66:21,22 surveys 133:23 137:2 Sutton 6:23,24 46:2 Swamp	45:23 79:2,3 tags 49:12 takes 88:8 taking 61:24 65:25 70:19



RAB MEETING				index: taiktime
146:17	79:21	10:1,5,8	testing	116:3
talk	90:15,21	technical	8:1,10	128:22
10:21	97:23	12:23	23:19	131:17
11:24	101:15	13:2	85:4 86:2	143:10,12
	104:1,15		104:18	148:13
13:8,14	108:7	21:11	105:3	157:3
14:19	111:2	53:6 54:1	121:14	158:23,24
15:4	120:6	89:14	151:9	
20:15	147:20	108:7		thinking
21:3 23:2	150:7	technology	tests	73:25
24:18	151:11	143:23	96:7	131:8
31:2		144:15,17	that'll	thinks
33:8,11	talks		11:13	25:22
56:25	31:7	telephone	24:9 31:5	53:23
60:22	targeted	61:21	103:25	155:8
80:14	38:23	telling	147:4	. •
88:11		55:20		tho-
91:18,19	targeting		there'll	123:17
93:12	38:20	ten	31:6 99:4	thought
99:12	task	102:7	thick	17:15
103:2	133:12,21	tenants	143:10	28:16
122:9,12	136:10	138:1		74:3,7
128:4,14	138:13,20	140:8	thickness	81:3
129:12		141:5,16	143:8	152:16
147:15,25	taxes	142:10	thing	
148:2,3	44:15	£	12:20	thoughts
talked	taxiway	term	51:22	49:16
8:25	44:4	32:11	91:9	thousands
12:13	45:13,14	terms	129:2	86:19
18:25	93:24,25	13:4	149:18	
43:22	95:22	14:1,13	£1. 3	throwing 65:7
46:13	97:13,15	142:6	things	05.7
62:7 66:8	104:17,21	terrestrial	13:18	thunder
71:17	105:8	65:13,16	18:24	14:19
78:5	+	03.13,10	20:12	tied
108:2	taxiways	test	36:2	59:10
114:11	44:2	8:11	52:13,21	39.10
131:9	TCE	104:19	53:3	Tim
145:18	135:3	158:22,25	54:18	5:17,18
148:14	136:6	159:15	62:4	7:18 8:4,
151:4	145:17	tested	64:18	18
	toam	44:23	75:5,11	time
talking	team	95:6	83:18	7:15
9:22 10:2	9:6,20 115:17	107:13	89:18	13:3,7
23:14,17	115:17	112:11	91:7,14	24:14
25:1,2	tech	139:12	100:23	27:24
58:17	9:21	143:16	105:12	28:4,14
		T43.TO	115:7	40.4,14



times	159:17,25	15	143:3
25:21	160:22	toricologic	trangnarong
27:24	too1	_	transparenc
46:14		-	y 53:8
62:8	144.10		33.0
65:22	top	50.9	transport
π Ο_1 Ε	14:8	tracked	147:2
	114:13	106:6	treat
134.3	115:24	tracker	19:5,20
today	151:24		110:18
11:17	152:22		112:9
38:9 45:6	tonic		112.7
119:1			treated
140:9	0.12 9.22	126:18	111:12
145:3	topics	training	treatment
+014	8:12 13:6	_	9:18
	34:19		10:20
	148:14		13:25
	162:18		48:5 54:8
	total		63:19
134.45			64:15
tomatoes			77:13
120:18		149.22	102:2
toniaht		trans-	111:8,10,
		68:13	11,14,23
		transducer	112:7,8
			113:3
			148:20
	144.23		159:20
	totally		139.20
	95:25		tributary
	touch		81:1
			trichloroet
			hylene
19 102.9			135:3,13
tonight's	162:19		136:4
7:9 61:8	tour	68:8,15	
tons		69:7	trillion
		71:14	40:3,4,5,
1 1 · 1		92:9	6 52:4
Tony	55:12	113:6,9,	126:25
	township		127:2
56:5	5:17,20	116:11,16	trips
155:25	7:19,20	transform	162:21
156:3	8:16	101:17	
			+
158:8,11,	56:15	translate	trouble 120:3
	25:21 27:24 46:14 62:8 65:22 TO-15 134:3 today 11:17 38:9 45:6 119:1 140:9 145:3 told 29:20 56:10 76:23 134:25 tomatoes 120:18 tonight 56:25 60:4 61:19 123:6 133:13 137:20 139:6 158:20 160:4,14, 19 162:9 tonight's 7:9 61:8 tons 44:1 Tony 55:20 56:5 155:25	25:21	25:21 160:22 toxicologis 27:24 tool t 46:14 144:10 40:19 65:22 top 56:9 TO-15 14:8 tracked 134:3 115:24 tracker 134:3 15:24 tracker 11:17 152:22 18 38:9 45:6 topic 126:18 119:1 8:12 9:22 126:18 46:19 8:12 13:6 55:3 29:20 34:19 64:12 29:20 148:14 93:18,20,76:23 134:25 total 98:1 tomatoes 55:6 102:20 120:18 63:3 trans- 66:23 133:25 68:13 tonight 133:25 68:13 56:25 135:12 68:13 toil 144:25 71:14,17 133:13 totally 15:14 139:6 158:20 18:8 toil 160:4,14, 97:20 23:19,21 158:20 touch 28:6



AB MEETING			much.	troublingutilizin
121:5	types	underscores	123:5,8	133:5,18
troubling	47:9	160:9	141:15	138:21
155:15	typically	understand	underway	139:6
160:2,6	12:10	7:20 23:4	72:13	146:5
100.2,0	13:5	24:2	72.13	148:2
trout	38:22	29:18,19	unfortunate	updated
81:6	109:6	30:3 33:5	158:20	72:3,23
true	109.0	35:1,22	uniformly	137:2
23:7 36:3		37:11	135:13	137.2
74:1	U	41:23		updates
128:12		42:18	units	7:11
143:4	U.S.	42:18 45:8	66:3	8:19,21
143.4	148:13		universe	38:6,9
tube	140.13	50:2,18	144:25	52:9 58:9
144:2,4	UFP-QAPP	78:4	144.25	61:13
tubes	61:20,22	85:10	unlike	73:16
144:4	b bb	96:12	13:1	
144.4	uh-huh	99:19	unmute	upgraded
Tucker	30:13	106:1	58:11,16	112:9
102:20	39:17	109:10	132:23	upper
m	40:8 41:9	120:23		149:13
Tuesday	43:13	123:23	158:9	
12:22	45:1	understanda	161:2	upstream
60:21	60:15	ble	unnecessary	81:3
turn	69:23	28:15	115:12	upwind
18:5	76:15	20.13		137:11,13
57:14	93:14	understandi	unresolved	
162:6	95:4 98:3	ng	83:3,11,	USDA
	114:23	10:12	17,18	6:6
turnaround	131:12	12:6	upcoming	USGS
137:19	UMP	16:19	20:12	69:13
turned	94:8	29:19	26:17	
78:10	シュ・ロ	43:1	138:25	USP
130:6	unabated	85:13		91:24
	49:20	97:7	update	usurped
turns	unacceptabl	105:6	7:11,12,	124:11
150:14		120:11	13,19	
152:16	e 99:25	121:9	18:8,9,22	usurping
two-thirds	ソソ・ ∠⊃	126:1,20	38:18	124:22
16:24	${\tt uncomfortab}$	151:1	46:1,2,4	utilities
10.71	le	153:6	49:12	44:8
two-year	54:7		52:6,8,	
90:24		understands	11,14,16	utilize
J0 • Z4	underneath	76:20	55:12	144:1
	0.6.0			
type	86:2	89:4	57:13	utilized
type 32:22	97:13		57:13 58:24,25	utilized
type		89:4 understood 26:6		utilized 134:19



AB MEETING			muex. valen	iine swasiewa
134:3	81:6	74:2,5	video	62:10
	83:5,24	Vaughn	43:21	73:19
	84:21	6:25 7:1	violation	82:2
v	85:10	54:1	46:12	waited
	111:6	139:10,21		48:14
Valentine's	113:6	140:2,5,	virtual	
12:13	117:15	10,15,19,	59:8,17	waiting
valid	118:4,10,	22 143:15	132:20	22:10,15
81:9	16 119:3		virtually	59:13
	123:7	vegetation	6:7 7:7,	62:14
validate	124:4,21,	65:13	17 19:17	71:25
146:18	25	66:4	57:12	105:16
validated	125:10,17	150:10	58:8	143:15,18
72:8,14	147:13	venting	132:22	156:25
78:24	154:21	153:19	155:18	walk
79:13,22	155:3	155:3	156:8	19:16
133:13	158:22		158:9	
138:10	159:2	verified	160:23	wall
143:19		58:1	162:3,18	135:11
	vapor 7:12	verify		136:1
valuable		117:17,24	visit	wanted
9:5	19:14,21	118:2,19	9:4 60:14	11:4
alues	20:22	151:14	VISLS	13:11,12
38:16,17	26:13	152:1	134:11	38:11,18
39:19,21,	133:5,8	132 1	135:5,18	51:23
24 125:1	134:1,2,	versus	136:7	58:4
151:25	10,14	14:13		103:23
	135:2,12,	35:11	VOC	156:18
an an	14,15	82:10	103:17	130.10
10:25	136:2,7,	98:24	146:16,17	wanting
14:12	14 137:3	99:16	vocs	37:13
21:1	138:6,17	vertical	134:3	49:1
23:3,6,	143:2	63:12,16		warm
11,14,17	159:7	92:12,14	volatile	54:13
24:2,4,17	vapors	149:1	145:18	54.15
25:1 30:3	142:4	147.1	volatilizat	warning
31:13	144:3	vertically	ion	54:19
56:8		107:14	134:7	waste
64:21,25	variability	VI		50:1
65:17	114:22	19:1	volume	
67:21,22	115:21	26:11,13,	33:10	wastewater
68:8	116:1	16 135:5,		9:18
69:4,6,	variations	17 136:3	W	13:24
14,16	117:2	146:19		63:19
70:11				64:15
71:3,4	vast	vibration	wait	102:1
80:25	11:19	45:25	54:3	113:2



148:20 125:24 12:22 154:21,22 28:12 159:19 126:24,25 week west 29:1, watch 25 132:1, 12:12,15, 69:6 30:7, 53:20,21 5 151:3 39:3 114:25 11,13 watched 152:4 39:3 115:9 32:13 56:15 153:3,5, 60:22 33:8,	9,16
watch 131:5,24, 12:12,15, 69:6 30:7, 53:20,21 25 132:1, 17 20:6,7 114:25 11,13 5 151:3 39:3 115:9 24 31 watched 152:4 52:18 134:20 32:13 56:15 153:3,5, 60:22 33:8,	
watch 131:5,24, 25 132:1, 5 151:3 12:12,15, 17 20:6,7 69:6 30:7, 11,13 watched 5 151:3 152:4 39:3 52:18 52:18 115:9 134:20 32:13 33:8, 33:8,	9.
53:20,21	- 1
watched 152:4 39:3 115:9 32:13 56:15 153:3,5, 60:22 33:8,	,21,
watched 152:4 52:18 134:20 32:13 56:15 153:3,5, 60:22 33:8,	:25
$\begin{bmatrix} 56:15 \\ 153:3,5, \\ 60:22 \end{bmatrix}$	ı
$00 \cdot 22$	18,
water 6,20,25 western 24 34 62:18	:3,
155·2 7 02·10 63:18 12 17	,21
11 25.10	,16
36:3	13,
18 37	
$2^{2,13}$ $10\cdot 1$ $81:2$ weekend white-	
30.10 waterway 86:18 footed 46:10	
$\begin{vmatrix} 39.12,13, \\ 49.25 \end{vmatrix}$ 49.25	
22 11.9 Weeks	
54.0,9 ways 113.11,15 widen	
50.10 20.20 142.23 153.11	- /
well-being wider 50.10	ł
$\begin{vmatrix} 04.20 & 33.11 & 160:17 & 152:16 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	
03.4,3,0, 127.0	
wearing	
66:23,24	,
67:6,14,	
WILLIAM FO.1	
93:7,15	
70.9,17, villingnong 15 10	
weather's	
71:19	
105:2,11 67:23,24 Willis 77:3	
108:23 web 68:9,11, 5:16 8:3, 77:3,	
109:5,9, 22:8 13,16,21 15,22,23, 70:0	
20 35:7,10, 69:8 25 10:16 78:8,	
111:12,16 20 37:8 70:9,25 13:1,16,	
112:2,11, 59:7 71:6,15, 19,21 11,14	
17 113:1, website 18 74:5,6 14:3,7, 24 80	
7,22 52:19 92:9,13, 14,18,22,	
$\begin{vmatrix} 114:1 & 53:5 & 70:2 & 17 & 101:9 & 24 & 15:2 & 81:11 \end{vmatrix}$	
$\begin{vmatrix} 115:21,25 \end{vmatrix}$ $102:18,23$ $16:7.18$ $82:1$,	
118:2,14, website's 113:23 17:4,11, 14,18	,21
15,17,21, 37:1 114:9 17,20 87:8	
25 119:4, websites 118:3,24 18:5	
$\begin{vmatrix} 11 & 70:1 & 121:19 & 22:18,21 & 90:3, \end{vmatrix}$	
120:13, 126:18 23:8,10 91:4,	
14,16 Wednesday 131:2 24:8,13 18 92	
$\begin{bmatrix} 121:14,18 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 12:10,13 \\ 152:25 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 27:11,15 \\ 27:11,15 \end{bmatrix}$	
122:2,6 Wednesdays 153:11 18,20 99:4,	14,
18,23	1

IVAD MEL I ING			IIIUEX. VV	iilsevvusterbarti
100:8,25	151:10	94:17	18,19	88:7
101:2,19	152:22		146:19	91:15,19
102:4,9,	153:13,21	word	149:14,25	
16 103:6,	154:7,12,	42:13	154:4,11	writing
9,18,25	15,19	45:11,12	159:6	32:1
104:3,6,	155:12	words	160:15,20	written
8,10,13	159:24	81:23		17:25
105:5,15,	162:8	95:11	worked	28:17,25
21,24			17:6 19:2	31:20
106:8,20,	Wiltse	work	61:22	47:1
22 107:2,	60:20	14:1,10	102:18	
5 108:10,	Winn	16:13	138:23	wrong
12,15,19,	7:2,3	19:1,9	working	23:5 25:3
25 109:3,	21:17,25	20:14,23,	20:24	53:24
12,15,21,	22:2,8,	25 23:6,	48:22	WSP
23,25	12,20,22	24 24:21,	57:22	9:22
	23:9,12	22,23	58:17	
110:3,7,	24:11,15	26:3,16	77:11	Wurtsmith
9,17		27:1,2,8	88:14,20	8:9 9:2
115:18	33:15	28:17,19,	•	10:10
116:15,24	55:9	22,24	104:3	17:24,25
117:3,6,	76:4,7,	29:6,7,	122:24	35:10
10 119:8	10,14,18	13,25	131:15	43:17
120:2,5,	77:5,9,	30:1,2	142:10,19	60:21,25
8,11,19,	14,20	33:10	149:16	126:10
21 121:1,	78:3,12,	35:1	154:8	147:23
4,7,17,21	16 82:23	45:13,18	worried	Wusterbarth
122:5,15,	83:13,16,	48:20	56:7	
17,20	25 84:6,	51:24,25		7:4,5
123:2,12,	11,19	59:13	wrap	13:17,20,
18,21	85:2,9,16	60:25	132:18	22,23
124:5	105:17,	71:2	133:18	14:5,9,
126:5,7,	22,25	83:22,23	162:12	15,21,23
13,21	106:18,	85:7	wrapped	15:1
127:5,11	21,24	87:16	74:23	34:23,25
128:5,9,	147:1,6,	91:25		35:9,22
14 129:5,	10,13	99:9	wrapping	36:16,20
11 130:3,	winter	106:2,11,	132:15	40:9,15
9,13,16,	62:12	15 123:7,	wraps	41:2,6,
19 132:13	131:5		136:17	10,13,16,
140:6,13,		10,17,25		19 42:2
18,20,23	132:11	124:1,4,	WRD	43:3
145:2,7,	Wonderful	11,14,15,	20:3	45:2,5
11,22	69:24	16,21	write	52:16
146:1,4,8	rrondord	128:21	20:9 27:8	60:6,8,
147:4,8,	wondering	136:1	28:18	13,16
18,22	123:6	137:17	29:25	61:1
148:6,8	woods	144:13,	86:21	86:1,7
140.0,0			00.21	

129:21,23	48:3,10
130:8,10,	51:6,21
14,17	53:1
157:9	55:21
	56:5
	59:12
Y	76:2
	82:15
year	88:25
9:9,13,17	106:14
11:1,17	117:1
12:9,15,	128:22
17 19:13	130:24
20:14,15	132:9
22:13	143:11
24:7,16	149:21
25:3	151:7
30:19	158:19
32:21	159:13
37:24	160:1
45:6	
49:16	yesterday
50:15	9:21 10:2
56:1 57:9	53:6 54:1
69:23	98:24
71:15	107:23
74:12,15,	108:8
16,18	124:23
75:10,21	125:22
78:21	127:13
82:10	145:3
88:24	Youtube
90:16	43:21
91:2	10 21
106:20,23	
113:11	Z
117:1	
159:22	zone
	16:22
years	104:25
18:1	148:25
23:15	149:5
25:2 31:4	
38:20	zones
45:15	68:9,15
46:9,14	71:1
47:18,22	

